# Legislative Branch

RL Number:

24-67

Date Submitted:

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

## REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for possible consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated by City Departments must be signed by the Mayor, Comptroller, and Corporation Counsel prior to submission. Incomplete/incorrect RLs will be returned to applicant for revisions.

## **Applicant Presenting RL at Work Session**

Ronald B. Lake	City Engir	eer		(607)772-7007
(Print Name)		(Title)		(Phone number)
Signature:	male B. J	Lake		<b>Date:</b> 03/27/2024
	To Be Co	ompleted By	<b>Applicant</b>	
Proposed Title: A reso	olution authorizing the Mayor to en	ter an amendment	No 2 with GHD for CSC	O-LTCP Post Construction
compliance monitoring progr	am.			
				de the following scope of work. The perm
				tion compliance monitoring in 2025.
				early in 2024. This is not to exceed
	available in Hosi	20.590011.	F0015	
Effective Date: (if app		_		
Budget transfer or an	nendment: RL Budget Ti	ansfer Works	heet <u>must</u> be attac	hed w/ Dep. Head signature.
RL related to a grant	: RL Grant Worksheet mu	ıst be attache	d. Deadline for Co	ouncil to act by:
RL related to previou	sly adopted legislation:	Perm. number	· , ado	ption date
	npany			
				Title Tediminical exercices
Public Hearing requir	red? Yes No		SEQRA requi	red? Yes No
Additional informatio	on related to this RL atta	ched? Ye	s No	
Mayor:	MW	FICE USE ON	LY	
Comptroller:				
Corp. Counse	: Nobert E. Ha.	7	- 11	
Finance □	Planning   MPA	PW/Parks □	Employees	Rules/Special Studies □



# Amendment No. 2

This Amendment/Change is effective this March 1, 2024, (the "Effective Date") between GHD Consulting Services Inc. (hereinafter "GHD") and the City of Binghamton, New York (hereinafter "Client"). In consideration of the mutual promises set forth herein, GHD and Client agree to amend the Original Agreement between GHD and Client dated September 1, 2020.

## Project details

Project name:

**CSO-LTCP Post** 

**Project** 

11217318

Construction

Program

Compliance Monitoring

number:

iumpe

Effective Date of

September 1, 2020

**Project** 

John LaGorga, PE, BCEE

**Original Agreement:** 

Manager:

## Description of proposed change:

Engineering shall provide the following Scope of Work as requested by Client.

The permit requires that the City of Binghamton and the Village of Johnson City complete the next round of LTCP Post Construction Compliance Monitoring (PCCM) in 2025. Because of concern with water quality, the City and Village agreed to complete this round of monitoring one year early in 2024. The PCCM entails the following Sampling Effort:

- Daily fecal coliform sampling and analysis in the river for the months of April, July, and October.
- Weely iron and mercury sampling and analysis in the river for the months of April, July, and October.
- Monthly mercury sampling and analysis in the collection system for the months of April, July, and October.
- Bi-monthly microbial source tracking in the river for the months of April, July, and October.

The sampling will be conducted in accordance with the approved Sampling Plan. The scope will include:

- 1. Finalize the Sampling Plan based on NYSDEC comments
- 2. Hire and coordinate with Microbac Laboratory to perform fecal coliform, iron, and mercury analyses.
- 4. Lead and participate in river and collection system Sampling Effort with some assistance from City.
- 5. Analyze water quality data.
- 6. Prepare and submit a Final Report.

## **Project Milestones**

Commencement date: March 1, 2024

Begin sampling: April 1, 2024

Compete sampling: October 31, 2024

Receive Final Laboratory results: December 31, 2024

Draft Final Report complete: February 28, 2025

Final Report complete submittal to NYSDEC: March 31, 2025

End date: June 30, 2025

## **Project Cost**

The following table summarizes the effort and costs associated with this cope of services. GHD proposes to provide these services on a lump sum basis within the defined limits of the Scope of Work.

ITEM	COST
Labor (Lump Sum)	\$52,500
Laboratory Allowance (actual plus 5% markup)	\$15,500
TOTAL	\$68,000

Amendment No. 2

\$68,000

Completion date:

June 30, 2025

In witness whereof, GHD and Client have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives as of the Effective Date.

## GHD

GHD Consulting Services Inc.

July J-7-2

John J. LaGorga Project Director March 21, 2024

#### Client

City of Binghamton, NY

Jared Kraham Mayor date:



# Exhibit A: Work Authorization

## Work Authorization No. 5

Project 12583080

The Scope of Work described in this Work Authorization is governed by the terms and conditions of that certain Master Services Agreement ("Agreement") dated 25th day of March 2022, between GHD Consulting Services Inc. ("GHD") and Village of Johnson City, New York ("Client").

Scope of Work	The scope of services to be provided by GHD, in connection with this Work Authorization, is as follows:
Services Description	Combined Sewer Overflow LTCP Post Construction Compliance Monitoring sampling.
	The permit requires that the City of Binghamton and Village of Johnson City complete the next round of LTCP Post Construction Compliance Monitoring (PCCM) in 2025. Becaus of concern with water quality, the City and Village agreed to complete this round of monitoring one year earlier in 2024. The PCCM entails sampling the following:
	<ul> <li>Daily fecal coliform sampling and analysis in the river for the months of April, July, and October.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Weely iron and mercury sampling and analysis in the river for the months of April, July, and October.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Monthly mercury sampling and analysis in the collection system for the months of April, July, and October.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Bi-monthly microbial source tracking in the river for the months of April, July, and October.</li> </ul>
	The sampling will be conducted in accordance with the approved Sampling Plan.
	The scope will include:
	1. Finalize the Sampling Plan based on NYSDEC comments
	Hire and coordinate with Microbac Laboratory to perform fecal coliform, iron, and mercury analyses.
	Coordinate with Cornell laboratory to perform microbial source tracking. Cornell will send invoices directly to the Villag
	<ol> <li>Lead and participate in river and collection system Sampling Effort with some assistance from City.</li> </ol>
	5. Analyze water quality data.
	6. Prepare and submit a Final Report.
GHD Subcontractor(s)	Microbac Laboratory
Fee Description including markups	Not-to-exceed labor of \$52,500 billed at rates according to Agreement, Exhibit B. Laboratory allowance of \$15,500, including 5% mark-up.

Materials/premises to be provided by Client	n/a
Other information relevant to the Services	n/a

Other information relevant to the Services	n/a
Key dates	
Commencement date	March 1, 2024
Milestones, deadlines, timelines	Begin sampling: April 1, 2024
	<ul> <li>Complete sampling: October 31, 2024</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Receive Final Laboratory results: December 31, 2024</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Draft Final Report complete: February 28, 2025</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Final Report compete submittal to NYSDEC: March 31, 2025</li> </ul>
End date	June 30, 2025
Designated Representatives	During the term of this Work Authorization, matters or issues
Marijana da Karamana da Ka Karamana da Karamana da Ka	arising shall, as applicable, be directed to:
GHD Representative(s)	John LaGorga, PE, BCEE
Client Representative(s)	Joshua Holland, Director of Public Services
Additional Terms and Conditions	
Special Conditions	n/a

**In witness whereof**, GHD and Client have caused this Work Authorization to be executed by their duly authorized representatives as of the effective date of March 1, 2024.

GHD GHD Consulting Services Inc.	July Jahan	Client	
	John J. LaGorga		Joshua Holland
	Project Director		Director of Public Services
	Date: March 21, 2024		Date:

Introductory No. R22-58

Permanent No. R22-58



# THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: June 22, 2022

Sponsored by Council Members: Scaringi, Resciniti, Riley, Friedman, Burns, Scanlon, Strawn

Introduced by Committee: PW/Parks

#### RESOLUTION

entitled

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH GHD CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. FOR THE CSOLTCP POST CONSTRUCTION COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM AT THE BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Binghamton wishes to enter into an agreement with GHD Consulting Services, Inc. for the CSO-LTCP Post Construction Compliance Monitoring Program at the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Treatment Plant (BJCJSTP) as requested by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) at a cost not to exceed \$13,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session does hereby:

RESOLVE that the Mayor of the City of Binghamton, or his designee, is hereby authorized to enter into an agreement, approved as to form and content by the Office of Corporation Counsel, with GHD Consulting Services, Inc. for the CSO-LTCP Post Construction Compliance Monitoring Program at the BJCJSTP as requested by the NYSDEC, in an amount not to exceed \$13,000; and that funds shall be deducted from budget line H8120.590014 (CSO Repair) for this purpose.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above described funds are unencumbered and available

Chuck Shager, Comptroller

Sponsored by City Council Members:					
Scaringi, Resciniti, Riley, Friedman, Burns, Scanlon,		Ayes	Nays	Abstain	Absent
Strawn	Councilman	>			
A RESOLUTION ALITHODIZMC THE MAND TO	Councilwoman	>			
CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. FOR THE CSO.	Councilwoman Riley	>			. 1
LTCP POST CONSTRUCTION COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM AT THE	Councilwoman Friedman	>			
BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	Councilman Burns	>			
	Councilman Scanlon				>
The within Resolution was adopted by the Council of	Councilman Strawn	>			
the City of Binghamton.	Total	9	Đ	٥	/
10/22/22					
Date	☐ Code of the City of Binghamton	City of Bing	ghamton		
Chy Clerk	Adopted	☐ Defeated	led		
Date Presented to Mason	Ayes O Nays O Abstain	Nays C	Abstain A	\ Absent	
6 23 22 / 19.71					
Date Approved	I hereby certify the above to be a true	fy the abo	ve to be a	true	
Mayor	copy of the legislation adopted by the Council of the City of Binghamton at a	gislation a City of Bi	idopted by	/the	
	meeting held on $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Approved by the Mayor on $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$	on 60	Sac Or	proved	

Permanent No. R22-58

Introductory No. R22-58

Introductory No. <u>R22-58</u>

Permanent No. <u>R22-58</u>



# THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: June 22, 2022

Sponsored by Council Members: Scaringi, Resciniti, Riley, Friedman, Burns, Scanlon, Strawn

Introduced by Committee: PW/Parks

#### RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH GHD CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. FOR THE CSOLTCP POST CONSTRUCTION COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM AT THE BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY JOINT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Binghamton wishes to enter into an agreement with GHD Consulting Services, Inc. for the CSO-LTCP Post Construction Compliance Monitoring Program at the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Treatment Plant (BJCJSTP) as requested by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) at a cost not to exceed \$13,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session does hereby:

RESOLVE that the Mayor of the City of Binghamton, or his designee, is hereby authorized to enter into an agreement, approved as to form and content by the Office of Corporation Counsel, with GHD Consulting Services, Inc. for the CSO-LTCP Post Construction Compliance Monitoring Program at the BJCJSTP as requested by the NYSDEC, in an amount not to exceed \$13,000; and that funds shall be deducted from budget line H8120,590014 (CSO Repair) for this purpose.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above described funds are unencumbered and available

Chuck Shager, Comptroller

Scaringi, Resciniti, Riley Friedman Rums Scanlon			,		
Ctraum		Ayes	Nays	Abstain	Absent
VII	Councilman Scaringi	>			
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO	Councilwoman Resciniti	>			
ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH GHD CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. FOR THE CSO-	Councilwoman Riley	>			
LTCP POST CONSTRUCTION COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM AT THE	Councilwoman Friedman	>			
E	Councilman	>			
	Councilman				
The within Resolution was adopted by the Council of	Councilman	>			
the City of Binghamton.	Total	9	0	0	-
10/22/22	I				
	☐ Code of the City of Binghamton	City of Bing	ghamton		
Cly Clerk	Adopted	☐ Defeated	pa		
Date Presented to Mayor	Ayes O Nays O Abstain Absent	Nays	Abstain	\ Absent	
6 A3 22 Date Approved	I hereby certify the above to be a true coox of the lexislation adopted by the	fy the abov	re to be a	true	
Mayor	Council of the City of Binghamton at a meeting held on 10/10/10/2. Approved	City of Bir	ghamton 4.4. Ap	at a proved	
>>	by the Mayor on 6/13/11 OF	00 Co/23	1220		

R22-58 R22-58

Introductory No.



# Legislative Branch

RL :	Number:
	24-68
Date	Submitted

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

# REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for possible consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated by City Departments must be signed by the Mayor, Comptroller, and Corporation Counsel prior to submission. Incomplete/incorrect RLs will be returned to applicant for revisions.

## **Applicant Presenting RL at Work Session**

Sarah Glose			Directo	r of Economic Devel	opment	607-772	2-7161	
(Print Name	) A A A		The second secon	(Title)			(Phone	number)
Signature:	1194					Date	04/03/2024	
			To Be	Completed By	<b>Applicant</b>			
Proposed Ti	itle: RESOL	LUTION AUTH	ORIZING THI	E MAYOR TO ACCI	EPT A \$25,000 G	RANT FROM I	BROOME COUNTY	FOR
A MURAL AT	MIRABITO S	TADIUM						
2						STEEN WALLESSON OF THE STEEN	W. Pavil	
Executive S	ummary (I	Explain why	legislation	is necessary):	The City of Bingl	hamton applied	for and received \$25	5,000 in
grants from the l	Broome County	y Small Commu	nity Fund prog	gram for the design an	d installation of a	mural on Miral	bito Stadium.	
***************************************								
Effective Da	te: (if appli	icable)				***************************************		-
Budget tran	sfer or am	endment: I	RL Budget	Transfer Works	heet <u>must</u> be	attached w/	Dep. Head sign	nature.
RL related t	o a grant:	RL Grant V	Vorksheet 1	must be attached	l. Deadline f	or Council 1	to act by:	
RL related t	o previous	ly adopted	legislation	: Perm. number		, adoption o	late	
Contract: P	erson/Com	pany		Sta	rt/End Date_			
Total Cost _		Funds	available ir	n Budget Line _		Title_		
Public Hear	Total Cost Funds available in Budget Line Title  Public Hearing required? Yes No ✓ SEQRA required? Yes No ✓							
Additional in	nformation	related to	this RL at	tached? Ye	s No			
М	ayor:		1 m	OFFICE USE ON	LY			
Co	omptroller:							
	orp. Counsel:	Nobe	A E. 1	Henry				
Fi	nance	Planning □	MPA □	PW/Parks □	Employees	Rules/Sp	ecial Studies	

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

## GRANT APPLICATION WORKSHEET

The Request for Legislation must include the project title and the purpose of the grant.

Please provide the following additional information.

Agency providing the grant: Broome County
Total project cost: \$25,000
Total amount of grant: \$25,000
Local match (if any): \$1,000
If local match is monetary, provide the budget line and title:
If local match is "in kind", provide the anticipated personnel and hours to be dedicated to the project:
50 hrs at ~\$20/hr
Disbursement of grant (upfront, reimbursable?): Reimburseable
Grant Budget Line: A6989.54742 (PROMOTIONS/MARKETING)
Grant project manager: Sarah Glose
Anticipated date of project completion: 2024/2025
Special project completion requirements (if any): Proof of Quotes or Invoices Required
Attach any required form of Resolution from the Agency providing the grant.
Please provide any additional information in the space provided below, including any other government agency or private partner participating in the grant, along with a description of such participation:
See attached application.

Office of the Broome County Executive Jason T. Garnar, County Executive

March 29, 2024

City of Binghamton 38 Hawley St. Binghamton, NY 13901

> Re: Dept. of Planning & Economic Development - CA 9-359(A)

Dear Contractor:

By Permanent Resolution 24-90, duly adopted on 3/21/24, the Broome County Legislature hA authorized the undersigned to forward to you this letter agreement. Broome County accepts your proposal, a copy of which is annexed hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A", for funding, but only on the following terms and conditions.

The County of Broome (hereinafter "County") agrees to provide funding to the City of Binghamton (hereinafter "Contractor") from the Small Community Grant Progrm for a mural at Mirabito Stadium, in accordance with the Contractor's proposal and any plans and directions submitted to the Contractor by the County's Dept. of Planning.

The County reserves the right to terminate this agreement at any time upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other party.

It is mutually understood and agreed that the Contractor shall not assign, transfer, convey, sublet, or otherwise dispose of the contract or the right, title, or interest therein, or his power to execute such contract, to any other person, company, or corporation without the express, previous, written consent of Broome County.

For the full and satisfactory performance of the work and services hereunder, the County shall pay the Contractor, subject to audit by the Comptroller of Broome County, an amount not to exceed Twenty Five Thousand Dollas (\$25,000.00).

It is understood and agreed by the parties that this Agreement shall be deemed executory only to the extent of money made available to the County through budgetary appropriations, and subject to budgetary limitations to carry out the purposes of this Agreement.

The Contractor agrees that in carrying out its activities under the terms of the Agreement that it shall not discriminate against any person due to such person's age, marital status, disability, genetic predisposition or carrier status, race, color, creed, sexual orientation, sex, national origin, familial status, domestic violence victim status or military status and that at all times it will abide by the applicable provisions of the Human Rights Law of the State of New York as set forth in Section 290-301 of the Executive Law of the State of New York.

In consideration of this agreement, the Contractor agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the County of Broome from and against any and all claims, suits, damages, costs, lawsuits, and expenses in any manner resulting from, arising out of or connected with the said work done or goods furnished by said Contractor.

If you are in agreement with the terms of this letter, please execute where indicated, in the lower left-hand corner of the letter, and return the original to the **BROOME COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE**, Edwin L. Crawford County Office Building, Government Plaza, Binghamton, New York, 13901. An extra copy is enclosed herewith for your records.

Enc.	Very truly yours,
ACCEPTED: CITY OF BINGHAMTON	
Ву	MICHAEL A. PONTICIELLO Deputy County Executive
Title	
Date	

Intro No. Date Reviewed by Co. Attorney Date

**BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE** 

Permanent No. 2024-90 Date Adopted 3/21/2024 Effective Date 3/2z

Sponsored by:

Economic Development, Education & Culture and Finance Committees

Seconded by:

Hon. Matthew J. Pasquale

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON FOR FUNDING FROM THE SMALL COMMUNITY GRANT PROGRAM FOR 2023-2024

WHEREAS, the Director of Planning and Economic Development requests authorization for an agreement with the City of Binghamton for funding in the amount of \$25,000 from the Small Community Grant Program for period December 1, 2023 through December 31, 2024, and

WHEREAS, said funding will support the installation of a mural at Mirabito Stadium, now,. therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an agreement with the City of Binghamton, 38 Hawley Street, Binghamton, New York 13901-3776 for funding in the amount of \$25,000 from the Small Community Grant Program for the period December 1, 2023 through December 31, 2024, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said agreement, the County shall pay the Contractor an amount not to exceed \$25,000 for the term of the agreement, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the payments hereinabove authorized shall be made from budget line 90000099.6005041 (Small Community Grant Program), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or his duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

COUNTY OF BROOME | STATE OF NEW YORK |

Date

I, the undersigned, Deputy Clerk of the Legislature of the County of Broome, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above is an original resolution of such Legislature duly adopted on the 21\* day of March 21, 2024, by a majority of the members elected to the Legislature of said County at a regular meeting of said Legislature.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that at the time said resolution was adopted said Legislature was comprised of fifteen members.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the corporate seal of said Legislature this 22nd day of March, 2024.

Date sent to County Executive: March 22, 2024

· << County Executive

Deputy Clerk, County Legislature County of Broome

# COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT GRANTS SMALL COMMUNITY FUND

Full program guidelines are available at www.gobroomecounty.com/planning/communitygrants

ORGANIZATION AND CONTACT INFORMATION

All applications MUST be submitted by the deadline of October 11, 2023 at 4 pm via email to communitygrants@broomecountyny.gov or will be deemed ineligible.

