

City of Binghamton, New York

DRAFT **Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report**

HUD Entitlement Program Year 2017
September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018

Prepared By: Department of Planning, Housing and Community Development

Version Date: November 8th, 2018

Public Comment Period:
November 8-November 28, 2018

Richard C. David, Mayor

CAPER

1

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The City continues to catch up on older unused budget lines. This year, a series of projects, some test projects, were proposed to spend down older funds quickly. This included mainly youth programs from various agencies such as the United Way and the Boys & Girls Club of Binghamton. ESG funds are now caught up, and the City now has a standardized sunset policy that is also being applied to CDBG and HOME to utilize older funds at the end of each contract year. The City continues to assist Fairview Recovery Services in combating the local opium epidemic. This year marked the first full year of Continuum of Care NY-511's Coordinated Entry System. This system has worked exceptionally well in quickly getting homeless individuals and families into the best locations for them.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Blight Reduction	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	46000	0	0.00%			

Blight Reduction	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0		21150	1012	4.78%
Blight Reduction	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	25	0	0.00%	3	2	66.67%
Blight Reduction	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	50	0	0.00%	7	6	85.71%
Blight Reduction	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	3130	0	0.00%	1327	1400	105.50%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	75	0	0.00%	15	0	0.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	10	0	0.00%	2	305	15,250.00%

Improve Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	33000	0	0.00%			
Improve Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	250	0	0.00%	21150	12030	56.88%
Improve Transportation & Accessibility	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	500	0	0.00%	160	50	31.25%
Increase Owner Occupied Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	425	3	0.71%	50	42	84.00%
Increase Owner Occupied Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	475	62	13.05%	76	62	81.58%
Increase Owner Occupied Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	0	2		10	2	20.00%
Provide Service Activities	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		2272	0	0.00%

Provide Service Activities	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5075	44	0.87%	0	1030	
Reduce/Prevent Homelessness	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	325	0	0.00%	85	0	0.00%
Reduce/Prevent Homelessness	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	4600	0	0.00%	278	0	0.00%
Reduce/Prevent Homelessness	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	10	0	0.00%			
Reduce/Prevent Homelessness	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	225	0	0.00%	25	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

No goal in the Consolidated Plan was listed as more important than any other. The City put additional resources into untested programs as the need arose and to use older funds, and most went towards the Underserved Services Goal. These projects include programs for the homeless, such as a hygiene dispersement program at food pantries which was aimed towards combatting homelessness. Demolition activities allowed the city to not only tackle problems with urban blight but to also provide additional green space as well as returning the City’s glut of housing stock to a more manageable level. The Lee Barta Center project allowed the city to help revitalize a blighted community. The City continues to use both HOME as well as CDBG to improve the local housing stock as well as to encourage homeownership through providing not only direct funds for home purchase, but much needed financial education to help prevent homeowners from purchasing homes they cannot afford. This, along with the single family rehab program and lead paint program, helps improve existing homes; many oftentimes occupied by financially restricted households, and thus encouraging them to stay independent.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	850	11	0
Black or African American	320	0	0
Asian	30	0	0
American Indian or American Native	12	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	7	0	0
Total	1,219	11	0
Hispanic	136	0	0
Not Hispanic	1,083	11	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	1,722,532	1,666,064
HOME	HOME	442,047	161,768
HOPWA	HOPWA		
ESG	ESG	156,228	151,245
Other	Other		

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

These numbers reflect the HUD entitlements that were drawn down in FY43 (September 1, 2017 to August 30, 2018). Due to the difference between the City budgetary calendar year and the City's HUD entitlement year, differences will exist between allocated and expended budgets. In addition, the City is cleaning up older funds and expending previously budgeted but shelved activities from past years. The City is still working on reconciling older HOME and CDBG funds and has been in contact with HUD representatives regarding this matter which is expected to be fully resolved by the end of 2018.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
City of Binghamton	100	100	Comprehensive City Wide Projects and Service Area

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Programs and projects are provided to residents and facilities across the City in a comprehensive manner. All area projects are required to comply with low-mod income requirements, but geographically these areas cover greater than half the City's area. Additionally, the City as a whole has an LMI resident income percentage of almost 58%.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The City leveraged its HOME funds for almost \$400,000 from two NY State grants (HEP and AHC). The City is exempt from matching HOME funds, although State funds leveraged may meet those matching requirements. Sub-recipients managed to combine CDBG funds with \$300,000 in various private donations and almost \$400,000 in state funding. ESG sub-recipients were required to meet the 50-50 match and exceeded that with \$85,000 in private and \$200,000 in state and local grants. The City has acquired several properties over the years, often through blight removal or floodplain clearance, and is looking at plans for revitalizing neighborhoods safely to address housing needs where needed. For example, redevelopment of empty lots to be turned into low income homes or rental units. Agencies such as Opportunities for Broome, Family Enrichment Network, and the Binghamton Housing Authority are working with the city to redevelop some of these lots for affordable and/or permanent supportive housing.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
262,599	33,260	6,504	0	289,355

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired		0	0			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	110	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	89	38
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	199	38

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	85	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	0	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	79	38
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	10	2
Total	174	40

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

A delay in reporting by one agency prevented numbers more accurately reflecting rehab assistance from being in this report. Goals are on track to be met in general. Less funding was made available for home purchase assistance thereby lowering the final number of households being assisted

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The home purchase cap has been returned to lower levels to attempt to assist as many people as possible with purchase housing units in the City. The City is planning on beginning a large multi property neighborhood CHDO project in the upcoming year and so new units will be available.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	1	0
Moderate-income	1	1
Total	2	1

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

All housing programs require income verification and eligible households must a) live in the City or move into the City, and b) must be at or below the 80% area median income based on family size. New homeowners often will fall into the upper reaches of lower income due to the costs burdens of owning property in New York. In other words, they must have enough income to afford a new property and also have low enough income to not be beyond the income limitations for assistance. The Binghamton Homeownership Academy provided through contract with Metro Interfaith helps encourage would be homeowners to evaluate their financial situation to help prevent families from buying properties they cannot afford. Conversely, the status of many older households on fixed incomes includes outright ownership of their homes, and yet with limited ability to perform necessary improvements should misfortune fall. The Rehab program thus often serves the lowest income residents.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The only agency that was handling street outreach pulled itself from the program at the last minute. This required a scramble by the City and CoC to find a new outreach agency. By July, the YWCA and Outreach Ministries of the Southern Tier were awarded a contract for street outreach services. These agencies seek out homeless individuals and families in the community, connect them with needed services, including transportation to those services, and provide food and clothing as needed.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City provides funding for the operation of an emergency shelter, the YWCA women's shelter, and a transitional shelter, the Catholic Charities of Broome County Teen Transitional Living Program (TTLP) which provides longer term shelter assistance for at-risk youth. The YWCA has expanded its portfolio to also provide permanent supportive housing and shelter for women and babies withdrawing from heroin. TTLP has been a staple of the homeless community since before 2010, providing transitional shelter and supportive services for an often underserved homeless demographic: youth.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City provides funding for Family Enrichment Network's Caring Homes Care program, which provides emergency assistance in situations where households are on the verge of being evicted due to back payment of rent or even from utility shutoff. This program also provides individuals with emergency security deposit assistance for new units.