ON AND CONTACT INFORMATION		
For Non-Profit Organizations (both required):  Registered with the Internal Revenue Service Registered with the NYS Charities Bureau		
City of Binghamton		
s: 38 Hawley Street		
Binghamton, NY 13901		
Sarah Glose, Director of Economic Development		
saglose@cityofbinghamton.gov		
607-772-7161		
ORMATION		
Stadium Murals		
\$ <u>50,000</u>		
Mirabito Stadium		
ne date(s) or timeframe for your project.		

# 11. Project Description:

Describe the project, the specific location and/or service area, what agency or organization is going to be responsible for carrying the project out, and a list of key personnel.

political.
The City of Binghamton in collaboration with the Binghamton Rumble Ponies requests \$50,000 to support painting murals on the side of Mirabito Stadium. The project would be overseen by the City as the landlord of the stadium in close collaboration with the Rumble Ponies.
Key personnel:
Sarah Glose, City project lead David Sobotka, Team project lead and team owner Patrick McGinnis, Commissioner of Parks and Recreation and stadium liaison Megan Heiman, Deputy Mayor and Mayor's office liaison
·

# PROJECT GOALS

12. Which of the Vision Items will your project address (check all that apply):  W Making Broome County an Inviting Place to Live, Work, and Play Engaging Our Students and Young Professionals  Taking Pride in Our Urban and Village Centers  Taking Pride in our Scenic Beauty  Investing in Our Legacy Sites  Making a Commitment to High Standards in Services  Making a Commitment to High Standards in Building Design and Renovation  Becoming More Resilient  Investing in Our Workforce, Entrepreneurship, and Innovation
The murals will promote pride of place in Binghamton, the Rumble Ponies, and Broome
County at large by taking a blank wall canvas and transforming it into an aesthetic and cultural asset for our community.
While the funding requested is specifically for the murals, the City and the Rumble Ponies are committed to making this project beneficial beyond the art itself. We envision a
community event where we bring together baseball fans, neighbors, artists, families,
students, young professionals and more to select designs, participate in painting, and/or celebrate the unveiling. These events would take place in and around the Stadium,
introducing new attendees to the team and the Stadium District and providing an opportunity for legacy fans to celebrate the team and instill increased pride in the facility
itself. We see these events bringing together community organizations such as the Broome County Arts Council with community members and local businesses for a festival type experience that we hope would become a long-standing community tradition.
typo experience that we hope would become a long-standing community tradition.

~	hich of the following initiatives does your project support (check all that apply)?
	Improving streetscape, bike and pedestrian amenities, commercial facades
	Supporting and coordinating marketing efforts for rural village centers
<b>V</b>	Combating blight in primary and secondary corridors and gateway areas
	Improving access to recreational and scenic resources
~	Supporting small retail and restaurants
	Addressing substandard housing
	Promoting elder-friendly development
V	Attracting and retaining artists, entrepreneurs, and retirees
V	Supporting events and festivals
V	Fostering public art
	Enhancing innovative community services
<b>V</b>	Establish Broome County as a unique destination
,	
15.Ex	plain how your project addresses one (or more) of these initiatives:
Stac bring rich Brod	murals themselves would improve a commercial facade, work to preempt blight at the dium, attract artists, and support public art. The events held around the mural would g small businesses together in a festival-type atmosphere, exposing attendees to the and vibrant downtown business community. These events would also further solidify ome County as THE destination for baseball in the region and give new and old fans an opportunity to come together at the stadium.

# PROJECT BUDGET

ALL APPLICANTS SHOULD REVIEW ELIGIBLE EXPENSES IN THE PROGRAM GUIDELINES DOCUMENT PRIOR TO DEVELOPING A PROPOSED BUDGET. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITITY OF THE APPLICANT TO ENSURE THAT REQUESTED FUNDS ARE ELIGIBLE PRIOR TO MAKING PROJECT EXPENDITURES.

- 16. Please complete the Budget Worksheet. See the form at the end of this application.

  I confirm that I have fully completed the budget worksheet.
- 17. Budget Narrative: Elaborate on the expenditures and funding sources outlined on the Project Budget Form:

The City of Binghamton requests \$50,000 from Broome County to cover the materials, labor, equipment, and some travel/housing expenses for an artist. The City of Binghamton and the Rumble Ponies will provide in-kind labor support to solicit artists, develop contracts, and develop designs. The City of Binghamton and/or the BLDC, in coordination with the Rumble Ponies, will commit to both in-kind labor and cash funding to support community events around the mural project.

Any unexpected overages in the project would be covered through local dollars.

18. Budget Justification: Describe why funds from Broome County are needed for this project? What other funding sources will be used for the project? Will the project be able to move forward without the requested grant funds or partial funding?

This project is entirely contingent upon the allocation from Broome County. Without these funds, we have no budget available to pay for the labor and materials for an artist to complete a mural on a wall of this size and scale.

Without these funds, we may still be able to do a much smaller scale version of the project, but with more City-funds allocated to the art itself, we will lose the ability to focus on making events around this mural a hub for community development

19. Self-sufficiency and Maintenance: Funds are intended to be short term investments in projects that ultimately become self-sufficient. Explain your plan for self-sufficiency independent of County support. If physical improvements are proposed, how will they be maintained? How will the project support programs or initiatives into the future?

The mural will be maintained by the City of Binghamton as landlord of the Stadium in coordination with Rumble Ponies facilities staff.

The plan is to host a first annual event that will become a tradition at the Stadium in coordination with this mural.

# **ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS**

20. If you have additional documentation you would like to provide in support of your documentation, please attach to the submission email.

0 120

# SMALL COMMUNITY FUND PROJECT BUDGET FORM

Please complete this form to document all expenditures and sources of funding anticipated for the project. "Total Expenditures" should be equal to the "Total From All Sources".

Elaboration must be provided in the application under Budget Narrative and Justification.

Changes to this budget must be approved by Broome County. Recipients of these funds may be subject to an audit by Broome County or its agents.

Category	Amount	Notes (if applicable)				
Personnel Services (project related expenses ONLY)						
Salaries	\$ 2,000.00	Assuming \$20/hr X 100 hours				
Other						
Non-personnel Expenses						
Supplies and Materials	\$ 20,000.00	Rough estimates based on quotes from artists				
Equipment	\$ 15,000.00					
Professional Services	\$ 15,000.00					
Construction	\$ 0.00					
Printing/Advertising	\$ 0.00					
Other (describe in notes)	\$ 0.00					
Other (describe in notes)	\$ 0.00	en e				

SOURCES OF FUNDS				
Category	Amount	Percent	•	Status
Broome County SCF	\$ 50,000.00	96		
State Grants			·	
Federal Grants				
Local Municipal Funds		•	•	
Other Grants			•	
Private Funds/Donations				
In-kind Contribution	\$ 2,000.00	4	Committed	
TOTAL FROM ALL SOURCES	\$ 52,000.00	100		

# Legislative Branch

RL Number:
24-69
Date Submitted:

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

# REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for possible consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated by City Departments must be signed by the Mayor, Comptroller, and Corporation Counsel prior to submission. Incomplete/incorrect RLs will be returned to applicant for revisions.

## **Applicant Presenting RL at Work Session**

ing	Director PHCD	607 772 7028	
ame)	(Title)		(Phone number)
re: Jul	at Berl	Date:	1/3/24
	To Be Completed I	By Applicant	
1 7774.1			
EPARTMENT OF E	NERGY (DOE) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$76,69	0 FOR THE PURCHASE OF ELECTRIC	VEHICLES.
			JSE,
		LL ELECTRIC PASSENGER VEHICLES	<b>5.</b>
e Date: (if appli	cable)		
transfer or am	endment: RL Budget Transfer Wor	ksheet must be attached w/ Dep	. Head signature.
ted to a grant:	RL Grant Worksheet must be attack	hed. Deadline for Council to act	by:
ted to previous	ly adopted legislation: Perm. num	ber, adoption date _	
t: Person/Com	panyS	Start/End Date	
st	Funds available in Budget Line	eTitle	
learing requir	ed? Yes No ✓	SEQRA required? Yes	No 🗸
nal information	related to this RL attached?	Yes / No	
		ONLY	
Mayor:	M M		
Comptroller:			
Corp. Counsel:	V		
165		Employees   Rules/Special	Studies 🗆
	ed Title:  UTION AUTHORIZ EPARTMENT OF E  WE Summary (It BG PROGRAM IS A UEL EMISSIONS, A OCATED \$76,690 F The Date: (if appliate the design of the design o	To Be Completed E  To Be Complet	To Be Completed By Applicant  To Be

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

# **GRANT APPLICATION WORKSHEET**

The Request for Legislation must include the project title and the purpose of the grant.

Please provide the following additional information.

Agency providing the grant: Department of Energy Office of State and Community Energy Programs
Total project cost: \$76,660
Total amount of grant: \$76,660
Local match (if any):
If local match is monetary, provide the budget line and title:
If local match is "in kind", provide the anticipated personnel and hours to be dedicated to the project:
Disbursement of grant (upfront, reimbursable?): Reimbursable
Grant Budget Line:
Grant project manager: Juliet Berling
Anticipated date of project completion: May 2024
Special project completion requirements (if any): Apply for NYS DEC ZEV Rebates
Attach any required form of Resolution from the Agency providing the grant.
Please provide any additional information in the space provided below, including any other government agency or private partner participating in the grant, along with a description of such participation:
Assistance Listing # (formerly CFDA)



## **EECBG Program PRE-AWARD INFORMATION SHEET**

Each Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) Program recipient shall provide the following information on behalf of itself and all subrecipients and certify that the information is accurate and complete. Recipients may choose to provide this information in whatever format they like, as this form is optional.

EECBG Program recipients representing local and tribal entities may select from two options: 1) a grant or 2) a voucher for technical assistance and/or equipment rebates. EECBG Program recipients choosing a grant must provide data requested in sections 1 through 8 below. EECBG Program recipients choosing a voucher may skip sections 4 through 7. Please submit your completed document to EECBG@hq.doe.gov.

## **SECTION 1: CONTACT & LOCATION INFORMATION** (All recipients)

Recipient Name:	City of Binghamton				
UEI:	E7R5BNCPDN37				
Business Officer (BO):	Chuck Shager Comptroller	BO Phone Number:	(607) 772-7011	BO Email:	ceshager@cityofbinghamton.gov
Project Director/ Principal Investigator (PI):	Juliet Berling Director Planning	PI Phone Number:	(607) 772-7028	PI Email:	jmberling@cityofbinghamton.gov

**Note**: PI is the designated primary point of contact for the EECBG grant, such as the Project or Program Manager. Business Officer is the authorized individual responsible for financial management of the EECBG grant. Additionally, UEI number is *required* for grant applicants, but not for voucher applicants.

A. TYPE OF	ORGANIZATION - I	Please indicate the type	pe of organization of	the Recipient by selecting	one of the
following:					

0	Local Government
(	Tribal Government
	State
(	Territory

### B. LOCATION AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

List the address and Congressional(s) district for the primary location where the grant will be performed.

Street Address:	38 Hawley Street
City:	Binghamton
State:	New York
Zip:	13901
Congressional District(s):	22nd

## **SECTION 2: GRANT OR VOUCHER** (All recipients)

award in the fo	um recipients representing local and tribal entities must select whether to receive their EECBG Program rm of a grant or a voucher for technical assistance and/or equipment rebates. Please indicate your choice & Territories must select "Grant." (Select one option) [response to this question is non-binding]
	Voucher for Technical Assistance
	Voucher for Equipment Rebate
	Voucher for both Technical Assistance and Equipment Rebate  Grant
	BUSINESS ASSURANCES (All recipients) JRE OF POTENTIAL IMPROPRIETIES
	ase disclose if any of the following conditions exist. If the answer to any question (a) through (g) below is le a detailed explanation in an attachment to this form.
a.	Is the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or any of the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals¹ under investigation for or charged with a covered offense²?  Yes  No
b.	Has the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or any of the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals been convicted of a covered offense in the last five years or had a civil judgment rendered against them for one of those offenses in that time period?  Yes  No
c.	Is the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or any of the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals under investigation for potential violation of U.S. export control laws and regulations, or has the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or any of the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals been convicted of any violations of U.S. export control laws and regulations?  Yes  No
d.	Is the proposed Recipient or Subrecipient(s) under investigation for potential violations of the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, or has the proposed Recipient or Subrecipient(s) been convicted of any violations of the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988?  Yes No

Page 2 of 10

<sup>1</sup> For this form, "principal" means: (1) An officer, director, owner, partner, PI, or other person (as defined in 2 C.F.R. 180.95) within the Project Team with management or supervisory responsibilities related to this project and any resulting transaction; or (2) A consultant or other person, whether or not employed by the Recipient, Subrecipient, or their principals, or paid with Federal funds, who (a) is in a position to handle Federal funds, (b) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds, or (c) occupies a technical or professional position capable of substantially influencing the development or outcome of an activity required to perform the transaction, including but not limited to, any Co-PIs.

<sup>2</sup> For this form, "covered offenses" include: (1) Commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public or private agreement or transaction; (2) Violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes, including those proscribing price fixing between competitors, allocation of customers between competitors, and bid rigging; (3) Commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, receiving stolen property, making false claims, or obstruction of justice; or (4) Commission of any other offense indicating a lack of business integrity or business honesty that seriously and directly affects the Recipient's present responsibility.

e.	Is the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or any of the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals under investigation for research misconduct, or has the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals been convicted of research misconduct?  Yes  No
f.	Has any Federal Agency recommended or initiated proceedings against the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals for suspension or debarment, or is the proposed Recipient, Subrecipient(s), or the Recipient's or Subrecipient's principals debarred, suspended, publicly banned from doing business with the Federal government, or otherwise declared ineligible from receiving Federal Contracts, subcontracts or financial assistance?  Yes  No
g.	Is the proposed Recipient or Subrecipient(s) delinquent on federal debt or insolvent or at risk of insolvency or have the proposed Recipient or Subrecipient(s) filed for bankruptcy in any domestic or foreign jurisdiction?  Yes  No
POTE	NTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
1.	Financial Conflicts of Interest. The Recipient must disclose in writing any managed or unmanageable financial conflicts of interest involving a member of the project team (i.e., Investigators) and include sufficient information to enable DOE to understand the nature and extent of the financial conflict, and to assess the appropriateness of the non-Federal entity's management plan. See Section V(b)(3) of the DOE Interim Conflict of Interest Policy. As part of this DOE funded project, does the recipient or any subrecipients have any managed or unmanageable financial conflicts of interest involving a member of the project team (i.e., Investigators)?
	Yes. If yes, in a separate attachment, the Recipient must provide relevant disclosures/supporting documentation as required by the <u>DOE Interim Conflict of Interest Policy</u> Section V(b)(3).
organi CFR 2 subrec	izational Conflicts of Interest <sup>3</sup> . The Recipient must disclose in writing any potential or actual zational conflict of interest to DOE. See <u>DOE Interim Conflict of Interest Policy</u> Section VI and 2 00.318 for more information. As part of this DOE funded project, does the recipient or any ipients intend to engage in a procurement with a parent, affiliate, or subsidiary organization that is tate, local government, or Indian tribe?
	Yes. If yes, in a separate attachment, the Recipient must provide relevant disclosures/supporting documentation as required by the <a href="DOE Interim Conflict of Interest Policy">DOE Interim Conflict of Interest Policy</a> Section VI.

\*\*Recipients choosing a voucher may skip to Section 8\*\*

B.

C.

<sup>3</sup> Organizational Conflict of Interest means a situation where because of relationships with a parent company, affiliate, or subsidiary organization, the non-Federal entity is unable or appears to be unable to be impartial in conducting a procurement action involving a related organization. 2 CFR 200.318(c)(2).

## **SECTION 4: PAYMENT INFORMATION** (Grant recipients only)

Provide (1) the awardee seven-digit ASAP (Automated Standard Application for Payment System) ID number that is under the DOE / Golden Field Office (GO) Agency Locator Code (ALC) and Region Code (#8900-0001-04) if available; (2) the name, phone number and email for the ASAP / Payments Contact Person; and (3) indicate whether the preferred payment method is by advance or reimbursement. Note: If ASAP number is not available, please leave field (1) blank and proceed with filling out (2) and (3).

	<ol> <li>ASAP Number:</li> <li>ASAP/Payments Contact Person:</li> <li>Indicate Preferred Payment Method:</li> </ol>	Payment by Advance	Paymen	t by Reimb	ursement
L E <b>CT</b> I	ON 5: PERFORMANCE AND FINAN	CIAL INFORMATION RE	EQUEST (Gra	ant recipier	nts only)
Bef	ore providing this information, please read that if the things of the completed.				
1.	Has the Recipient had prior Federal awards	?		Yes	ONo
	If Yes:  Is the Recipient up to date on all reporting a prior awards, including submitting acceptable Federal or non-Federal organizations? If you attach an explanation.	ole final technical reports, with o	ther	Yes	ONo
2a.	Has the Recipient had an independent Single Audit per Federal regulations, or had a prior (Please see attached instructions regarding)	r Defense Contract Audit Agenc	y (DCAA) Aud	Yes it performed	ONo
2b.	Has the Recipient undergone a Financial A	udit within the last 3 years?		Yes	ONo
	If Yes to either 2a. or 2b.:  a. A copy of the audit is attached to this for b. An electronic copy of the audit was pro An electronic copy of the audit can be found. If audit was not provided, please explain where the statement of the statement	vided with application package.	or provided:	Yes Yes	ONo ONo
	Tradat was not provided, prease explain wi	ny it has not seen completed and	701 provided.		
3.	Recipient's fiscal year end date is				
4a.	Identify the Federal Agency providing the process Recipient's organization is/was the prime responded Agency name, Cognizant Agency propose number, and e-mail.  (If the Recipient's organization has a DCA Agency process organization has a DCA Agency provided the Recipient's organization has a DCA Agency providing the provid	ecipient, including any DOE Aw point of contact (individual in cha	ards. arge of negotiat	ing billing r	
	Agency:				

	Po	oint of Contact:			
	_	one/Email:			
4b	. DC	AA Contact Information:			
	D	CAA Office:			
	-	int of Contact:			
	_Ph	one/Email:			
SECT recipie		6: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM – ACCOUNTING SYSTEM (	SURVI	E <b>Υ</b> (G1	ant -
ass	suranc	fy for Financial Assistance, compliance with 2 CFR 200 as amended by 2 CFR 910 is a e of an adequate accounting system for estimating, accounting, and billing for government of the checklist below as assurance of this requirement.			
		tional information, please visit <a href="https://www.dcaa.mil">https://www.dcaa.mil</a> . Please refer specifically to the "FAdequacy Checklist" under CUSTOMERS>Checklists and Tools.	re-awar	d Acco	ounting
			Yes	No	<u>NA</u>
1.		e Accounting System in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles cable to the circumstances and associated applicable Federal regulations?	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$
2.	Acco	ounting System provides for:			
	a.	Segregation of direct costs from indirect costs.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	b.	Identification and accumulation of direct costs by project.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	c.	A logical and consistent method for the allocation of indirect costs to intermediate and final cost objectives. (Project line items are final cost objective)	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	d.	Accumulation of costs under general ledger control.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	e.	A timekeeping system that identifies employees' labor by intermediate and final cost objective (i.e., project level, division level).	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$
	f.	A labor distribution system that charges direct and indirect labor to appropriate cost objectives.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	g.	Interim (at least monthly) determination of costs charged to a project through routine posting of books of account.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	h.	Excluding costs charged to Government projects which are not allowable in terms of FAR 31, Contract Cost Principles and Procedures, or other provisions.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
	i.	Identification of costs by project line item and by units (as if each unit or line item were a separate project) if required by the proposed award.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
3.		e Accounting System designed, and are the records maintained in such a manner that uate, reliable data are developed for use in developing cost proposals?	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$
4.	Is the	e Accounting System currently in full operation?	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$

# SECTION 7: REPRESENTATION/CERTIFICATION (Grant recipients only)

Revised March 21, 2023

Certification of the informat	ion is required by the organization's authorized representative
☐ I certify that I have regis	tered in the System for Award Management (SAM).
☐ I certify that I have regis	tered in FedConnect.net in order to receive award documentation.
	ient cost information has been reviewed, and that all subrecipient costs are reasonable, in accordance with the applicable cost principles. All subrecipient budget documents DOE request.
150	sts proposed in the application (under the personnel, travel, equipment, supplies, and/or other direct costs categories) are direct to the project and are not duplicated in the
	es undertaken to solicit any subrecipients, subawards, subcontracts and vendors comply written procurement procedures as outlined in "Procurement Standards" 2 CFR 200.317 inclusive.
☐ I certify the Recipient:	
(1) Has in effect an up	to date, written, and enforced administrative process to identify and manage conflicts of ll projects for which financial assistance funding is sought or received from DOE;
	enforce Investigator compliance with DOE's Interim Conflict of Interest (COI) Policy's hose pertaining to disclosure of significant financial interests;
(3) Shall manage financi to DOE;	al conflicts of interest and provide initial and ongoing financial conflicts of interest reports
financial interests and the	mation available, promptly upon request, to DOE relating to any Investigator disclosure of ne Recipient's review of, and response to, such disclosure, whether or not the disclosure's determination of a financial conflict of interest; and
(5) Shall fully comply w	ith the requirements of the DOE Interim COI Policy.
SECTION 8: SIGNATURES	S (All recipients)
on behalf of the Recipient. I Award Information Sheet is information, misrepresentation administrative penalties for f U.S.C. 3729-3730 and 3801- herein are material to DOE's	named below, represent by my signature that I am authorized to certify this information certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information contained in this Pretrue, complete and accurate. I understand that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent ons, half-truths, or omissions of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil or fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 287, and 31 3812). I further understand and agree that (1) the statements and representations made funding decision, and (2) I have a responsibility to update the disclosures during the award should circumstances change which impact the responses provided above.
Name:	Jared M. Kraham
Title:	Mayor City of Binghamton
Signature of Authorized Official:	Why m

Date:

# April 25, 2023

I, the Principal Investigator named below, certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information contained in this Pre-Award Information Sheet is true, complete and accurate. I understand that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, misrepresentations, half-truths, or omissions of any material fact may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 287, and 31 U.S.C. 3729-3730 and 3801-3812). I further understand and agree that (1) the statements and representations made herein are material to DOE's funding decision, and (2) I have a responsibility to update the disclosures during the period of performance of the award should circumstances change which impact the responses provided above.

Name:	Juliet M. Berling
Title:	Director Planning Housing Community Development
Signature of Principal Investigator:	Quelas Berlines
Date:	April 25, 2023

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This information will assist DOE in determining: 1) cognizance; 2) whether the Recipient has adequate internal financial and management controls; and 3) whether the Recipient has an adequate accounting system. Generally, cognizance means that an organization has a Federal oversight agency (cognizant agency). Cognizance of an organization is used for many purposes including: determining which agency is responsible for verifying correct allocation of indirect rates to incurred costs; issuing the Provisional and Final Indirect Rate Agreements; and ordering audits. Adequate internal controls and accounting systems are essential to ensure that the Recipient's costs are correctly estimated, recorded, and billed. The Recipient has the responsibility to ensure that these systems are in place. The cognizant Agency has the responsibility for verifying these systems through audits or other methods.

For additional information, please visit <a href="https://www.dcaa.mil">https://www.dcaa.mil</a>. Please refer specifically to the "Pre-award Accounting System Adequacy Checklist" under CUSTOMERS-->Checklists and Tools.

Cognizance is determined by TOTAL Federal award/contract dollars received by the Recipient/Contractor <u>from all Federal agencies</u>. This information is used to determine which Federal agency has the largest preponderance of funding and is cognizant. Once a Federal agency assumes cognizance for a contractor, it should remain cognizant for at least 5 years to ensure continuity and ease of administration.

Cognizance related duties are the responsibility of the Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA). The CFA is the Federal agency (e.g., Department of Defense, Department of Energy, Navy, etc.) that provided the preponderance (largest amount) of funding for your awards, across all federal agencies. It is very important to confirm that you work with the correct office.

**General Rule of Thumb:** Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS) is usually the CFA for Universities, Cities, States, and Counties. DHHS cognizance is not usually transferred.

If it is determined that the Department of Energy/Golden Field Office (GO) is the Cognizant Federal Office, the Recipient will have the following **ANNUAL** responsibilities:

- 1. Submit a Certified Annual Incurred Cost Claim (ICE Model see #3 below) to the GO Cost/Price mailbox (<u>CostPrice@ee.doe.gov</u>). This should represent the total organization's costs (representing the Company General Ledger), not just the Recipient's DOE award(s).
- 2. Due Date: 180 days after the Recipient's fiscal year end.
- 3. For an example of the ICE Model, please visit <a href="https://www.dcaa.mil">https://www.dcaa.mil</a>. Under "CUSTOMERS->Checklists and Tools", click on ICE (Incurred Cost Electronically) Model and download the ICE model.

Once the information is received, GO will have the responsibility of providing your organization with an annual indirect rate agreement. This indirect rate must be used on all Federal grants and contracts.

If it is determined that GO is <u>not</u> the Cognizant Federal Office, the Recipient should contact the Cognizant Office for additional instructions.

### ANNUAL AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

#### Independent (Single & Compliance) Audit Requirements

### 2 CFR 200, Section F located at:

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

idx?SID=6e187b05cfeca4f534c659f20983b14e&mc=true&node=pt2.1.200&rgn=div5

For-Profits: 2 CFR 910, Section F located at:

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?node=pt2.1.910&rgn=div5

Below are excerpts from Section F.

### Audit requirements.

- (a) Audit required. A non-Federal entity that expends \$750,000 or more during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year in Federal awards must have a single (program-specific, *not applicable for For-Profits*) or compliance audit conducted for that year in accordance with the provisions of this part.
- (b) *Single* audit. A non-Federal entity that expends \$750,000 or more during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year in Federal awards must have a single audit conducted in accordance with §200.514 Scope of audit except when it elects to have a program-specific audit conducted in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.
- (b) Compliance audit. (1) If a for-profit entity has one or more DOE awards with expenditures of \$750,000 or more during the for-profit entity's fiscal year, they must have a compliance audit for each of the awards with \$750,000 or more in expenditures. A compliance audit should comply with the applicable provisions in §910.514—Scope of Audit. The remaining awards do not require, individually or in the aggregate, a compliance audit.
- (c) Program-specific audit election. (Not applicable to For-Profits). When an auditee expends Federal awards under only one Federal program (excluding R&D) and the Federal program's statutes, regulations, or the terms and conditions of the Federal award do not require a financial statement audit of the auditee, the auditee may elect to have a program-specific audit conducted in accordance with §200.507 Program-specific audits. A program-specific audit may not be elected for R&D unless all of the Federal awards expended were received from the same Federal agency, or the same Federal agency and the same pass-through entity, and that Federal agency, or pass-through entity in the case of a subrecipient, approves in advance a program-specific audit.
- (d) Exemption when Federal awards expended are less than \$750,000. A non-Federal entity that expends less than \$750,000 during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year in Federal awards is exempt from Federal audit requirements for that year, except as noted in \$200.503 Relation to other audit requirements, but records must be available for review or audit by appropriate officials of the Federal agency, pass-through entity, and Government Accountability Office (GAO).
- (e) Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC). Management of an auditee that owns or operates a FFRDC may elect to treat the FFRDC as a separate entity for purposes of this part.
- (f) Subrecipients and Contractors. An auditee may simultaneously be a recipient, a subrecipient, and a contractor. Federal awards expended as a recipient or a subrecipient are subject to audit under this part. The payments received for goods or services provided as a contractor are not Federal awards. Section §200.331 Subrecipient and contractor determinations sets forth the considerations in determining whether payments constitute a Federal award or a payment for goods or services provided as a contractor.

- (g) Compliance responsibility for contractors. In most cases, the auditee's compliance responsibility for contractors is only to ensure that the procurement, receipt, and payment for goods and services comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards. Federal award compliance requirements normally do not pass through to contractors. However, the auditee is responsible for ensuring compliance for procurement transactions which are structured such that the contractor is responsible for program compliance or the contractor's records must be reviewed to determine program compliance. Also, when these procurement transactions relate to a major program, the scope of the audit must include determining whether these transactions are in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.
- (h) For-profit *subrecipient*. Since this part does not apply to for-profit subrecipients, the pass-through entity is responsible for establishing requirements, as necessary, to ensure compliance by for-profit subrecipients. The agreement with the for-profit subrecipient must describe applicable compliance requirements and the for-profit subrecipient's compliance responsibility. Methods to ensure compliance for Federal awards made to for-profit subrecipients may include pre-award audits, monitoring during the agreement, and post-award audits. See also §200.332 Requirements for pass-through entities.



chers/s/about-the-progran	) Submit Application	My Applications (/eecbgvouchers/s/my-applications)
Individual Application		
Applied Date Acco 2/13/2024, 2:03 PM Bing	nt Application Status <u>namto</u> Project In-Progress	Funding Opportunity RecordType Name  EECBG Equipment Rebates Applicati Equipment Rebates Application
tecord Detail (?Tabset-3a4af=1)	Related List (?Tabset-3a4af=2) Po	ost-Installation (?Tabset-3a4af=3)
✓ Individual Application		
Application ID		Application Status
IA-0000000399		Project In-Progress
Account <u>Binghamton (/eecbgvouchers/s/detail/</u>	01Hs000030dnBBIAY)	Funding Opportunity  EECBG Equipment Rebates Application  (/eecbgvouchers/s/detail/119Hs000000sZUhIAM)
Contact		Category
Juliet Berling (/eecbgvouchers/s/detail	003Hs00004kHwfPIAS)	Rebates
Application Number EECEQ-00399		Total Line Items 1
Planning to team with other entities?		
✓ Project Information		
Sectors served Local Government		How the project serves DACs  By reducing the number of gasoline powered vehicles used by our city government we reduce pollutant emissions by using electric vehicles instead. Electric vehicles are much more affordable to operate that gasoline powered vehicles reducing the economic burder for taxpayers, our existing vehicles are older models and need a lot of additional maintenance and will be replaced soon. This funding will allow the cost-effective replacement of city work vehicles and will help the city to reduce its carbon footprint and reduce the economic burden of an older fleet.
Other Project Sector		One to Two Sentence Summary  Our charging EV stations have always been free for public use and will continue to be free. The vehicles being replaced are used by our building code enforcement and parks offices who have the oldest vehicles and the highest rate of vehicle miles traveled and very involved in the health, safety, and welfare of the public.
Eligible Activities Conservation of Transportation Energy		
Serves disadvantaged communities (DAC	)?	
→ Budget Information		
EECBG Program Formula Allocation Amo \$76,690.00	nt	Total Voucher Estimate \$76,690.00
Using multiple EECBG recipients formula No		
Total Teaming EECBG Formula Allocation		
✓ Program Metrics and Compl	ance Requirements	
Program Metrics		Davis-Bacon Assurance Letter SIgned by
Transportation Infrastructure and Vehic	es	Juliet M. Berling
Other Program Metric		Davis-Bacon Assurance Letter Signed Date

NEPA SOW 2	
→ Terms and Conditions	
Terms And Conditions Signed By	
Juliet M. Berling	
Terms And Conditions Signed Date	
2/13/2024	
→ Application Milestones	
Applied Date	Approved Date
2/13/2024, 2:03 PM	
✓ Review Information	
Tier One Reviewer	Tier Two Reviewer
Jamie MacDonald (/eecbgvouchers/s/profile/005Hs00000E61QcIAJ)	Aaron Lazelle (/eecbgvouchers/s/profile/005Hs00000BmCUDIA3)
Tier One Review Complete	Tier Two Review Complete
Tier One Review Completed Date	Tier Two Review Completed Date
2/15/2024	2/27/2024
	Denial Reason
	Explanation for Tier 3 Review
Tier Three Reviewer	
Investigation Notes	
Resolution Notes	
✓ Virtual Inspection	
Virtual Inspection	Virtual Inspection Complete

Website and program administered by ICF Incorporated under DOE BPA Award 89303023ASE000001.

Instances of potential fraud, waste, and abuse should be referred to the <u>DOE IG Hotline (https://www.energy.gov/ig/ig-hotline)</u>, phone: 1–800–541–1625 or email: ighotline@hq.doe.gov



chers/s/about-the-progran	) Submit Application	My Applications (/eecbgvouchers/s/my-applications)
Individual Application		
Applied Date Acco 2/13/2024, 2:03 PM Bing	nt Application Status <u>namto</u> Project In-Progress	Funding Opportunity RecordType Name  EECBG Equipment Rebates Applicati Equipment Rebates Application
tecord Detail (?Tabset-3a4af=1)	Related List (?Tabset-3a4af=2) Po	ost-Installation (?Tabset-3a4af=3)
✓ Individual Application		
Application ID		Application Status
IA-0000000399		Project In-Progress
Account <u>Binghamton (/eecbgvouchers/s/detail/</u>	01Hs000030dnBBIAY)	Funding Opportunity  EECBG Equipment Rebates Application  (/eecbgvouchers/s/detail/119Hs000000sZUhIAM)
Contact		Category
Juliet Berling (/eecbgvouchers/s/detail	003Hs00004kHwfPIAS)	Rebates
Application Number EECEQ-00399		Total Line Items 1
Planning to team with other entities?		
✓ Project Information		
Sectors served Local Government		How the project serves DACs  By reducing the number of gasoline powered vehicles used by our city government we reduce pollutant emissions by using electric vehicles instead. Electric vehicles are much more affordable to operate that gasoline powered vehicles reducing the economic burder for taxpayers, our existing vehicles are older models and need a lot of additional maintenance and will be replaced soon. This funding will allow the cost-effective replacement of city work vehicles and will help the city to reduce its carbon footprint and reduce the economic burden of an older fleet.
Other Project Sector		One to Two Sentence Summary  Our charging EV stations have always been free for public use and will continue to be free. The vehicles being replaced are used by our building code enforcement and parks offices who have the oldest vehicles and the highest rate of vehicle miles traveled and very involved in the health, safety, and welfare of the public.
Eligible Activities Conservation of Transportation Energy		
Serves disadvantaged communities (DAC	)?	
→ Budget Information		
EECBG Program Formula Allocation Amo \$76,690.00	nt	Total Voucher Estimate \$76,690.00
Using multiple EECBG recipients formula No		
Total Teaming EECBG Formula Allocation		
✓ Program Metrics and Compl	ance Requirements	
Program Metrics		Davis-Bacon Assurance Letter SIgned by
Transportation Infrastructure and Vehic	es	Juliet M. Berling
Other Program Metric		Davis-Bacon Assurance Letter Signed Date

NEPA SOW 2	
→ Terms and Conditions	
Terms And Conditions Signed By	
Juliet M. Berling	
Terms And Conditions Signed Date	
2/13/2024	
→ Application Milestones	
Applied Date	Approved Date
2/13/2024, 2:03 PM	
✓ Review Information	
Tier One Reviewer	Tier Two Reviewer
Jamie MacDonald (/eecbgyouchers/s/profile/005Hs00000E61QcIAJ)	Aaron Lazelle (/eecbgvouchers/s/profile/005Hs00000BmCUDIA3)
Tier One Review Complete	Tier Two Review Complete
Tier One Review Completed Date	Tier Two Review Completed Date
2/15/2024	2/27/2024
	Denial Reason
	Explanation for Tier 3 Review
Tier Three Reviewer	
Investigation Notes	
Resolution Notes	
✓ Virtual Inspection	
Virtual Inspection	Virtual Inspection Complete

Website and program administered by ICF Incorporated under DOE BPA Award 89303023ASE000001.

Instances of potential fraud, waste, and abuse should be referred to the <u>DOE IG Hotline (https://www.energy.gov/ig/ig-hotline)</u>, phone: 1–800–541–1625 or email: ighotline@hq.doe.gov



## **DRAFT ALLOCATION OF FUNDS**

## Office of State and Community Energy Programs (SCEP) U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

## ATTACHMENT 1A: DOE FORMULA GRANT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT (EECBG) PROGRAM

This document presents the draft formula grant funding allocations by Local Government (Table 1a) for the EECBG Program - Sec. 40552(b) of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) of 2021.

Table 1a. DRAFT EECBG Program Formula Grant Funding Allocations to <u>Local Governments</u>
Listed Alphabetically by State and Local Government

#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
		Total (Local Governments)	Government	\$299,200,000
1	AK	Aleutians East	County	\$75,120
2	AK	Fairbanks North Star	County	\$77,080
3	AK	Haines	County	\$75,080
4	AK	Kenai Peninsula	County	\$76,770
5	AK	Ketchikan Gateway	County	\$75,180
6	AK	Kodiak Island	County	\$75,250
7	AK	Matanuska-Susitna	County	\$77,960
8	AK	North Slope	County	\$75,430
9	AK	Northwest Arctic	County	\$75,270
10	AK	Petersburg	County	\$75,120
11	AK	Anchorage	City	\$300,250
12	AK	Bethel	City	\$75,220
13	AK	Fairbanks	City	\$76,260
14	AK	Juneau, City and Borough of	City	\$76,130
15	AK	Kenai	City	\$75,270
16	AK	Ketchikan	City	\$75,300
17	AK	Kodiak	City	\$75,210
18	AK	Palmer	City	\$75,250
19	AK	Sitka	City	\$75,300
20	AK	Wasilla	City	\$75,390
21	AL	Baldwin	County	\$246,150
22	AL	Calhoun	County	\$79,030
23	AL	Etowah	County	\$78,540
24	AL	Jefferson	County	\$348,100
25	AL	Lee	County	\$78,040



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of	Allocation
26	AL	Madison	Government County	\$79,430
27	AL	Marshall	County	\$79,430
28	AL	Mobile	County	\$239,310
29	AL	Shelby	County	\$81,530
30	AL	Tuscaloosa	County	\$79,010
31	AL	Auburn	City	\$131,740
32	AL	Birmingham	City	\$151,740
33	AL	Decatur	City	\$120,360
34	AL	Dothan	City	\$132,400
35	AL	Florence	City	\$76,520
36	AL	Hoover	City	\$144,130
37	AL	Huntsville	City	\$247,820
38	AL	Madison	City	\$116,470
39	AL	Mobile	City	\$229,820
40	AL	Montgomery	City	\$236,570
41	AL	Phenix City	City	\$76,270
42	AL	Prattville	City	\$76,260
43	AL	Tuscaloosa	City	\$158,340
44	AL	Vestavia Hills	City	\$76,300
45	AR	Benton	County	\$79,920
46	AR	Crawford	County	\$77,050
47	AR	Faulkner	County	\$76,890
48	AR	Garland	County	\$77,030
49	AR	Lonoke	County	\$77,380
50	AR	Pope	County	\$77,230
51	AR	Pulaski	County	\$79,400
52	AR	Saline	County	\$77,800
53	AR	Washington	County	\$77,520
54	AR	White	County	\$77,650
55	AR	Benton	City	\$76,200
56	AR	Bentonville	City	\$121,190
57	AR	Conway	City	\$125,490
58	AR	Fayetteville	City	\$148,500
59	AR	Fort Smith	City	\$148,220
60	AR	Hot Springs	City	\$76,460
61	AR	Jonesboro	City	\$137,970
62	AR	Little Rock	City	\$245,540
63	AR	North Little Rock	City	\$128,080