The City is a participant in the local Continuum of Care (CoC), the Homeless Coalition of the Southern Tier, and sits as a voting member. The CoC has implemented a new Coordinated Entry policy and program to help connect those in need with specific services for them as well as to coordinate with HMIS to better track demographic trends in the local homeless community.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to

permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

In addition to the Family Enrichment Network Caring Homes Program which can and does provide assistance for individuals transitioning to permanent housing, additional funds are provided the Volunteers of America for a Rapid Rehousing programs for individuals and families leaving local shelters.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City does not have a public housing program. The Binghamton Housing Authority, a non-profit, non-governmental agency provides not only public housing through several multi-family units in the area, but also administers the Section 8 program. This agency is also forming a CHDO to utilize HOME funds for affordable housing.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The First Time Homebuyer programs provides funds for anyone who meets the income qualifications to purchase housing units in the City. The City also funds the Binghamton Homeownership Academy, which can help individuals who are in public housing to reevaluate their financial situation and to realistically determine whether homeownership is a good investment for them.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

No PHA's in the City of Binghamton are considered troubled.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Asbestos and Lead regulation compliance adds a significant financial barrier to provide affordable and safe housing. The City does fund a Lead program that runs in tangent with our Rehabilitation program. Asbestos is somewhat beyond the purview of the City's programs but all contractors working on rehab projects must have certifications for stabilization or remediation of lead and asbestos.

The history of development in a City segmented by two rivers is of major concern for contemporary floodplain regulations. Much housing stock lies within the FEMA calculated 100-year floodplain, and so Federal regulations will impact future development, either in the complete flood proofing of buildings (such as raising the lowest occupied floors) or displacement itself. It is not financially realistic to imagine the City will simply move everyone out of the floodplain, and yet, the floods of 2006 and 2011 are stark reminders that something must be done. The City is still in the process of developing a CRS program to help offset flood insurance costs for residents.

The age of the housing stock and the local climate drive up energy costs still. Rehab funds can be used to provide more energy efficiency such as insulation, but funds are typically driven towards more pressing concerns, such as repairing leaking roofs or installing new heating systems. Where possible, energy efficiency measures are taken to help reduce residents' utility bills.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

As many non-profits as possible are encouraged to apply for service program funds. Over one hundred agencies were notified about the applications (as well as the overall planning process). Extra, older funds were funneled to existing sub-recipients to expand their existing programs in ESG and CDBG and reach out to more underserved clients.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

See above. The City will continue to look for additional funds or even partnership with agencies such as the Broom County Health Department to address the health hazards the aged housing stock presents to area residents.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The vast majority of funds were spent on programs and activities that target low income households. Most of these programs overlap creating a web of services to assist and alleviate clients out of a poverty situation. From housing, to service programs, to infrastructure improvements, funds are used to provide a better life for not just lower income residents, but the City as a whole. According to the American