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
64	AR	Pine Bluff	City	\$76,490
65	AR	Rogers	City	\$129,880
66	AR	Springdale	City	\$139,790
67	AZ	Apache	County	\$77,340
68	AZ	Cochise	County	\$77,740
69	AZ	Coconino	County	\$77,340
70	AZ	Maricopa	County	\$378,940
71	AZ	Mohave	County	\$78,940
72	AZ	Navajo	County	\$78,750
73	AZ	Pima	County	\$368,820
74	AZ	Pinal	County	\$273,780
75	AZ	Yavapai	County	\$79,820
76	AZ	Yuma	County	\$77,550
77	AZ	Apache Junction	City	\$76,310
78	AZ	Avondale	City	\$138,310
79	AZ	Buckeye	City	\$137,550
80	AZ	Bullhead City	City	\$76,400
81	AZ	Casa Grande	City	\$117,470
82	AZ	Chandler	City	\$283,790
83	AZ	El Mirage	City	\$76,130
84	AZ	Flagstaff	City	\$133,940
85	AZ	Gilbert, Town of	City	\$266,640
86	AZ	Glendale	City	\$259,960
87	AZ	Goodyear	City	\$144,130
88	AZ	Lake Havasu City	City	\$117,650
89	AZ	Marana, Town of	City	\$113,010
90	AZ	Maricopa	City	\$115,350
91	AZ	Mesa	City	\$449,620
92	AZ	Oro Valley, Town of	City	\$76,610
93	AZ	Peoria	City	\$210,950
94	AZ	Phoenix	City	\$1,340,660
95	AZ	Prescott	City	\$76,750
96	AZ	Prescott Valley, Town of	City	\$76,570
97	AZ	Queen Creek, Town of	City	\$116,990
98	AZ	San Luis	City	\$76,150
99	AZ	Scottsdale	City	\$279,950
100	AZ	Sierra Vista	City	\$76,620
101	AZ	Surprise	City	\$178,140



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
102	AZ	Tempe	City	\$236,650
103	AZ	Tucson	City	\$504,750
104	AZ	Yuma	City	\$150,960
105	CA	Contra Costa	County	\$356,510
106	CA	Fresno	County	\$336,680
107	CA	Kern	County	\$422,980
108	CA	Los Angeles	County	\$1,344,700
109	CA	Monterey	County	\$287,340
110	CA	Orange	County	\$299,440
111	CA	Riverside	County	\$477,090
112	CA	Sacramento	County	\$539,610
113	CA	San Bernardino	County	\$393,590
114	CA	San Diego	County	\$526,750
115	CA	San Joaquin	County	\$235,280
116	CA	San Luis Obispo	County	\$251,850
117	CA	San Mateo	County	\$330,430
118	CA	Santa Barbara	County	\$231,840
119	CA	Sonoma	County	\$233,790
120	CA	Stanislaus	County	\$232,020
121	CA	Tulare	County	\$227,670
122	CA	Adelanto	City	\$76,240
123	CA	Alameda	City	\$133,250
124	CA	Alhambra	City	\$136,380
125	CA	Aliso Viejo	City	\$113,160
126	CA	Anaheim	City	\$344,830
127	CA	Antioch	City	\$156,140
128	CA	Apple Valley, Town of	City	\$130,740
129	CA	Arcadia	City	\$119,080
130	CA	Azusa	City	\$112,520
131	CA	Bakersfield	City	\$379,310
132	CA	Baldwin Park	City	\$127,900
133	CA	Beaumont	City	\$112,240
134	CA	Bell Gardens	City	\$76,310
135	CA	Bellflower	City	\$130,040
136	CA	Berkeley	City	\$174,290
137	CA	Brea	City	\$76,870
138	CA	Brentwood	City	\$121,550
139	CA	Buena Park	City	\$137,750



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
140	CA	Burbank	City	\$167,020
141	CA	Calexico	City	\$76,300
142	CA	Camarillo	City	\$129,470
143	CA	Campbell	City	\$76,540
144	CA	Carlsbad	City	\$168,480
145	CA	Carson	City	\$150,080
146	CA	Cathedral City	City	\$112,920
147	CA	Ceres	City	\$76,660
148	CA	Cerritos	City	\$76,840
149	CA	Chico	City	\$153,350
150	CA	Chino	City	\$147,470
151	CA	Chino Hills	City	\$131,350
152	CA	Chula Vista	City	\$271,850
153	CA	Citrus Heights	City	\$137,060
154	CA	Claremont	City	\$76,300
155	CA	Clovis	City	\$161,730
156	CA	Coachella	City	\$76,350
157	CA	Colton	City	\$116,230
158	CA	Compton	City	\$146,080
159	CA	Concord	City	\$170,110
160	CA	Corona	City	\$197,940
161	CA	Costa Mesa	City	\$166,550
162	CA	Covina	City	\$113,100
163	CA	Culver City	City	\$76,710
164	CA	Cupertino	City	\$125,790
165	CA	Cypress	City	\$114,160
166	CA	Daly City	City	\$146,620
167	CA	Danville, Town of	City	\$76,480
168	CA	Davis	City	\$124,540
169	CA	Delano	City	\$114,850
170	CA	Diamond Bar	City	\$114,980
171	CA	Downey	City	\$160,140
172	CA	Dublin	City	\$127,230
173	CA	Eastvale	City	\$123,670
174	CA	El Cajon	City	\$155,020
175	CA	El Centro	City	\$76,600
176	CA	El Monte	City	\$157,090
177	CA	Elk Grove	City	\$201,410



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
178	CA	Encinitas	City	\$122,780
179	CA	Escondido	City	\$187,670
180	CA	Fairfield	City	\$164,990
181	CA	Folsom	City	\$139,040
182	CA	Fontana	City	\$230,640
183	CA	Fountain Valley	City	\$119,070
184	CA	Fremont	City	\$254,040
185	CA	Fresno	City	\$494,390
186	CA	Fullerton	City	\$183,850
187	CA	Garden Grove	City	\$199,300
188	CA	Gardena	City	\$122,410
189	CA	Gilroy	City	\$118,490
190	CA	Glendale	City	\$227,620
191	CA	Glendora	City	\$114,050
192	CA	Hanford	City	\$118,530
193	CA	Hawthorne	City	\$139,570
194	CA	Hayward	City	\$197,040
195	CA	Hemet	City	\$141,750
196	CA	Hesperia	City	\$147,140
197	CA	Highland	City	\$115,100
198	CA	Hollister	City	\$76,350
199	CA	Huntington Beach	City	\$221,630
200	CA	Huntington Park	City	\$115,290
201	CA	Indio	City	\$140,950
202	CA	Inglewood	City	\$153,020
203	CA	Irvine	City	\$333,830
204	CA	Jurupa Valley	City	\$152,660
205	CA	La Habra	City	\$119,850
206	CA	La Mesa	City	\$120,950
207	CA	La Mirada	City	\$76,610
208	CA	La Puente	City	\$76,260
209	CA	La Quinta	City	\$76,320
210	CA	Laguna Niguel	City	\$121,870
211	CA	Lake Elsinore	City	\$125,030
212	CA	Lake Forest	City	\$139,450
213	CA	Lakewood	City	\$132,670
214	CA	Lancaster	City	\$203,200
215	CA	Lincoln	City	\$76,610



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
216	CA	Livermore	City	\$144,230
217	CA	Lodi	City	\$125,810
218	CA	Lompoc	City	\$76,480
219	CA	Long Beach	City	\$423,290
220	CA	Los Angeles	City	\$3,114,200
221	CA	Los Banos	City	\$76,450
222	CA	Lynwood	City	\$123,660
223	CA	Madera	City	\$124,630
224	CA	Manhattan Beach	City	\$76,300
225	CA	Manteca	City	\$135,340
226	CA	Martinez	City	\$76,300
227	CA	Menifee	City	\$146,570
228	CA	Merced	City	\$140,760
229	CA	Milpitas	City	\$137,730
230	CA	Mission Viejo	City	\$144,870
231	CA	Modesto	City	\$241,720
232	CA	Monrovia	City	\$76,310
233	CA	Montclair	City	\$76,320
234	CA	Montebello	City	\$121,520
235	CA	Monterey Park	City	\$121,150
236	CA	Moorpark	City	\$76,200
237	CA	Moreno Valley	City	\$226,600
238	CA	Morgan Hill	City	\$76,540
239	CA	Mountain View	City	\$148,010
240	CA	Murrieta	City	\$157,040
241	CA	Napa	City	\$134,780
242	CA	National City	City	\$117,750
243	CA	Newark	City	\$76,660
244	CA	Newport Beach	City	\$149,950
245	CA	Norwalk	City	\$149,530
246	CA	Novato	City	\$114,990
247	CA	Oakland	City	\$405,710
248	CA	Oakley	City	\$76,340
249	CA	Oceanside	City	\$201,650
250	CA	Ontario	City	\$218,330
251	CA	Orange	City	\$189,950
252	CA	Oxnard	City	\$224,240
253	CA	Pacifica	City	\$76,200



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
254	CA	Palm Desert	City	\$118,040
255	CA	Palm Springs	City	\$76,770
256	CA	Palmdale	City	\$197,390
257	CA	Palo Alto	City	\$141,790
258	CA	Paramount	City	\$114,940
259	CA	Pasadena	City	\$193,560
260	CA	Perris	City	\$134,320
261	CA	Petaluma	City	\$121,440
262	CA	Pico Rivera	City	\$120,010
263	CA	Pittsburg	City	\$128,250
264	CA	Placentia	City	\$112,350
265	CA	Pleasanton	City	\$140,450
266	CA	Pomona	City	\$188,070
267	CA	Porterville	City	\$121,930
268	CA	Poway	City	\$76,830
269	CA	Rancho Cordova	City	\$138,900
270	CA	Rancho Cucamonga	City	\$207,660
271	CA	Rancho Palos Verdes	City	\$76,370
272	CA	Rancho Santa Margarita	City	\$76,570
273	CA	Redding	City	\$149,160
274	CA	Redlands	City	\$133,300
275	CA	Redondo Beach	City	\$127,820
276	CA	Redwood City	City	\$142,550
277	CA	Rialto	City	\$151,580
278	CA	Richmond	City	\$160,550
279	CA	Riverside	City	\$325,070
280	CA	Rocklin	City	\$127,710
281	CA	Rohnert Park	City	\$76,440
282	CA	Rosemead	City	\$113,300
283	CA	Roseville	City	\$190,900
284	CA	Sacramento	City	\$493,300
285	CA	Salinas	City	\$195,530
286	CA	San Bernardino	City	\$249,590
287	CA	San Bruno	City	\$76,430
288	CA	San Buenaventura (Ventura)	City	\$161,680
289	CA	San Clemente	City	\$123,390
290	CA	San Diego	City	\$1,185,290
291	CA	San Francisco	City	\$796,610



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
292	CA	San Gabriel	City	\$76,330
293	CA	San Jacinto	City	\$112,830
294	CA	San Jose	City	\$834,110
295	CA	San Juan Capistrano	City	\$76,220
296	CA	San Leandro	City	\$143,300
297	CA	San Luis Obispo	City	\$76,790
298	CA	San Marcos	City	\$146,690
299	CA	San Mateo	City	\$155,720
300	CA	San Rafael	City	\$123,900
301	CA	San Ramon	City	\$140,000
302	CA	Santa Ana	City	\$315,020
303	CA	Santa Barbara	City	\$146,330
304	CA	Santa Clara	City	\$183,290
305	CA	Santa Clarita	City	\$240,550
306	CA	Santa Cruz	City	\$125,770
307	CA	Santa Maria	City	\$158,930
308	CA	Santa Monica	City	\$157,730
309	CA	Santa Rosa	City	\$210,570
310	CA	Santee	City	\$118,300
311	CA	Simi Valley	City	\$166,670
312	CA	South Gate	City	\$142,040
313	CA	South San Francisco	City	\$129,470
314	CA	Stanton	City	\$76,230
315	CA	Stockton	City	\$316,310
316	CA	Sunnyvale	City	\$199,020
317	CA	Temecula	City	\$161,250
318	CA	Temple City	City	\$76,170
319	CA	Thousand Oaks	City	\$174,360
320	CA	Torrance	City	\$194,870
321	CA	Tracy	City	\$144,040
322	CA	Tulare	City	\$125,790
323	CA	Turlock	City	\$130,380
324	CA	Tustin	City	\$136,890
325	CA	Union City	City	\$128,020
326	CA	Upland	City	\$134,030
327	CA	Vacaville	City	\$151,450
328	CA	Vallejo	City	\$164,850
329	CA	Victorville	City	\$173,590



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
330	CA	Visalia	City	\$182,320
331	CA	Vista	City	\$148,840
332	CA	Walnut Creek	City	\$133,700
333	CA	Watsonville	City	\$115,420
334	CA	West Covina	City	\$153,050
335	CA	West Hollywood	City	\$76,330
336	CA	West Sacramento	City	\$118,520
337	CA	Westminster	City	\$140,430
338	CA	Whittier	City	\$140,540
339	CA	Wildomar	City	\$76,180
340	CA	Woodland	City	\$120,960
341	CA	Yorba Linda	City	\$124,310
342	CA	Yuba City	City	\$127,270
343	CA	Yucaipa	City	\$113,510
344	СО	Adams	County	\$79,130
345	СО	Arapahoe	County	\$80,830
346	СО	Boulder	County	\$79,250
347	СО	Douglas	County	\$241,260
348	СО	El Paso	County	\$259,440
349	СО	Garfield	County	\$77,080
350	СО	Jefferson	County	\$269,410
351	СО	Larimer	County	\$78,670
352	СО	Mesa	County	\$77,900
353	СО	Weld	County	\$231,790
354	СО	Arvada	City	\$163,520
355	СО	Aurora	City	\$360,800
356	СО	Boulder	City	\$168,680
357	СО	Brighton	City	\$76,340
358	СО	Broomfield	City	\$131,980
359	СО	Castle Rock, Town of	City	\$126,840
360	СО	Centennial	City	\$160,860
361	СО	Colorado Springs	City	\$443,290
362	СО	Commerce City	City	\$121,660
363	СО	Denver	City	\$655,720
364	СО	Fort Collins	City	\$206,680
365	СО	Grand Junction	City	\$129,430
366	СО	Greeley	City	\$158,860
367	СО	Lakewood	City	\$194,050



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
368	СО	Littleton	City	\$76,680
369	СО	Longmont	City	\$148,550
370	СО	Loveland	City	\$134,880
371	СО	Northglenn	City	\$76,220
372	СО	Parker, Town of	City	\$118,000
373	СО	Pueblo	City	\$161,870
374	СО	Thornton	City	\$174,930
375	СО	Westminster	City	\$159,970
376	СТ	Bridgeport	City	\$183,780
377	СТ	Bristol	City	\$119,770
378	СТ	Danbury	City	\$141,240
379	СТ	East Hartford, Town of	City	\$114,380
380	СТ	Enfield, Town of	City	\$76,470
381	СТ	Fairfield, Town of	City	\$122,250
382	СТ	Glastonbury, Town of	City	\$76,210
383	СТ	Greenwich, Town of	City	\$125,290
384	СТ	Groton, Town of	City	\$76,460
385	СТ	Hamden, Town of	City	\$119,730
386	СТ	Hartford	City	\$180,030
387	СТ	Manchester, Town of	City	\$119,500
388	СТ	Meriden	City	\$119,600
389	СТ	Middletown	City	\$76,720
390	СТ	Milford, Town of	City	\$115,020
391	СТ	New Britain	City	\$129,710
392	СТ	New Haven	City	\$184,070
393	СТ	Norwalk	City	\$144,160
394	СТ	Norwich	City	\$76,370
395	СТ	Shelton	City	\$76,470
396	СТ	Southington, Town of	City	\$76,460
397	СТ	Stamford	City	\$178,910
398	СТ	Stratford, Town of	City	\$114,970
399	СТ	Torrington	City	\$76,200
400	СТ	Trumbull, Town of	City	\$76,260
401	СТ	Wallingford, Town of	City	\$76,590
402	СТ	Waterbury	City	\$159,690
403	СТ	West Hartford, Town of	City	\$123,890
404	СТ	West Haven	City	\$115,320
405	DE	Kent	County	\$79,060



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
406	DE	New Castle	County	\$403,310
407	DE	Sussex	County	\$227,920
408	DE	Dover	City	\$76,570
409	DE	Elsmere, Town of	City	\$75,200
410	DE	Georgetown, Town of	City	\$75,300
411	DE	Middletown, Town of	City	\$75,810
412	DE	Milford	City	\$75,440
413	DE	Millsboro, Town of	City	\$75,250
414	DE	Newark	City	\$76,220
415	DE	Seaford	City	\$75,320
416	DE	Smyrna, Town of	City	\$75,420
417	DE	Wilmington	City	\$135,280
418	FL	Brevard	County	\$340,910
419	FL	Clay	County	\$231,710
420	FL	Collier	County	\$365,750
421	FL	Escambia	County	\$276,780
422	FL	Hillsborough	County	\$831,960
423	FL	Lake	County	\$322,790
424	FL	Lee	County	\$368,980
425	FL	Manatee	County	\$327,640
426	FL	Marion	County	\$299,300
427	FL	Miami-Dade	County	\$1,134,700
428	FL	Okaloosa	County	\$238,090
429	FL	Orange	County	\$807,430
430	FL	Osceola	County	\$253,060
431	FL	Palm Beach	County	\$652,450
432	FL	Pasco	County	\$484,970
433	FL	Pinellas	County	\$384,470
434	FL	Polk	County	\$481,240
435	FL	Sarasota	County	\$309,280
436	FL	Seminole	County	\$287,440
437	FL	St. Johns	County	\$275,490
438	FL	Volusia	County	\$255,830
439	FL	Altamonte Springs	City	\$76,660
440	FL	Apopka	City	\$114,500
441	FL	Aventura	City	\$76,470
442	FL	Boca Raton	City	\$166,480
443	FL	Bonita Springs	City	\$116,540



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
444	FL	Boynton Beach	City	\$134,990
445	FL	Bradenton	City	\$120,510
446	FL	Cape Coral	City	\$214,130
447	FL	Clearwater	City	\$169,090
448	FL	Clermont	City	\$76,440
449	FL	Coconut Creek	City	\$116,970
450	FL	Coral Gables	City	\$77,040
451	FL	Coral Springs	City	\$172,940
452	FL	Cutler Bay, Town of	City	\$76,430
453	FL	Davie, Town of	City	\$155,750
454	FL	Daytona Beach	City	\$135,860
455	FL	Deerfield Beach	City	\$141,090
456	FL	DeLand	City	\$76,370
457	FL	Delray Beach	City	\$128,640
458	FL	Deltona	City	\$139,630
459	FL	Doral	City	\$140,950
460	FL	Dunedin	City	\$76,240
461	FL	Estero, Village of	City	\$76,270
462	FL	Fort Lauderdale	City	\$233,060
463	FL	Fort Myers	City	\$149,880
464	FL	Fort Pierce	City	\$76,720
465	FL	Gainesville	City	\$189,820
466	FL	Greenacres	City	\$76,380
467	FL	Hallandale Beach	City	\$76,390
468	FL	Hialeah	City	\$241,570
469	FL	Hollywood	City	\$191,390
470	FL	Homestead	City	\$132,180
471	FL	Jacksonville	City	\$845,350
472	FL	Jupiter, Town of	City	\$123,670
473	FL	Kissimmee	City	\$135,670
474	FL	Lake Worth Beach	City	\$76,410
475	FL	Lakeland	City	\$172,390
476	FL	Largo	City	\$140,740
477	FL	Lauderdale Lakes	City	\$76,160
478	FL	Lauderhill	City	\$126,660
479	FL	Margate	City	\$116,820
480	FL	Melbourne	City	\$146,130
481	FL	Miami	City	\$477,720



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
482	FL	Miami Beach	City	\$144,110
483	FL	Miami Gardens	City	\$155,250
484	FL	Miramar	City	\$173,180
485	FL	North Lauderdale	City	\$76,390
486	FL	North Miami	City	\$118,710
487	FL	North Miami Beach	City	\$76,420
488	FL	North Port	City	\$127,390
489	FL	Oakland Park	City	\$76,520
490	FL	Ocala	City	\$132,740
491	FL	Ocoee	City	\$76,560
492	FL	Orlando	City	\$354,540
493	FL	Ormond Beach	City	\$76,530
494	FL	Oviedo	City	\$76,330
495	FL	Palm Bay	City	\$160,090
496	FL	Palm Beach Gardens	City	\$123,090
497	FL	Palm Coast	City	\$140,020
498	FL	Pembroke Pines	City	\$199,350
499	FL	Pensacola	City	\$122,770
500	FL	Pinellas Park	City	\$118,390
501	FL	Plant City	City	\$76,400
502	FL	Plantation	City	\$144,340
503	FL	Pompano Beach	City	\$164,630
504	FL	Port Orange	City	\$120,970
505	FL	Port St. Lucie	City	\$221,010
506	FL	Riviera Beach	City	\$76,320
507	FL	Royal Palm Beach, Village of	City	\$76,270
508	FL	Sanford	City	\$123,420
509	FL	Sarasota	City	\$125,110
510	FL	St. Cloud	City	\$116,940
511	FL	St. Petersburg	City	\$275,510
512	FL	Sunrise	City	\$149,460
513	FL	Tallahassee	City	\$235,360
514	FL	Tamarac	City	\$125,590
515	FL	Tampa	City	\$413,280
516	FL	Titusville	City	\$76,680
517	FL	Wellington, Village of	City	\$121,610
518	FL	West Palm Beach	City	\$174,630
519	FL	Weston	City	\$127,090



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
520	FL	Winter Garden	City	\$76,560
521	FL	Winter Haven	City	\$76,800
522	FL	Winter Springs	City	\$76,200
523	GA	Cherokee	County	\$239,330
524	GA	Clayton	County	\$297,940
525	GA	Cobb	County	\$557,290
526	GA	Columbia	County	\$80,090
527	GA	DeKalb	County	\$457,680
528	GA	Forsyth	County	\$259,710
529	GA	Gwinnett	County	\$752,900
530	GA	Hall	County	\$80,290
531	GA	Henry	County	\$249,580
532	GA	Paulding	County	\$80,370
533	GA	Albany	City	\$131,480
534	GA	Alpharetta	City	\$138,600
535	GA	Athens-Clarke, Unified Government of	City	\$177,160
536	GA	Atlanta	City	\$528,330
		Augusta-Richmond, Consolidated		
537	GA	Government of	City	\$241,400
538	GA	Brookhaven	City	\$116,800
539	GA	Columbus	City	\$236,000
540	GA	Dunwoody	City	\$116,840
541	GA	East Point	City	\$76,290
542	GA	Gainesville	City	\$76,780
543	GA	Johns Creek	City	\$136,030
544	GA	Macon	City	\$198,870
545	GA	Marietta	City	\$132,490
546	GA	Milton	City	\$76,350
547	GA	Newnan	City	\$76,470
548	GA	Peachtree City	City	\$76,390
549	GA	Peachtree Corners	City	\$76,610
550	GA	Rome	City	\$76,460
551	GA	Roswell	City	\$147,480
552	GA	Sandy Springs	City	\$166,910
553	GA	Savannah	City	\$199,050
554	GA	Smyrna	City	\$116,410
555	GA	South Fulton	City	\$152,310
556	GA	Stonecrest	City	\$117,060
557	GA	Tucker	City	\$76,430



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
558	GA	Valdosta	City	\$121,120
559	GA	Warner Robins	City	\$135,070
560	GA	Woodstock	City	\$76,200
561	HI	Hawaii	County	\$228,640
562	HI	Honolulu	County	\$844,500
563	HI	Kauai	County	\$77,540
564	HI	Maui	County	\$80,750
565	IA	Cerro Gordo	County	\$76,530
566	IA	Clinton	County	\$76,610
567	IA	Dallas	County	\$77,020
568	IA	Dubuque	County	\$76,330
569	IA	Johnson	County	\$77,580
570	IA	Linn	County	\$76,710
571	IA	Marshall	County	\$76,390
572	IA	Muscatine	County	\$76,520
573	IA	Polk	County	\$79,550
574	IA	Warren	County	\$76,660
575	IA	Ames	City	\$128,040
576	IA	Ankeny	City	\$124,300
577	IA	Bettendorf	City	\$76,290
578	IA	Cedar Falls	City	\$76,450
579	IA	Cedar Rapids	City	\$185,610
580	IA	Council Bluffs	City	\$122,870
581	IA	Davenport	City	\$155,580
582	IA	Des Moines	City	\$245,640
583	IA	Dubuque	City	\$123,070
584	IA	Iowa City	City	\$136,380
585	IA	Marion	City	\$76,340
586	IA	Sioux City	City	\$140,390
587	IA	Urbandale	City	\$76,590
588	IA	Waterloo	City	\$129,180
589	IA	West Des Moines	City	\$131,740
590	ID	Ada	County	\$79,620
591	ID	Bingham	County	\$76,610
592	ID	Bonner	County	\$76,600
593	ID	Bonneville	County	\$76,930
594	ID	Canyon	County	\$77,320
595	ID	Jefferson	County	\$76,010



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
596	ID	Kootenai	County	\$77,580
597	ID	Latah	County	\$76,350
598	ID	Nez Perce	County	\$76,480
599	ID	Twin Falls	County	\$76,250
600	ID	Boise City	City	\$263,780
601	ID	Caldwell	City	\$119,140
602	ID	Coeur d'Alene	City	\$117,700
603	ID	Idaho Falls	City	\$127,230
604	ID	Meridian	City	\$162,570
605	ID	Nampa	City	\$150,180
606	ID	Pocatello	City	\$118,810
607	ID	Post Falls	City	\$76,280
608	ID	Rexburg	City	\$76,280
609	ID	Twin Falls	City	\$115,570
610	IL	Cook	County	\$1,072,210
611	IL	DuPage	County	\$449,660
612	IL	Kane	County	\$286,090
613	IL	Lake	County	\$532,900
614	IL	Madison	County	\$273,700
615	IL	McHenry	County	\$269,860
616	IL	St. Clair	County	\$235,430
617	IL	Tazewell	County	\$79,520
618	IL	Will	County	\$317,610
619	IL	Winnebago	County	\$79,530
620	IL	Addison, Village of	City	\$76,300
621	IL	Arlington Heights, Village of	City	\$133,720
622	IL	Aurora	City	\$211,320
623	IL	Bartlett, Village of	City	\$76,310
624	IL	Belleville	City	\$76,500
625	IL	Berwyn	City	\$114,870
626	IL	Bloomington	City	\$138,240
627	IL	Bolingbrook, Village of	City	\$131,770
628	IL	Buffalo Grove, Village of	City	\$76,460
629	IL	Calumet City	City	\$76,180
630	IL	Carol Stream, Village of	City	\$76,420
631	IL	Carpentersville, Village of	City	\$76,200
632	IL	Champaign	City	\$144,960
633	IL	Chicago	City	\$2,207,610



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
634	IL	Cicero, Town of	City	\$134,860
635	IL	Crystal Lake	City	\$76,420
636	IL	Decatur	City	\$131,690
637	IL	DeKalb	City	\$76,410
638	IL	Des Plaines	City	\$123,530
639	IL	Downers Grove, Village of	City	\$117,090
640	IL	Elgin	City	\$162,280
641	IL	Elmhurst	City	\$76,690
642	IL	Evanston	City	\$136,420
643	IL	Glenview, Village of	City	\$76,820
644	IL	Hanover Park, Village of	City	\$76,200
645	IL	Hoffman Estates, Village of	City	\$114,480
646	IL	Joliet	City	\$187,350
647	IL	Lombard, Village of	City	\$76,600
648	IL	Moline	City	\$76,520
649	IL	Mount Prospect, Village of	City	\$116,860
650	IL	Naperville	City	\$191,690
651	IL	Normal, Town of	City	\$115,180
652	IL	Northbrook, Village of	City	\$76,390
653	IL	Oak Lawn, Village of	City	\$118,340
654	IL	Oak Park, Village of	City	\$114,770
655	IL	Orland Park, Village of	City	\$119,480
656	IL	Palatine, Village of	City	\$124,440
657	IL	Park Ridge	City	\$76,360
658	IL	Peoria	City	\$166,910
659	IL	Plainfield, Village of	City	\$76,500
660	IL	Quincy	City	\$76,450
661	IL	Rock Island	City	\$76,310
662	IL	Rockford	City	\$194,270
663	IL	Romeoville, Village of	City	\$76,390
664	IL	Schaumburg, Village of	City	\$140,980
665	IL	Skokie, Village of	City	\$127,120
666	IL	Springfield	City	\$170,500
667	IL	Streamwood, Village of	City	\$76,250
668	IL	Tinley Park, Village of	City	\$115,960
669	IL	Urbana	City	\$76,520
670	IL	Waukegan	City	\$141,100
671	IL	Wheaton	City	\$115,730



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
672	IL	Wheeling, Village of	City	\$76,360
673	IN	Allen	County	\$79,000
674	IN	Elkhart	County	\$80,390
675	IN	Hancock	County	\$77,620
676	IN	Hendricks	County	\$80,860
677	IN	Johnson	County	\$78,180
678	IN	Kosciusko	County	\$77,790
679	IN	Lake	County	\$307,890
680	IN	LaPorte	County	\$78,820
681	IN	Porter	County	\$79,580
682	IN	St. Joseph	County	\$78,920
683	IN	Anderson	City	\$117,520
684	IN	Bloomington	City	\$141,730
685	IN	Carmel	City	\$153,650
686	IN	Columbus	City	\$117,430
687	IN	Elkhart	City	\$121,080
688	IN	Evansville	City	\$172,120
689	IN	Fishers	City	\$146,540
690	IN	Fort Wayne	City	\$281,950
691	IN	Gary	City	\$129,280
692	IN	Greenwood	City	\$122,730
693	IN	Hammond	City	\$133,260
694	IN	Indianapolis	City	\$787,040
695	IN	Jeffersonville	City	\$76,770
696	IN	Kokomo	City	\$122,580
697	IN	Lafayette	City	\$134,590
698	IN	Lawrence	City	\$76,690
699	IN	Merrillville, Town of	City	\$76,300
700	IN	Mishawaka	City	\$115,070
701	IN	Muncie	City	\$127,260
702	IN	New Albany	City	\$76,360
703	IN	Noblesville	City	\$125,610
704	IN	Portage	City	\$76,280
705	IN	Richmond	City	\$76,330
706	IN	South Bend	City	\$157,480
707	IN	Terre Haute	City	\$123,690
708	IN	West Lafayette	City	\$76,750
709	IN	Westfield	City	\$76,500



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
710	KS	Butler	County	\$77,230
711	KS	Cowley	County	\$76,200
712	KS	Crawford	County	\$76,360
713	KS	Finney	County	\$76,320
714	KS	Ford	County	\$76,190
715	KS	Geary	County	\$76,320
716	KS	Johnson	County	\$80,080
717	KS	Leavenworth	County	\$76,440
718	KS	Sedgwick	County	\$79,350
719	KS	Shawnee	County	\$76,710
720	KS	Hutchinson	City	\$76,430
721	KS	Kansas City	City	\$197,600
722	KS	Lawrence	City	\$147,530
723	KS	Leavenworth	City	\$76,340
724	KS	Lenexa	City	\$123,700
725	KS	Manhattan	City	\$117,730
726	KS	Olathe	City	\$180,830
727	KS	Overland Park	City	\$231,030
728	KS	Salina	City	\$76,650
729	KS	Shawnee	City	\$122,950
730	KS	Topeka	City	\$176,910
731	KS	Wichita	City	\$381,670
732	KY	Boone	County	\$78,710
733	KY	Bullitt	County	\$77,720
734	KY	Campbell	County	\$78,090
735	KY	Hardin	County	\$77,630
736	KY	Kenton	County	\$79,220
737	KY	Laurel	County	\$77,190
738	KY	McCracken	County	\$77,450
739	KY	Oldham	County	\$77,210
740	KY	Pulaski	County	\$77,280
741	KY	Warren	County	\$76,960
742	KY	Bowling Green	City	\$135,720
743	KY	Covington	City	\$76,440
744	KY	Elizabeth, Town of	City	\$76,250
745	KY	Florence	City	\$76,230
746	KY	Georgetown	City	\$76,340
747	KY	Hopkinsville	City	\$76,180



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of	Allocation
	,	·	Government	
740	KV.	Lexington-Fayette, Urban County Government of	City	¢220.200
748	KY	Louisville/Jefferson, Metropolitan	City	\$329,300
749	кү	Government of	City	\$690,810
750	KY	Owensboro	City	\$123,460
751	KY	Richmond	City	\$76,280
752	LA	Ascension	County	\$79,260
753	LA	Calcasieu	County	\$79,460
754	LA	Jefferson	County	\$359,040
755	LA	Lafourche	County	\$78,330
756	LA	Livingston	County	\$79,590
757	LA	Ouachita	County	\$78,700
758	LA	Rapides	County	\$77,830
759	LA	St. Landry	County	\$77,820
760	LA	St. Tammany	County	\$271,950
761	LA	Tangipahoa	County	\$79,540
762	LA	Alexandria	City	\$76,750
763	LA	Baton Rouge	City	\$432,850
764	LA	Bossier City	City	\$126,320
765	LA	Houma	City	\$76,230
766	LA	Kenner	City	\$124,990
767	LA	Lafayette	City	\$266,390
768	LA	Lake Charles	City	\$142,110
769	LA	Monroe	City	\$76,890
770	LA	New Orleans	City	\$381,470
771	LA	Shreveport	City	\$224,220
772	MA	Barnstable	County	\$81,070
773	MA	Amherst, Town of	City	\$76,440
774	MA	Andover, Town of	City	\$76,430
775	MA	Arlington, Town of	City	\$76,470
776	MA	Attleboro	City	\$76,560
777	MA	Barnstable, Town of	City	\$76,690
778	MA	Beverly	City	\$76,510
779	MA	Billerica, Town of	City	\$76,490
780	MA	Boston	City	\$659,990
781	MA	Braintree, Town of	City	\$76,390
782	MA	Brockton	City	\$151,670
783	MA	Brookline, Town of	City	\$120,650
784	MA	Cambridge	City	\$182,140



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
785	MA	Chelmsford, Town of	City	\$76,320
786	MA	Chelsea	City	\$76,360
787	MA	Chicopee	City	\$116,600
788	MA	Everett	City	\$76,560
789	MA	Fall River	City	\$145,730
790	MA	Fitchburg	City	\$76,400
791	MA	Framingham	City	\$132,170
792	MA	Haverhill	City	\$123,100
793	MA	Holyoke	City	\$76,420
794	MA	Lawrence	City	\$139,690
795	MA	Leominster	City	\$76,490
796	MA	Lowell	City	\$159,570
797	MA	Lynn	City	\$145,930
798	MA	Malden	City	\$120,570
799	MA	Marl	City	\$76,570
800	MA	Medford	City	\$117,420
801	MA	Methuen, Town of	City	\$112,740
802	MA	Natick, Town of	City	\$76,340
803	MA	New Bedford	City	\$148,890
804	MA	Newton	City	\$145,080
805	MA	Peabody	City	\$115,700
806	MA	Pittsfield	City	\$76,570
807	MA	Plymouth, Town of	City	\$121,930
808	MA	Quincy	City	\$149,380
809	MA	Revere	City	\$116,740
810	MA	Salem	City	\$76,490
811	MA	Shrewsbury, Town of	City	\$76,290
812	MA	Somerville	City	\$131,920
813	MA	Springfield	City	\$197,920
814	MA	Taunton	City	\$119,000
815	MA	Waltham	City	\$129,590
816	MA	Watertown	City	\$76,220
817	MA	Westfield	City	\$76,430
818	MA	Weymouth, Town of	City	\$117,020
819	MA	Woburn	City	\$76,570
820	MA	Worcester	City	\$232,230
821	MD	Anne Arundel	County	\$488,150
822	MD	Baltimore	County	\$712,040



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
823	MD	Carroll	County	\$80,670
824	MD	Charles	County	\$80,380
825	MD	Frederick	County	\$81,250
826	MD	Harford	County	\$266,890
827	MD	Howard	County	\$326,870
828	MD	Montgomery	County	\$764,010
829	MD	Prince George's	County	\$681,220
830	MD	St. Mary's	County	\$78,910
831	MD	Annapolis	City	\$76,480
832	MD	Baltimore	City	\$549,550
833	MD	Bowie	City	\$117,040
834	MD	College Park	City	\$76,350
835	MD	Frederick	City	\$137,000
836	MD	Gaithersburg	City	\$130,110
837	MD	Hagerstown	City	\$76,550
838	MD	Laurel	City	\$76,020
839	MD	Rockville	City	\$134,050
840	MD	Salisbury	City	\$76,290
841	ME	Androscoggin	County	\$76,570
842	ME	Aroostook	County	\$77,330
843	ME	Cumberland	County	\$79,760
844	ME	Hancock	County	\$76,920
845	ME	Kennebec	County	\$79,330
846	ME	Knox	County	\$76,420
847	ME	Oxford	County	\$76,950
848	ME	Penobscot	County	\$79,010
849	ME	Somerset	County	\$76,720
850	ME	York	County	\$80,520
851	ME	Auburn	City	\$75,880
852	ME	Bangor	City	\$76,320
853	ME	Biddeford	City	\$75,790
854	ME	Brunswick, Town of	City	\$75,800
855	ME	Lewiston	City	\$76,350
856	ME	Portland	City	\$133,250
857	ME	Sanford	City	\$75,730
858	ME	Scar, Town of	City	\$75,810
859	ME	South Portland	City	\$75,990
860	ME	Westbrook	City	\$75,730



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
861	MI	Genesee	County	\$283,080
862	MI	Jackson	County	\$80,490
863	MI	Kent	County	\$326,600
864	MI	Livingston	County	\$81,390
865	MI	Macomb	County	\$81,190
866	MI	Monroe	County	\$80,090
867	MI	Oakland	County	\$434,190
868	MI	Ottawa	County	\$229,720
869	MI	St. Clair	County	\$80,370
870	MI	Wayne	County	\$487,490
871	MI	Ann Arbor	City	\$182,360
872	MI	Battle Creek	City	\$118,280
873	MI	Bloomfield charter, Township of	City	\$76,510
874	MI	Canton charter, Township of	City	\$145,160
875	MI	Chesterfield, Township of	City	\$76,500
876	MI	Clinton charter, Township of	City	\$149,860
877	MI	Commerce charter, Township of	City	\$76,430
878	MI	Dearborn	City	\$166,370
879	MI	Dearborn Heights	City	\$118,960
880	MI	Detroit	City	\$582,030
881	MI	East Lansing	City	\$76,850
882	MI	Farmington Hills	City	\$140,530
883	MI	Flint	City	\$144,050
884	MI	Georgetown, Charter Township of	City	\$112,810
885	MI	Grand Blanc charter, Township of	City	\$76,340
886	MI	Grand Rapids	City	\$235,280
887	MI	Holland charter, Township of	City	\$76,380
888	MI	Independence charter, Township of	City	\$76,200
889	MI	Kalamazoo	City	\$135,750
890	MI	Kentwood	City	\$118,670
891	MI	Lansing	City	\$168,150
892	MI	Lincoln Park	City	\$76,260
893	MI	Livonia	City	\$155,220
894	MI	Macomb, Township of	City	\$138,090
895	MI	Meridian charter, Township of	City	\$76,480
896	MI	Midland	City	\$76,620
897	MI	Muskegon	City	\$76,450
898	MI	Novi	City	\$126,900



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
899	MI	Orion charter, Township of	City	\$76,300
900	MI	Pittsfield charter, Township of	City	\$76,400
901	MI	Pontiac	City	\$123,740
902	MI	Portage	City	\$76,800
903	MI	Redford charter, Township of	City	\$76,600
904	MI	Rochester Hills	City	\$131,400
905	MI	Roseville	City	\$76,600
906	MI	Royal Oak	City	\$119,370
907	MI	Saginaw	City	\$76,670
908	MI	Saginaw charter, Township of	City	\$76,420
909	MI	Shelby, Charter Township of	City	\$133,950
910	MI	Southfield	City	\$142,010
911	MI	St. Clair Shores	City	\$117,070
912	MI	Sterling Heights	City	\$176,580
913	MI	Taylor	City	\$122,780
914	MI	Troy	City	\$153,850
915	MI	Warren	City	\$187,140
916	MI	Waterford, Charter Township of	City	\$126,150
917	MI	West Bloomfield, Charter Township of	City	\$122,750
918	MI	Westland	City	\$135,220
919	MI	Wyoming	City	\$133,940
920	MI	Ypsilanti charter, Township of	City	\$113,970
921	MN	Anoka	County	\$240,860
922	MN	Carver	County	\$78,560
923	MN	Dakota	County	\$79,810
924	MN	Hennepin	County	\$282,750
925	MN	Ramsey	County	\$80,780
926	MN	Scott	County	\$78,460
927	MN	St. Louis	County	\$78,900
928	MN	Stearns	County	\$78,580
929	MN	Washington	County	\$80,160
930	MN	Wright	County	\$79,610
931	MN	Apple Valley	City	\$114,820
932	MN	Blaine	City	\$126,550
933	MN	Bloomington	City	\$150,730
934	MN	Brooklyn Park	City	\$137,300
935	MN	Burnsville	City	\$123,700
936	MN	Coon Rapids	City	\$121,480



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
937	MN	Cottage Grove	City	\$76,230
938	MN	Duluth	City	\$144,340
939	MN	Eagan	City	\$130,890
940	MN	Eden Prairie	City	\$128,580
941	MN	Edina	City	\$119,340
942	MN	Inver Grove Heights	City	\$76,160
943	MN	Lakeville	City	\$123,680
944	MN	Mankato	City	\$76,630
945	MN	Maple Grove	City	\$128,850
946	MN	Maplewood	City	\$76,530
947	MN	Minneapolis	City	\$424,330
948	MN	Minnetonka	City	\$119,890
949	MN	Moorhead	City	\$76,470
950	MN	Plymouth	City	\$139,830
951	MN	Richfield	City	\$76,260
952	MN	Rochester	City	\$172,870
953	MN	Roseville	City	\$76,370
954	MN	Shakopee	City	\$76,550
955	MN	St. Cloud	City	\$131,730
956	MN	St. Louis Park	City	\$114,140
957	MN	St. Paul	City	\$317,800
958	MN	Woodbury	City	\$129,800
959	МО	Cass	County	\$78,410
960	МО	Christian	County	\$77,850
961	МО	Clay	County	\$78,540
962	МО	Franklin	County	\$78,530
963	МО	Greene	County	\$79,080
964	МО	Jackson	County	\$79,620
965	МО	Jasper	County	\$77,570
966	МО	Jefferson	County	\$235,510
967	МО	St. Charles	County	\$79,500
968	МО	St. Louis	County	\$735,340
969	МО	Blue Springs	City	\$117,010
970	МО	Cape Girardeau	City	\$76,480
971	МО	Chesterfield	City	\$76,990
972	МО	Columbia	City	\$176,550
973	МО	Florissant	City	\$112,080
974	МО	Independence	City	\$163,880



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
975	МО	Jefferson City	City	\$76,770
976	МО	Joplin	City	\$119,050
977	МО	Kansas City	City	\$477,390
978	МО	Lee's Summit	City	\$150,390
979	МО	O'Fallon	City	\$142,430
980	МО	Springfield	City	\$220,930
981	МО	St. Charles	City	\$129,280
982	МО	St. Joseph	City	\$133,950
983	МО	St. Louis	City	\$330,620
984	МО	St. Peters	City	\$118,770
985	МО	University City	City	\$76,120
986	МО	Wentzville	City	\$76,500
987	МО	Wildwood	City	\$76,120
988	MS	DeSoto	County	\$77,890
989	MS	Harrison	County	\$77,830
990	MS	Jackson	County	\$79,900
991	MS	Jones	County	\$77,380
992	MS	Lafayette	County	\$76,940
993	MS	Lamar	County	\$76,910
994	MS	Lowndes	County	\$77,090
995	MS	Madison	County	\$78,810
996	MS	Pearl River	County	\$76,860
997	MS	Rankin	County	\$80,400
998	MS	Biloxi	City	\$76,850
999	MS	Clinton	City	\$75,910
1000	MS	Greenville	City	\$76,050
1001	MS	Gulfport	City	\$133,890
1002	MS	Hattiesburg	City	\$76,920
1003	MS	Jackson	City	\$201,980
1004	MS	Meridian	City	\$76,380
1005	MS	Olive Branch	City	\$76,380
1006	MS	Southaven	City	\$116,540
1007	MS	Tupelo	City	\$76,530
1008	MT	Cascade	County	\$75,790
1009	MT	Flathead	County	\$77,670
1010	MT	Gallatin	County	\$76,860
1011	MT	Lake	County	\$76,060
1012	MT	Lewis and Clark	County	\$76,220



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1013	MT	Lincoln	County	\$75,680
1014	MT	Missoula	County	\$76,470
1015	MT	Park	County	\$75,580
1016	MT	Ravalli	County	\$76,490
1017	MT	Yellowstone	County	\$76,600
1018	MT	Anaconda-Deer Lodge	City	\$75,330
1019	MT	Belgrade	City	\$75,330
1020	MT	Billings	City	\$165,490
1021	MT	Bozeman	City	\$116,320
1022	MT	Butte-Silver Bow	City	\$76,200
1023	MT	Great Falls	City	\$121,420
1024	MT	Havre	City	\$75,330
1025	MT	Helena	City	\$76,250
1026	MT	Kalispell	City	\$75,940
1027	MT	Missoula	City	\$134,040
1028	NC	Brunswick	County	\$79,640
1029	NC	Buncombe	County	\$80,700
1030	NC	Davidson	County	\$80,360
1031	NC	Gaston	County	\$79,790
1032	NC	Iredell	County	\$79,640
1033	NC	Johnston	County	\$229,210
1034	NC	Mecklenburg	County	\$81,120
1035	NC	Randolph	County	\$79,870
1036	NC	Union	County	\$81,610
1037	NC	Wake	County	\$329,670
1038	NC	Apex, Town of	City	\$117,810
1039	NC	Asheville	City	\$158,820
1040	NC	Burlington	City	\$120,610
1041	NC	Cary, Town of	City	\$210,400
1042	NC	Chapel Hill, Town of	City	\$129,010
1043	NC	Charlotte	City	\$777,930
1044	NC	Concord	City	\$153,940
1045	NC	Durham	City	\$297,140
1046	NC	Fayetteville	City	\$245,040
1047	NC	Gastonia	City	\$137,620
1048	NC	Greensboro	City	\$314,150
1049	NC	Greenville	City	\$146,850
1050	NC	Hickory	City	\$76,750



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1051	NC	High Point	City	\$165,110
1052	NC	Holly Springs, Town of	City	\$76,320
1053	NC	Huntersville, Town of	City	\$120,070
1054	NC	Indian Trail, Town of	City	\$76,350
1055	NC	Jacksonville	City	\$139,090
1056	NC	Kannapolis	City	\$113,050
1057	NC	Mooresville, Town of	City	\$113,610
1058	NC	Raleigh	City	\$450,390
1059	NC	Rocky Mount	City	\$118,650
1060	NC	Salisbury	City	\$76,370
1061	NC	Wake Forest, Town of	City	\$76,560
1062	NC	Wilmington	City	\$172,690
1063	NC	Wilson	City	\$76,800
1064	NC	Winston-Salem	City	\$274,010
1065	ND	Barnes	County	\$75,370
1066	ND	Burleigh	County	\$75,770
1067	ND	Cass	County	\$75,660
1068	ND	Grand Forks	County	\$75,480
1069	ND	McKenzie	County	\$75,530
1070	ND	Ramsey	County	\$75,400
1071	ND	Rolette	County	\$75,450
1072	ND	Walsh	County	\$75,370
1073	ND	Ward	County	\$75,750
1074	ND	Williams	County	\$75,420
1075	ND	Bismarck	City	\$133,550
1076	ND	Dickinson	City	\$75,870
1077	ND	Fargo	City	\$176,940
1078	ND	Grand Forks	City	\$120,420
1079	ND	Jamestown	City	\$75,550
1080	ND	Mandan	City	\$75,790
1081	ND	Minot	City	\$76,670
1082	ND	Wahpeton	City	\$75,290
1083	ND	West Fargo	City	\$76,250
1084	ND	Williston	City	\$76,010
1085	NE	Cass	County	\$75,850
1086	NE	Dakota	County	\$75,750
1087	NE	Dawson	County	\$75,830
1088	NE	Douglas	County	\$78,070



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1089	NE	Gage	County	\$75,740
1090	NE	Lancaster	County	\$76,020
1091	NE	Lincoln	County	\$76,220
1092	NE	Sarpy	County	\$78,430
1093	NE	Saunders	County	\$75,720
1094	NE	Scotts Bluff	County	\$76,260
1095	NE	Bellevue	City	\$120,630
1096	NE	Columbus	City	\$75 <i>,</i> 850
1097	NE	Fremont	City	\$75,940
1098	NE	Grand Island	City	\$116,440
1099	NE	Hastings	City	\$75 <i>,</i> 880
1100	NE	Kearney	City	\$76,190
1101	NE	Lincoln	City	\$300,090
1102	NE	Norfolk	City	\$75,890
1103	NE	Omaha	City	\$461,600
1104	NE	Papillion	City	\$75,830
1105	NH	Belknap	County	\$77,160
1106	NH	Carroll	County	\$76,710
1107	NH	Cheshire	County	\$77,630
1108	NH	Coos	County	\$76,080
1109	NH	Grafton	County	\$78,280
1110	NH	Hills	County	\$80,440
1111	NH	Merrimack	County	\$78,630
1112	NH	Rockingham	County	\$244,460
1113	NH	Strafford	County	\$77,200
1114	NH	Sullivan	County	\$76,450
1115	NH	Concord	City	\$76,720
1116	NH	Derry, Town of	City	\$76,090
1117	NH	Dover	City	\$76,150
1118	NH	Hudson, Town of	City	\$75,840
1119	NH	Londonderry, Town of	City	\$75,920
1120	NH	Manchester	City	\$163,660
1121	NH	Merrimack, Town of	City	\$75,970
1122	NH	Nashua	City	\$144,610
1123	NH	Rochester	City	\$76,080
1124	NH	Salem, Town of	City	\$76,090
1125	NJ	Bergen	County	\$695,630
1126	NJ	Burlington	County	\$349,100



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1127	NJ	Camden	County	\$244,430
1128	NJ	Essex	County	\$257,630
1129	NJ	Gloucester	County	\$234,830
1130	NJ	Monmouth	County	\$380,600
1131	NJ	Morris	County	\$422,170
1132	NJ	Ocean	County	\$81,110
1133	NJ	Somerset	County	\$81,640
1134	NJ	Union City	County	\$285,940
1135	NJ	Atlantic City	City	\$76,540
1136	NJ	Bayonne	City	\$124,910
1137	NJ	Belleville, Township of	City	\$76,210
1138	NJ	Berkeley, Township of	City	\$76,410
1139	NJ	Bloomfield, Township of	City	\$112,160
1140	NJ	Brick, Township of	City	\$128,690
1141	NJ	Bridgewater, Township of	City	\$76,720
1142	NJ	Camden	City	\$131,910
1143	NJ	Cherry Hill, Township of	City	\$134,260
1144	NJ	Clifton	City	\$140,650
1145	NJ	East Brunswick, Township of	City	\$76,710
1146	NJ	East Orange	City	\$123,800
1147	NJ	Edison, Township of	City	\$159,920
1148	NJ	Egg Harbor, Township of	City	\$76,600
1149	NJ	Elizabeth	City	\$177,390
1150	NJ	Evesham, Township of	City	\$76,580
1151	NJ	Ewing, Township of	City	\$76,350
1152	NJ	Fort Lee	City	\$76,320
1153	NJ	Franklin, Township of	City	\$126,920
1154	NJ	Freehold, Township of	City	\$76,270
1155	NJ	Galloway, Township of	City	\$76,250
1156	NJ	Gloucester, Township of	City	\$121,580
1157	NJ	Hackensack	City	\$76,730
1158	NJ	Hamilton, Township of	City	\$142,770
1159	NJ	Hills, Township of	City	\$76,380
1160	NJ	Hoboken	City	\$118,080
1161	NJ	Howell, Township of	City	\$113,320
1162	NJ	Irvington, Township of	City	\$116,760
1163	NJ	Jackson, Township of	City	\$115,540
1164	NJ	Jersey City	City	\$291,330



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1165	NJ	Kearny, Town of	City	\$76,420
1166	NJ	Lakewood, Township of	City	\$173,640
1167	NJ	Linden	City	\$76,500
1168	NJ	Manalapan, Township of	City	\$76,330
1169	NJ	Manchester, Township of	City	\$76,500
1170	NJ	Marlboro, Township of	City	\$76,350
1171	NJ	Middletown, Township of	City	\$123,080
		Monroe, Township of (Gloucester		
1172	NJ	County)	City	\$76,180
		Monroe, Township of (Middlesex		
1173	NJ	County)	City	\$76,590
1174	NJ	Montclair, Township of	City	\$76,400
1175	NJ	Mount Laurel, Township of	City	\$76,680
1176	NJ	New Brunswick, Township of	City	\$121,880
1177	NJ	Newark	City	\$317,540
1178	NJ	North Bergen, Township of	City	\$120,810
1179	NJ	North Brunswick, Township of	City	\$76,450
1180	NJ	Old Bridge, Township of	City	\$121,610
1181	NJ	Parsippany-Troy Hills, Township of	City	\$122,450
1182	NJ	Passaic	City	\$126,930
1183	NJ	Paterson	City	\$190,900
1184	NJ	Pennsauken, Township of	City	\$76,290
1185	NJ	Perth Amboy	City	\$114,270
1186	NJ	Piscataway, Township of	City	\$122,360
1187	NJ	Plainfield	City	\$112,690
1188	NJ	Sayreville	City	\$76,430
1189	NJ	South Brunswick, Township of	City	\$76,670
1190	NJ	Teaneck, Township of	City	\$76,380
1191	NJ	Toms River, Township of	City	\$147,200
1192	NJ	Trenton	City	\$145,700
1193	NJ	Union City	City	\$123,130
1194	NJ	Union, Township of	City	\$120,360
1195	NJ	Vineland	City	\$122,730
1196	NJ	Washington, Township of	City	\$76,620
1197	NJ	Wayne, Township of	City	\$118,460
1198	NJ	West New York, Town of	City	\$111,500
1199	NJ	West Orange, Township of	City	\$76,600
1200	NJ	Winslow, Township of	City	\$76,270
1201	NJ	Woodbridge, Township of	City	\$153,300



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1202	NM	Bernalillo	County	\$78,950
1203	NM	Dona Ana	County	\$78,650
1204	NM	McKinley	County	\$77,520
1205	NM	Otero	County	\$76,280
1206	NM	Rio Arriba	County	\$76,350
1207	NM	San Juan	County	\$77,580
1208	NM	Sandoval	County	\$76,540
1209	NM	Santa Fe	County	\$77,200
1210	NM	Taos	County	\$76,180
1211	NM	Valencia	County	\$77,550
1212	NM	Alamogordo	City	\$76,060
1213	NM	Albuquerque	City	\$512,750
1214	NM	Carlsbad	City	\$76,110
1215	NM	Clovis	City	\$76,310
1216	NM	Farmington	City	\$76,680
1217	NM	Hobbs	City	\$76,410
1218	NM	Las Cruces	City	\$160,190
1219	NM	Rio Rancho	City	\$149,420
1220	NM	Roswell	City	\$76,680
1221	NM	Santa Fe	City	\$144,530
1222	NV	Churchill	County	\$75,870
1223	NV	Clark	County	\$844,640
1224	NV	Douglas	County	\$76,700
1225	NV	Elko	County	\$76,100
1226	NV	Humboldt	County	\$75,600
1227	NV	Lyon	County	\$76,190
1228	NV	Nye	County	\$76,720
1229	NV	Pershing	County	\$75,230
1230	NV	Washoe	County	\$78,680
1231	NV	White Pine	County	\$75,330
1232	NV	Boulder	City	\$75,520
1233	NV	Carson City	City	\$120,450
1234	NV	Elko	City	\$75,750
1235	NV	Fernley	City	\$75,730
1236	NV	Henderson	City	\$307,600
1237	NV	Las Vegas	City	\$583,470
1238	NV	Mesquite	City	\$75,720
1239	NV	North Las Vegas	City	\$262,780



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1240	NV	Reno	City	\$280,500
1241	NV	Sparks	City	\$155,620
1242	NY	Dutchess	County	\$259,770
1243	NY	Erie	County	\$248,410
1244	NY	Monroe	County	\$81,200
1245	NY	Niagara	County	\$80,400
1246	NY	Oneida	County	\$80,830
1247	NY	Onondaga	County	\$278,080
1248	NY	Orange	County	\$372,460
1249	NY	Saratoga	County	\$81,630
1250	NY	Ulster	County	\$81,150
1251	NY	Westchester	County	\$282,250
1252	NY	Albany	City	\$164,050
1253	NY	Amherst, Town of	City	\$179,240
1254	NY	Babylon, Town of	City	\$235,330
1255	NY	Bethlehem, Town of	City	\$76,160
1256	NY	Binghamton	City	\$76,690
1257	NY	Brighton, Town of	City	\$76,350
1258	NY	Brookhaven, Town of	City	\$429,790
1259	NY	Buffalo	City	\$293,740
1260	NY	Cheektowaga, Town of	City	\$143,440
1261	NY	Clarkstown, Town of	City	\$140,500
1262	NY	Clay, Town of	City	\$118,800
1263	NY	Clifton Park, Town of	City	\$76,290
1264	NY	Colonie, Town of	City	\$146,350
1265	NY	Cortlandt, Town of	City	\$76,420
1266	NY	Greece, Town of	City	\$144,840
1267	NY	Greenburgh, Town of	City	\$149,090
1268	NY	Guilderland, Town of	City	\$76,220
1269	NY	Hamburg, Town of	City	\$118,990
1270	NY	Haverstraw, Town of	City	\$76,230
1271	NY	Hempstead, Town of	City	\$649,790
1272	NY	Henrietta, Town of	City	\$76,850
1273	NY	Huntington, Town of	City	\$233,490
1274	NY	Irondequoit, Town of	City	\$111,250
1275	NY	Islip, Town of	City	\$328,290
1276	NY	Lancaster, Town of	City	\$76,520
1277	NY	Long Beach	City	\$76,100



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1278	NY	Mount Pleasant, Town of	City	\$76,680
1279	NY	Mount Vernon	City	\$126,920
1280	NY	New Rochelle	City	\$133,340
1281	NY	New York	City	\$6,875,730
1282	NY	Niagara Falls	City	\$76,720
1283	NY	North Hempstead, Town of	City	\$263,550
1284	NY	Orangetown, Town of	City	\$76,720
1285	NY	Ossining, Town of	City	\$76,300
1286	NY	Oyster Bay, Town of	City	\$304,060
1287	NY	Penfield, Town of	City	\$76,310
1288	NY	Perinton, Town of	City	\$76,620
1289	NY	Poughkeepsie, Town of	City	\$76,620
1290	NY	Ramapo, Town of	City	\$184,790
1291	NY	Riverhead, Town of	City	\$76,280
1292	NY	Rochester	City	\$248,150
1293	NY	Rye, Town of	City	\$76,660
1294	NY	Schenectady	City	\$126,660
1295	NY	Smithtown, Town of	City	\$163,460
1296	NY	Southampton, Town of	City	\$126,880
1297	NY	Syracuse	City	\$195,980
1298	NY	Tonawanda, Town of	City	\$128,620
1299	NY	Troy	City	\$114,100
1300	NY	Union, Town of	City	\$117,440
1301	NY	Utica	City	\$125,390
1302	NY	Webster, Town of	City	\$76,520
1303	NY	West Seneca, Town of	City	\$76,510
1304	NY	White Plains	City	\$126,510
1305	NY	Yonkers	City	\$227,490
1306	NY	Yorktown, Town of	City	\$76,250
1307	ОН	Butler	County	\$248,500
1308	ОН	Clermont	County	\$225,940
1309	ОН	Cuyahoga	County	\$557,250
1310	ОН	Franklin	County	\$81,940
1311	ОН	Hamilton	County	\$473,280
1312	ОН	Lake	County	\$81,130
1313	ОН	Montgomery	County	\$305,670
1314	ОН	Stark	County	\$302,340
1315	ОН	Summit	County	\$304,340



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1316	ОН	Warren	County	\$253,250
1317	ОН	Akron	City	\$225,370
1318	ОН	Beavercreek	City	\$76,640
1319	ОН	Brunswick	City	\$76,140
1320	ОН	Canton	City	\$131,670
1321	ОН	Cincinnati	City	\$334,440
1322	ОН	Cleveland	City	\$386,700
1323	ОН	Cleveland Heights	City	\$76,450
1324	ОН	Columbus	City	\$778,900
1325	ОН	Cuyahoga Falls	City	\$112,560
1326	ОН	Dayton	City	\$187,930
1327	ОН	Delaware	City	\$76,410
1328	ОН	Dublin	City	\$76,910
1329	ОН	Elyria	City	\$115,220
1330	ОН	Euclid	City	\$76,640
1331	ОН	Fairfield	City	\$76,610
1332	ОН	Findlay	City	\$76,520
1333	ОН	Gahanna	City	\$76,250
1334	ОН	Grove	City	\$76,460
1335	ОН	Hamilton	City	\$122,250
1336	ОН	Hilliard	City	\$76,280
1337	ОН	Huber Heights	City	\$76,400
1338	ОН	Kettering	City	\$119,280
1339	ОН	Lakewood	City	\$110,300
1340	ОН	Lancaster	City	\$76,420
1341	ОН	Lima	City	\$76,310
1342	ОН	Lorain	City	\$122,270
1343	ОН	Mansfield	City	\$76,760
1344	ОН	Marion	City	\$76,250
1345	ОН	Mentor	City	\$76,760
1346	ОН	Middletown	City	\$113,080
1347	ОН	Newark	City	\$76,750
1348	ОН	North Ridgeville	City	\$76,130
1349	ОН	Parma	City	\$132,830
1350	ОН	Reynoldsburg	City	\$76,300
1351	ОН	Springfield	City	\$121,530
1352	ОН	Strongsville	City	\$76,610
1353	ОН	Toledo	City	\$285,750



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1354	ОН	Upper Arlington	City	\$76,220
1355	ОН	Warren	City	\$76,400
1356	ОН	Westerville	City	\$76,500
1357	ОН	Youngstown	City	\$124,150
1358	ОК	Canadian	County	\$77,220
1359	ОК	Creek	County	\$77,390
1360	ОК	Grady	County	\$76,830
1361	ОК	Le Flore	County	\$76,660
1362	ОК	Logan	County	\$76,590
1363	ОК	Oklahoma	County	\$79,740
1364	ОК	Pottawatomie	County	\$77,470
1365	ОК	Rogers	County	\$78,010
1366	ОК	Tulsa	County	\$79,610
1367	ОК	Wagoner	County	\$76,900
1368	ОК	Bartlesville	City	\$76,320
1369	ОК	Broken Arrow	City	\$156,940
1370	ОК	Edmond	City	\$146,020
1371	ОК	Enid	City	\$114,420
1372	ОК	Lawton	City	\$146,710
1373	ОК	Midwest City	City	\$117,820
1374	ОК	Moore	City	\$119,560
1375	ОК	Muskogee	City	\$76,370
1376	ОК	Norman	City	\$171,050
1377	ОК	Oklahoma City	City	\$612,250
1378	ОК	Owasso	City	\$76,270
1379	ОК	Stillwater	City	\$76,760
1380	ОК	Tulsa	City	\$408,420
1381	OR	Clackamas	County	\$329,650
1382	OR	Deschutes	County	\$78,310
1383	OR	Douglas	County	\$78,850
1384	OR	Jackson	County	\$79,600
1385	OR	Lane	County	\$79,830
1386	OR	Linn	County	\$77,780
1387	OR	Marion	County	\$80,470
1388	OR	Umatilla	County	\$77,740
1389	OR	Washington	County	\$324,390
1390	OR	Yamhill	County	\$78,660
1391	OR	Albany	City	\$117,460



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1392	OR	Beaverton	City	\$152,000
1393	OR	Bend	City	\$152,740
1394	OR	Corvallis	City	\$122,590
1395	OR	Eugene	City	\$212,520
1396	OR	Grants Pass	City	\$76,430
1397	OR	Gresham	City	\$158,600
1398	OR	Hillsboro	City	\$163,840
1399	OR	Keizer	City	\$76,270
1400	OR	Lake Oswego	City	\$76,440
1401	OR	Medford	City	\$143,430
1402	OR	Oregon City	City	\$76,260
1403	OR	Portland	City	\$602,650
1404	OR	Salem	City	\$214,750
1405	OR	Springfield	City	\$123,250
1406	OR	Tigard	City	\$118,610
1407	PA	Allegheny	County	\$751,200
1408	PA	Berks	County	\$324,850
1409	PA	Bucks	County	\$404,580
1410	PA	Chester	County	\$479,860
1411	PA	Cumberland	County	\$274,270
1412	PA	Delaware	County	\$406,930
1413	PA	Lancaster	County	\$411,780
1414	PA	Lehigh	County	\$252,780
1415	PA	Luzerne	County	\$287,780
1416	PA	Montgomery	County	\$594,260
1417	PA	Northampton	County	\$264,030
1418	PA	Washington	County	\$233,750
1419	PA	Westmoreland	County	\$308,180
1420	PA	York	County	\$377,890
1421	PA	Abington, Township of (Montgomery County)	City	\$118,250
1422	PA	Allentown	City	\$170,070
1423	PA	Altoona	City	\$76,540
1424	PA	Bensalem, Township of	City	\$122,290
1425	PA	Bethlehem	City	\$135,180
1426	PA	Bristol, Township of	City	\$114,490
1427	PA	Cheltenham, Township of	City	\$76,230
1428	PA	Erie	City	\$151,020
1429	PA	Harrisburg	City	\$120,600



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1430	PA	Haverford, Township of	City	\$110,660
		Hempfield, Township of (Westmoreland		
1431	PA	County)	City	\$76,460
1432	PA	Lancaster	City	\$122,800
1433	PA	Lower Merion, Township of	City	\$126,250
1434	PA	Lower Paxton, Township of	City	\$114,320
1435	PA	Manheim, Township of (Lancaster County)	City	\$76,560
		Middletown, Township of (Bucks		
1436	PA	County)	City	\$76,530
1437	PA	Millcreek, Township of (Erie County)	City	\$115,710
1438	PA	Norris, Town of	City	\$76,190
1439	PA	Northampton, Township of	City	\$76,280
1440	PA	Penn Hills, Township of	City	\$76,340
1441	PA	Philadelphia	City	\$1,316,810
1442	PA	Pittsburgh	City	\$337,960
1443	PA	Reading	City	\$145,490
1444	PA	Scranton	City	\$134,690
1445	PA	State College, Borough of	City	\$76,560
1446	PA	Upper Darby, Township of	City	\$134,340
1447	PA	Wilkes-Barre	City	\$76,590
1448	PA	York	City	\$76,680
1449	PR	Aguada	City	\$76,280
1450	PR	Aguadilla	City	\$118,070
1451	PR	Arecibo	City	\$142,090
1452	PR	Bayamon	City	\$213,340
1453	PR	Cabo Rojo	City	\$76,600
1454	PR	Caguas	City	\$173,030
1455	PR	Canovanas	City	\$76,440
1456	PR	Carolina	City	\$191,230
1457	PR	Cayey	City	\$76,470
1458	PR	Cidra	City	\$76,340
1459	PR	Dorado	City	\$76,240
1460	PR	Guayama	City	\$76,340
1461	PR	Guaynabo	City	\$147,260
1462	PR	Gurabo	City	\$76,400
1463	PR	Hatillo	City	\$76,330
1464	PR	Humacao	City	\$115,070
1465	PR	Isabela	City	\$76,450



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1466	PR	Juana Diaz	City	\$76,560
1467	PR	Juncos	City	\$76,290
1468	PR	Las Piedras	City	\$76,220
1469	PR	Manati	City	\$76,420
1470	PR	Mayaguez	City	\$134,110
1471	PR	Moca	City	\$76,260
1472	PR	Ponce	City	\$183,120
1473	PR	Rio Grande	City	\$76,580
1474	PR	San Juan	City	\$357,770
1475	PR	San Lorenzo	City	\$76,250
1476	PR	San Sebastian	City	\$76,320
1477	PR	Toa Alta	City	\$122,670
1478	PR	Toa Baja	City	\$130,000
1479	PR	Trujillo Alto	City	\$122,800
1480	PR	Vega Alta	City	\$76,200
1481	PR	Vega Baja	City	\$115,150
1482	RI	Coventry, Town of	City	\$76,130
1483	RI	Cranston	City	\$136,300
1484	RI	Cumberland, Town of	City	\$76,180
1485	RI	East Providence	City	\$76,630
1486	RI	North Providence, Town of	City	\$76,090
1487	RI	Pawtucket	City	\$128,950
1488	RI	Providence	City	\$227,730
1489	RI	South Kings, Town of	City	\$76,120
1490	RI	Warwick	City	\$139,610
1491	RI	Woonsocket	City	\$76,470
1492	SC	Aiken	County	\$80,820
1493	SC	Anderson	County	\$227,180
1494	SC	Beaufort	County	\$80,150
1495	SC	Berkeley	County	\$80,300
1496	SC	Greenville	County	\$393,510
1497	SC	Horry	County	\$307,990
1498	SC	Lexington	County	\$297,180
1499	SC	Richland	County	\$278,170
1500	SC	Spartanburg	County	\$282,710
1501	SC	York	County	\$226,460
1502	SC	Charleston	City	\$195,380
1503	SC	Columbia	City	\$198,460



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1504	SC	Florence	City	\$76,570
1505	SC	Goose Creek	City	\$76,520
1506	SC	Greenville	City	\$144,320
1507	SC	Greer	City	\$76,210
1508	SC	Hilton Head Island, Town of	City	\$76,420
1509	SC	Mount Pleasant, Town of	City	\$142,750
1510	SC	Myrtle Beach	City	\$76,580
1511	SC	North Charleston	City	\$171,700
1512	SC	Rock Hill	City	\$133,080
1513	SC	Spartanburg	City	\$76,580
1514	SC	Summerville, Town of	City	\$113,980
1515	SC	Sumter	City	\$76,610
1516	SD	Brookings	County	\$75,360
1517	SD	Clay	County	\$75,500
1518	SD	Lake	County	\$75,390
1519	SD	Lawrence	County	\$75,460
1520	SD	Lincoln	County	\$75,840
1521	SD	Meade	County	\$75,960
1522	SD	Minnehaha	County	\$76,450
1523	SD	Oglala Lakota	County	\$75,490
1524	SD	Pennington	County	\$76,130
1525	SD	Union	County	\$75,590
1526	SD	Aberdeen	City	\$76,020
1527	SD	Brookings	City	\$75,860
1528	SD	Huron	City	\$75,490
1529	SD	Mitchell	City	\$75,560
1530	SD	Pierre	City	\$75,510
1531	SD	Rapid City	City	\$135,960
1532	SD	Sioux Falls	City	\$224,030
1533	SD	Spearfish	City	\$75,440
1534	SD	Watertown	City	\$75,810
1535	SD	Yankton	City	\$75,570
1536	TN	Blount	County	\$79,600
1537	TN	Hamilton	County	\$80,940
1538	TN	Knox	County	\$279,650
1539	TN	Putnam	County	\$77,840
1540	TN	Rutherford	County	\$77,990
1541	TN	Sevier	County	\$78,460



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1542	TN	Shelby	County	\$79,610
1543	TN	Sullivan	County	\$78,530
1544	TN	Sumner	County	\$77,880
1545	TN	Williamson	County	\$77,700
1546	TN	Bartlett	City	\$117,930
1547	TN	Brentwood	City	\$76,730
1548	TN	Chattanooga	City	\$234,200
1549	TN	Clarksville	City	\$197,220
1550	TN	Cleveland	City	\$76,790
1551	TN	Collierville, Town of	City	\$113,610
1552	TN	Columbia	City	\$76,480
1553	TN	Franklin	City	\$145,990
1554	TN	Gallatin	City	\$76,540
1555	TN	Germantown	City	\$76,430
1556	TN	Hendersonville	City	\$120,260
1557	TN	Jackson	City	\$132,680
1558	TN	Johnson City	City	\$134,060
1559	TN	Kingsport	City	\$121,880
1560	TN	Knoxville	City	\$239,720
1561	TN	La Vergne	City	\$76,330
1562	TN	Lebanon	City	\$76,430
1563	TN	Memphis	City	\$590,500
1564	TN	Mount Juliet	City	\$76,310
1565	TN	Murfreesboro	City	\$190,230
		Nashville-Davidson, Metropolitan		
1566	TN	Government of	City	\$644,440
1567	TN	Smyrna, Town of	City	\$117,300
1568	TN	Spring Hill	City	\$109,170
1569	TX	Bexar	County	\$464,940
1570	TX	Brazoria	County	\$268,260
1571	TX	Collin	County	\$219,010
1572	TX	Denton	County	\$251,160
1573	TX	Fort Bend	County	\$456,500
1574	TX	Harris	County	\$1,636,340
1575	TX	Hidalgo	County	\$357,000
1576	TX	Montgomery	County	\$457,580
1577	TX	Tarrant	County	\$306,040
1578	TX	Travis	County	\$293,610
1579	TX	Williamson	County	\$235,100



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1580	TX	Abilene	City	\$172,590
1581	TX	Allen	City	\$151,800
1582	TX	Amarillo	City	\$227,860
1583	TX	Arlington	City	\$369,400
1584	TX	Austin	City	\$855,340
1585	TX	Baytown	City	\$140,370
1586	TX	Beaumont	City	\$168,420
1587	TX	Bedford	City	\$76,680
1588	TX	Brownsville	City	\$217,400
1589	TX	Bryan	City	\$140,860
1590	TX	Burleson	City	\$76,610
1591	TX	Carrollton	City	\$177,310
1592	TX	Cedar Hill	City	\$76,610
1593	TX	Cedar Park	City	\$132,620
1594	TX	College Station	City	\$167,660
1595	TX	Conroe	City	\$145,460
1596	TX	Coppell	City	\$76,610
1597	TX	Copperas Cove	City	\$76,170
1598	TX	Corpus Christi	City	\$322,260
1599	TX	Dallas	City	\$1,132,070
1600	TX	Denton	City	\$182,710
1601	TX	DeSoto	City	\$114,690
1602	TX	Duncanville	City	\$76,340
1603	TX	Edinburg	City	\$153,020
1604	TX	El Paso	City	\$595,490
1605	TX	Euless	City	\$117,300
1606	TX	Farmers Branch	City	\$76,570
1607	TX	Flower Mound, Town of	City	\$132,150
1608	TX	Fort Worth	City	\$785,490
1609	TX	Friendswood	City	\$76,330
1610	TX	Frisco	City	\$224,480
1611	TX	Galveston	City	\$118,860
1612	TX	Garland	City	\$251,490
1613	TX	Georgetown	City	\$128,440
1614	TX	Grand Prairie	City	\$220,600
1615	TX	Grapevine	City	\$122,650
1616	TX	Haltom City	City	\$76,540
1617	TX	Harlingen	City	\$132,290



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1618	TX	Houston	City	\$2,006,020
1619	TX	Huntsville	City	\$76,640
1620	TX	Hurst	City	\$76,390
1621	TX	Irving	City	\$284,550
1622	TX	Keller	City	\$76,540
1623	TX	Killeen	City	\$184,930
1624	TX	Kyle	City	\$76,480
1625	TX	La Porte	City	\$76,250
1626	TX	Lancaster	City	\$76,350
1627	TX	Laredo	City	\$271,290
1628	TX	League City	City	\$155,210
1629	TX	Leander	City	\$116,720
1630	TX	Lewisville	City	\$158,370
1631	TX	Little Elm	City	\$76,480
1632	TX	Longview	City	\$141,720
1633	TX	Lubbock	City	\$274,430
1634	TX	Mansfield	City	\$129,070
1635	TX	McAllen	City	\$190,200
1636	TX	McKinney	City	\$219,230
1637	TX	Mesquite	City	\$182,880
1638	TX	Midland	City	\$180,140
1639	TX	Midlothian	City	\$76,150
1640	TX	Mission	City	\$140,450
1641	TX	Missouri City	City	\$128,070
1642	TX	New Braunfels	City	\$143,530
1643	TX	North Richland Hills	City	\$125,800
1644	TX	Odessa	City	\$165,070
1645	TX	Pasadena	City	\$191,680
1646	TX	Pearland	City	\$164,610
1647	TX	Pflugerville	City	\$120,850
1648	TX	Pharr	City	\$135,910
1649	TX	Plano	City	\$307,870
1650	TX	Port Arthur	City	\$118,760
1651	TX	Richardson	City	\$177,400
1652	TX	Rockwall	City	\$76,650
1653	TX	Rosenberg	City	\$76,330
1654	TX	Round Rock	City	\$169,320
1655	TX	Rowlett	City	\$119,050



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1656	TX	San Angelo	City	\$151,890
1657	TX	San Antonio	City	\$1,220,460
1658	TX	San Juan	City	\$76,170
1659	TX	San Marcos	City	\$127,080
1660	TX	Schertz	City	\$76,400
1661	TX	Sherman	City	\$76,610
1662	TX	Sugar Land	City	\$164,210
1663	TX	Temple	City	\$140,420
1664	TX	Texarkana	City	\$76,440
1665	TX	Texas City	City	\$114,390
1666	TX	The Colony	City	\$76,380
1667	TX	Tyler	City	\$162,770
1668	TX	Victoria	City	\$126,590
1669	TX	Waco	City	\$187,120
1670	TX	Waxahachie	City	\$76,430
1671	TX	Weslaco	City	\$76,470
1672	TX	Wichita Falls	City	\$155,820
1673	TX	Wylie	City	\$114,380
1674	UT	Box Elder	County	\$76,950
1675	UT	Cache	County	\$77,620
1676	UT	Davis	County	\$248,800
1677	UT	Salt Lake	County	\$80,390
1678	UT	Summit	County	\$76,520
1679	UT	Tooele	County	\$76,190
1680	UT	Uintah	County	\$76,240
1681	UT	Utah	County	\$80,710
1682	UT	Washington	County	\$77,810
1683	UT	Weber	County	\$79,480
1684	UT	Bountiful	City	\$76,530
1685	UT	Cedar City	City	\$76,240
1686	UT	Draper	City	\$117,010
1687	UT	Eagle Mountain	City	\$76,330
1688	UT	Herriman	City	\$111,860
1689	UT	Kearns Metro, Township of	City	\$76,130
1690	UT	Layton	City	\$134,230
1691	UT	Lehi	City	\$132,310
1692	UT	Logan	City	\$117,590
1693	UT	Midvale	City	\$76,210



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1694	UT	Millcreek	City	\$121,210
1695	UT	Murray	City	\$116,350
1696	UT	Ogden	City	\$145,720
1697	UT	Orem	City	\$150,350
1698	UT	Pleasant Grove	City	\$76,260
1699	UT	Provo	City	\$165,350
1700	UT	Riverton	City	\$76,480
1701	UT	Roy	City	\$76,240
1702	UT	Salt Lake City	City	\$264,650
1703	UT	Sandy	City	\$148,400
1704	UT	Saratoga Springs	City	\$76,170
1705	UT	South Jordan	City	\$132,100
1706	UT	Spanish Fork	City	\$76,440
1707	UT	Springville	City	\$76,190
1708	UT	St. George	City	\$149,500
1709	UT	Taylorsville	City	\$118,550
1710	UT	Tooele	City	\$76,180
1711	UT	West Jordan	City	\$158,100
1712	UT	West Valley City	City	\$179,460
1713	VA	Albemarle	County	\$78,940
1714	VA	Arlington	County	\$267,820
1715	VA	Chesterfield	County	\$341,610
1716	VA	Fairfax	County	\$963,530
1717	VA	Hanover	County	\$78,700
1718	VA	Henrico	County	\$333,630
1719	VA	Loudoun	County	\$350,900
1720	VA	Prince William	County	\$421,130
1721	VA	Spotsylvania	County	\$79,560
1722	VA	Stafford	County	\$80,130
1723	VA	Alexandria	City	\$197,700
1724	VA	Blacksburg, Town of	City	\$76,680
1725	VA	Charlottesville	City	\$76,840
1726	VA	Chesapeake	City	\$260,480
1727	VA	Danville	City	\$76,580
1728	VA	Hampton	City	\$179,420
1729	VA	Harrisonburg	City	\$117,870
1730	VA	Leesburg, Town of	City	\$76,710
1731	VA	Lynchburg	City	\$140,890



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1732	VA	Manassas	City	\$76,480
1733	VA	Newport News	City	\$221,810
1734	VA	Norfolk	City	\$271,840
1735	VA	Portsmouth	City	\$151,150
1736	VA	Richmond	City	\$262,500
1737	VA	Roanoke	City	\$156,480
1738	VA	Suffolk	City	\$144,950
1739	VA	Virginia Beach	City	\$418,550
1740	VT	Addison	County	\$76,270
1741	VT	Caledonia	County	\$76,030
1742	VT	Chittenden	County	\$76,390
1743	VT	Franklin	County	\$76,680
1744	VT	Orange	County	\$75,960
1745	VT	Orleans	County	\$75,940
1746	VT	Rutland	County	\$76,480
1747	VT	Washington	County	\$77,100
1748	VT	Windham	County	\$76,120
1749	VT	Windsor	County	\$76,590
1750	VT	Bennington, Town of	City	\$75,560
1751	VT	Brattleboro, Town of	City	\$75,460
1752	VT	Burlington	City	\$76,670
1753	VT	Colchester, Town of	City	\$75,610
1754	VT	Essex, Town of	City	\$75,770
1755	VT	Hartford, Town of	City	\$75,380
1756	VT	Milton, Town of	City	\$75,340
1757	VT	Rutland	City	\$75,600
1758	VT	South Burlington	City	\$75,760
1759	VT	Williston, Town of	City	\$75,410
1760	WA	Clark	County	\$296,170
1761	WA	Grant	County	\$78,440
1762	WA	King	County	\$474,460
1763	WA	Kitsap	County	\$245,220
1764	WA	Pierce	County	\$497,770
1765	WA	Snohomish	County	\$436,900
1766	WA	Spokane	County	\$224,600
1767	WA	Thurston	County	\$81,040
1768	WA	Whatcom	County	\$79,500
1769	WA	Yakima	County	\$80,470



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1770	WA	Auburn	City	\$141,710
1771	WA	Bellevue	City	\$203,560
1772	WA	Bellingham	City	\$148,080
1773	WA	Bothell	City	\$76,740
1774	WA	Bremerton	City	\$76,690
1775	WA	Burien	City	\$112,300
1776	WA	Edmonds	City	\$76,430
1777	WA	Everett	City	\$169,950
1778	WA	Federal Way	City	\$147,860
1779	WA	Issaquah	City	\$76,450
1780	WA	Kennewick	City	\$138,680
1781	WA	Kent	City	\$179,480
1782	WA	Kirkland	City	\$144,580
1783	WA	Lacey	City	\$115,460
1784	WA	Lake Stevens	City	\$76,130
1785	WA	Lakewood	City	\$123,080
1786	WA	Longview	City	\$76,370
1787	WA	Lynnwood	City	\$76,450
1788	WA	Marysville	City	\$124,740
1789	WA	Mount Vernon	City	\$76,250
1790	WA	Olympia	City	\$122,030
1791	WA	Pasco	City	\$131,590
1792	WA	Puyallup	City	\$76,570
1793	WA	Redmond	City	\$143,150
1794	WA	Renton	City	\$157,700
1795	WA	Richland	City	\$123,260
1796	WA	Sammamish	City	\$121,420
1797	WA	Seattle	City	\$681,520
1798	WA	Shoreline	City	\$117,070
1799	WA	Spokane	City	\$255,210
1800	WA	Spokane Valley	City	\$154,350
1801	WA	Tacoma	City	\$246,170
1802	WA	Vancouver	City	\$223,720
1803	WA	Wenatchee	City	\$76,300
1804	WA	Yakima	City	\$149,970
1805	WI	Brown	County	\$80,630
1806	WI	Dane	County	\$266,890
1807	WI	Marathon	County	\$78,300



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1808	WI	Milwaukee	County	\$79,820
1809	WI	Outagamie	County	\$79,420
1810	WI	Racine	County	\$79,040
1811	WI	Walworth	County	\$78,630
1812	WI	Washington	County	\$79,610
1813	WI	Waukesha	County	\$238,860
1814	WI	Winnebago	County	\$78,580
1815	WI	Appleton	City	\$134,180
1816	WI	Beloit	City	\$76,280
1817	WI	Brookfield	City	\$76,600
1818	WI	Eau Claire	City	\$130,210
1819	WI	Fond du Lac	City	\$76,620
1820	WI	Franklin	City	\$76,280
1821	WI	Green Bay	City	\$159,610
1822	WI	Greenfield	City	\$76,240
1823	WI	Janesville	City	\$125,580
1824	WI	Kenosha	City	\$149,790
1825	WI	La Crosse	City	\$119,590
1826	WI	Madison	City	\$291,340
1827	WI	Menomonee Falls, Village of	City	\$76,470
1828	WI	Milwaukee	City	\$522,370
1829	WI	New Berlin	City	\$76,430
1830	WI	Oak Creek	City	\$76,280
1831	WI	Oshkosh	City	\$128,580
1832	WI	Racine	City	\$133,580
1833	WI	Sheboygan	City	\$76,730
1834	WI	Sun Prairie	City	\$76,190
1835	WI	Waukesha	City	\$130,460
1836	WI	Wausau	City	\$76,500
1837	WI	Wauwatosa	City	\$76,940
1838	WI	West Allis	City	\$120,530
1839	WV	Berkeley	County	\$78,320
1840	WV	Cabell	County	\$76,770
1841	WV	Harrison	County	\$76,780
1842	WV	Jefferson	County	\$76,900
1843	WV	Kanawha	County	\$79,470
1844	WV	Mercer	County	\$77,060
1845	WV	Monongalia	County	\$77,550



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1846	WV	Putnam	County	\$76,950
1847	WV	Raleigh	County	\$76,950
1848	WV	Wood	County	\$76,820
1849	WV	Beckley	City	\$75,680
1850	WV	Charleston	City	\$77,040
1851	WV	Clarksburg	City	\$75,630
1852	WV	Fairmont	City	\$75,670
1853	WV	Huntington	City	\$76,800
1854	WV	Martinsburg	City	\$75,690
1855	WV	Morgantown	City	\$76,250
1856	WV	Parkersburg	City	\$76,150
1857	WV	Weirton	City	\$75,670
1858	WV	Wheeling	City	\$76,050
1859	WY	Campbell	County	\$75,520
1860	WY	Carbon	County	\$75,510
1861	WY	Converse	County	\$75,480
1862	WY	Fremont	County	\$75,980
1863	WY	Goshen	County	\$75,440
1864	WY	Laramie	County	\$76,200
1865	WY	Lincoln	County	\$75,670
1866	WY	Natrona	County	\$75,740
1867	WY	Park	County	\$76,030
1868	WY	Teton	County	\$75,450
1869	WY	Casper	City	\$119,850
1870	WY	Cheyenne	City	\$125,720
1871	WY	Evanston	City	\$75,410
1872	WY	Gillette	City	\$76,140
1873	WY	Green River	City	\$75,380
1874	WY	Jackson, Town of	City	\$75,410
1875	WY	Laramie	City	\$76,110
1876	WY	Riverton	City	\$75,380
1877	WY	Rock Springs	City	\$75,820
1878	WY	Sheridan	City	\$75,670



# Legislative Branch

RL Number:

24-70

Date Submitted:

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

# REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for possible consideration at City Council Work Sessions. RLs generated by City Departments must be signed by the Mayor, Comptroller, and Corporation Counsel prior to submission. Incomplete/incorrect RLs will be returned to applicant for revisions.

## **Applicant Presenting RL at Work Session**

Juliet			Director	Planning Housin	ng & Commun <b>ë</b>	Berlin	g	
(Print N	Jame)			(Title)		remain and the second	(Phone i	ature.
Signatu	re:	gelest	Berla		The state of the s	Dat	te: 03/27/2024	,
		,	To Be	Completed I	By Applicant			
Propose	ed Title: Reform	at R23-92 to me	et State Histori	c Preservation O	ffice Resolution re	equirements.		
Executi	ve Summary (1	Explain why	legislation	is necessary,	):			
This reque	st is to reformat a pre	eviously adopted	l resolution acc	epting an EPF G	rant of \$500,000 f	or the Ross Park	Trails Improvement Proj	ect.
The Regio	nal Grants Administr	rator for New Yo	ork State Parks	Recreation & H	istoric Preservatio	n has requested w	ve use their Resolution for	ormat
	the format used by C		on.					
Effectiv	e Date: (if appli	cable)						
Budget	transfer or am	endment: I	RL Budget '	Γransfer Wo	rksheet must	be attached w	v/ Dep. Head signa	ature.
RL rela	ted to a grant:	RL Grant V	Vorksheet n	nust be attac	hed. Deadlin	e for Council	to act by:	
RL rela	ted to previous	ly adopted	legislation:	Perm. num	ber <u>R23 -</u>	92adoption	date 12/6/2	3
Contrac	t: Person/Com	pany			Start/End Date	е		
Total Co	st	Funds	available in	Budget Line	H1110.5555	SS. A Title	NYSOPRHEPF	6runt
Public F	Iearing require	ed? Yes	No 🗸		SEQR	A required?	Yes No 🗸	
Addition	nal information	related to	this RL att	ached?	Yes No			
	Mayor:		1 m	OFFICE USE O	ONLY			
	Comptroller:							
	Corp. Counsel:							
	Finance □	Planning	MPA □	PW/Parks □	Employee	s □ Rules/S	pecial Studies	

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

### GRANT APPLICATION WORKSHEET

The Request for Legislation must include the project title and the purpose of the grant.

Please provide the following additional information.

Agency providing the grant: US DOT/NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation EPF
Total project cost: \$625,000
Total amount of grant: \$500,000
Local match (if any): \$125,000
If local match is monetary, provide the budget line and title:
If local match is "in kind", provide the anticipated personnel and hours to be dedicated to the project:
Disbursement of grant (upfront, reimbursable?): Reimbursable
Grant Budget Line: <u>H7110.555555.PK020</u>
Grant project manager: Patrick McGinnis/Juliet Berling
Anticipated date of project completion: 12/15/2027
Special project completion requirements (if any):
Attach any required form of Resolution from the Agency providing the grant.
Please provide any additional information in the space provided below, including any other government agency or private partner participating in the grant, along with a description of such participation:

#### RESOLUTION

(To be made on official letterhead of Council of the City of Binghamton)

RESOLVED, that the City of Binghamton applied for financial assistance from the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation ("OPRHP") under Title 9 of the Environmental Protection Act of 1993, which implements the Environmental Protection Fund (EPF) Act of 1993 for the purpose of funding the Ross Park Trail;

RESOLVED, that the City of Binghamton is authorized and directed to accept these grant funds in an amount not to exceed \$500,000 for the project described in the grant application;

RESOLVED, that the City of Binghamton is authorized and directed to agree to the terms and conditions of the State of New York Contract for Grants ("Contract") with OPRHP for such Ross Park Trail;

RESOLVED, that the City of Binghamton is authorized and directed to agree to the terms and conditions of any required deed of easement granted to OPRHP that affects title to real property owned by the municipality and improved by the grant funds, which may be a duly recorded public access covenant, conservation easement, and/or preservation covenant; and

RESOLVED, that the governing body of the municipality delegates signing authority to execute the Contract and any amendments thereto, any required deed of easement, and any other certifications to the individual(s) who hold(s) the following elected or appointed municipal office(s) or employment position title(s): Mayor and/or Director of Planning Housing & Community Development.

#### **CERTIFIED TRUE COPY**

I, Sarah Dinhofer, Clerk the City of Binghamton, hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and accurate copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted by the governing body of the municipality, at a meeting duly and regularly held on December 6, 2023, at which quorum was present throughout, and the required majority of the governing body voted in favor of this resolution. I further certify that this resolution is still in full force and effect and has not been revoked or modified.

Dated:	
Signature: Clerk	Affix Seal of Municipality Here

January 2020

Legal Counse! Approval

RL 23-241

Introductory No. R23-93
Permanent No. R413-91



# THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: December 6, 2023

Sponsored by Council Members: Resciniti, Riley, Friedman, Burns, Strawn, Scanlon, Scaringi Introduced by Committee: Planning

#### RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT A NYS OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION, HISTORIC PRESERVATION ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FUND GRANT

WHEREAS, the City of Binghamton is eligible for the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation (NYS OPRH) Environmental Protection Fund (EPF) Grant in the amount of \$500,000 to be used for creating publicly accessible trails and connections to existing pathways, restoring existing pathways, and restoring associated trail infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, the Grant requires a 25% match in the amount of \$125,000; the grant funds will be reimbursable, and the Grant will be administered by the Commissioner of Parks & Recreation or his designee; and the estimated date of completion will be December 15, 2027.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session does hereby:

RESOLVE that the Mayor of the City of Binghamton, or his designee, is hereby authorized to execute any and all documents, approved as to form and content by the Office of Corporation Counsel, as may be necessary to accept the NYS OPRH EPF Grant funds in the amount of \$500,000; and that funds will be available in budget line H7110.555555.PK020 (NYS OPRH EPF GRANT) for this purpose.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above described funds are unencombered and available

Chuck Shager, Comptroller

TAPE 1

Introductory No. R23-93		Aves	Navs	Abstain	Absent	
Permanent No. R13-91	Councilwoman Resciniti				>	
Sponsored by City Council Members: Resciniti, Riley, Friedman, Burns, Strawn, Scanlon.	Councilwoman Riley	>				
	Councilwoman Friedman	>				
	Councilman Burns	>				
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT A NYS OPRH EPF GRANT	Councilman Strawn	>				
	Councilman Scanlon	>				
The within Resolution was adopted by the Council of	Councilman Scaringi	>				
	Total	9	0	C	-	
12/10/13						
(	☐ Code of the City of Binghamton	City of Bingl	hamton			
as your land	`					
	Adopted	☐ Defeated	q			
Date Presented to Mayof	Ayes Nays Abstain Absent	Nays ()	Abstain	Absent		
12/1/23						
Date Approved	I hereby certify the above to be a true	fy the above	e to be a	true		
	copy of the legislation adopted by the Council of the Gty of Binghamton at a meeting held on $\frac{12 \cdot \omega}{2}$ . Approved	gislation ad City of Bin on 12 L	ghamton	the at a proved		
	by the Mayor on 12 123 06	00 12 1	130	(i)		

# Legislative Branch

RL Number: 24-66
Date Submitted:

City Clerk, City Hall, Binghamton, NY 13901 607-772-7005

## REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION

Requests for Legislation (RLs) may be submitted to the City Clerk's Office for possible consideration at City Council Work Sessions. Incomplete/incorrect RLs will be returned to applicant for revisions. Please include as much supplemental material as is necessary to substantiate the request for legislation. Use "Additional Presenters" line to include anyone that will appear to present this in City Council Work Session.

## **Applicant Presenting RL at Work Session**

Samantha Costello	City Clerk	607-772-8715
(Print Name)	(Title)	(Phone number)
(Additional Presenters)		
Proposed Title:	To Be Completed By Ap	<u>plicant</u>
•	ections E and F to make clear that all payments will	be deducted first from New York State pension
Executive Summary (Explain	why legislation is necessary):	
	y that payments will first be deducted from New Yo	ork State pension and then paid by the employee if no
Effective Date: (if applicat	ole) 04/10/2024	
Budget transfer or amend	<b>Iment:</b> RL Budget Transfer Workshee	et <b>must</b> be attached w/ Dep. Head signature.
RL related to a grant: RI	Grant Worksheet <u>must</u> be attached.	
RL related to previously a	adopted legislation: Perm. number O	24-21 , adoption date $\frac{03/27/2024}{}$
Contract: Person/Compar	ny	
Гotal Cost	_ Funds available in Budget Line	Title
Public Hearing required?	Yes Not Applicable SEQI	RA required? Yes Not Applicable
Additional information re	elated to this RL attached? Yes	No
Expedition requested for	this RL? Yes 🖊 No	
Please explain why exped	ition is necessary:	
Legislation should be explicitly clea	ar and immediately adopted for eligible retirees mov	ving forward

Legal Counsel Approval	Introductory No. O24-21
RL 24-49	Permanent No.



# THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON STATE OF NEW YORK

Date: March 27, 2024

Sponsored by Council Members: Porter, Dundon, Hotchkiss, Cavanaugh

Introduced by Committee: Employees

#### **ORDINANCE**

entitled

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODE OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON, CHAPTER 124, PERSONNEL POLICIES, TO UPDATE ELIGIBILITY FOR RETIREE HEALTH INSURANCE

WHEREAS, Corporation Counsel, the Comptroller, and the Mayor of the City of Binghamton have recommended that the Code of the City of Binghamton, Chapter 124, *Personnel Policies*, § 26, City to pay portion of costs of premiums for continued health insurance for eligible retired employees, be amended; and

WHEREAS, City Council wishes to amend Code of the City of Binghamton accordingly.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Binghamton, duly convened in regular session, does hereby ordain as follows:

Section 1. That the Code of the City of Binghamton, Chapter 124, Personnel Policies, § 26, City to pay portion of costs of premiums for continued health insurance for eligible retired employees, is hereby amended as follows:

§ 124-26. City to pay portion of costs of premiums for continued health insurance for eligible retired employees. [Amended 12-17-1973 by Ord. No. 244-73; 5-7-1984 by Ord. No. 40-84; Deleted 1-6-2017 by Ord. No. 16-105; Amended 6-19-2