Community Survey 34.3% of Binghamton families were below poverty according to the 2017 1-Year supplemental estimate compared to 31.1% from the 2016 1-year estimate. Although this data is incomplete for the time being, it does indicate a potential slide backwards in spite of the overall improving economy.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City continues to work closely with the Binghamton Local Development Corporation in order to connect businesses and would be business owners with resources necessary to start or expand business in the area. CDBG back funds require such businesses to provide employment to low income residents or at the least residents of severe low income areas. The City continues to partner with Metro Interfaith for its Binghamton Homeownership Academy, a free service that provides financial education to prepare potential homeowners for the responsibility of owning a new home. One change that may occur in the future is to require households seeking rehab assistance to also go through the Academy to help prevent future predatory lending practices that could acerbate the City's' already high vacancy rates. The First Ward Action Council provides additional service to senior residents to help them perform minor repairs on their homes that could lead to much larger repairs in the future. The City continues to have a voting presence at the local Continuum of Care to ensure that city funds are utilized efficiently and correctly to reduce homelessness.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City's Community Development Advisory Council made up of appointees selected by the mayor and council members met throughout the year and provided recommendations on the FY43 Annual Action Plan. Public meetings were held prior to adoption of the Annual Action Plan to take in resident feedback. In addition, press releases encouraged citizens to reply via email to a dedicated email address setup just for the Consolidated and Annual Action Plan in order to provide a better record of public feedback. Finally, over a hundred agencies were compiled into an email list for all Community Development announcements. Several agencies were added to the list when they expressed interest, and the list will continue to adjust as new agencies connect.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The recent Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing suggestions were provided to City Council. Sub recipients that ran relevant programs were encouraged to read up on the Fair Housing requirements and to provide clients with the contact information for the City's Fair Housing Officer if they suspected they were being denied housing for unfair reasons. A Fair Housing Education course was provided to landlords, students, and housing providers/tenants in the fall of 2018 and two rounds of course in the spring and fall of 2019 are planned as well. Ideally, this will become an annual event.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CDBG sub-recipients were visited by the Grants Administrator in late summer of 2018 for the FY43 programs. Each agency was encouraged to provide a representative of the client side of the programs and the financial side of the programs. Members of the Community Development Advisory Council were invited to attend as many visits as they wished. All agencies went through a standard checklist to ensure they were in compliance with federal regulations. No agencies had any findings. ESG agencies will be monitored in tandem with the NY-511's HMIS monitoring. All departments and agencies that expend Federal funds were encouraged to outreach to minority businesses.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The CAPER was made available on Thursday, November 8th of 2018. Copies of the CAPER were made available in the City of Binghamton's Department of Planning, Housing, and Community Development as well as the Clerks Department. An additional hard copy was made available at the Broome County Library. In addition to the paper copies, a digital copy was available for viewing on the City website. A legal ad ran on November 6th inviting comment for a 20-day comment period that ends on the 28th of November. Any and all comments are attached as file to this CAPER.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Some of the programs are slightly behind schedule, other are on or ahead of schedule. Fewer older unspent funds mean that previous year accomplishment jumps were less likely. The Improved Infrastructure for Households goals were folded into the Improved Infrastructure for Persons goals as it was easier to calculate for people rather than household for most major projects. Roughly the same number of people attended the Binghamton Homeownership Academy than was expected. Funding was restored in FY43 as the City did not fund the First Time Home Buyer program in FY42. The City has plans for a large increase in the amount of funding for both the First Time Homebuyer and Home Rehab programs in FY44.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	Binghamton
Organizational DUNS Number	075814863
EIN/TIN Number	156000404
Identify the Field Office	BUFFALO
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Binghamton/Union Town/Broome County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix
First Name
Middle Name
Last Name

Suffix
Title

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1
Street Address 2
City
State
ZIP Code 13760-
Phone Number
Extension
Fax Number
Email Address

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 09/01/2017
Program Year End Date 08/31/2018

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: FAMILY ENRICHMENT NETWORK
City: BINGHAMTON
State: NY
Zip Code: ,
DUNS Number:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 34000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC CHARITIES
City: BINGHAMTON
State: NY
Zip Code: ,
DUNS Number:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 15500

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YWCA
City: Binghamton
State: NY
Zip Code: 13901, 3805
DUNS Number: 088665286
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 49789

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA OF WESTERN NEW YORK
City: Rochester
State: NY
Zip Code: 14608, 1208
DUNS Number: 825036361
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 30448

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CoC Agencies have not yet provided required reports.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	2,574	33,903	19,142
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	2,574	33,903	19,142

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	12,483	60,803	23,430
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	12,483	60,803	23,430

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Essential Services	22,189	90,994	68,278
Operations	0	0	0
Renovation	39,993	36,935	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	62,182	127,929	68,278

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2015	2016	2017
Street Outreach	8,267	18,264	9,997
HMIS	1,720	18,587	23,132
Administration	8,315	12,831	4,835

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2015	2016	2017
	95,541	272,317	148,814

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2015	2016	2017
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	18,500	170,068	0
Other Federal Funds	200,000	213,000	25,000
State Government	42,712	150,876	93,036
Local Government	0	139,004	290,720
Private Funds	164,387	39,602	58,637

Other	116,214	5,647	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	541,813	718,197	467,393

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2015	2016	2017
	637,354	990,514	616,207

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities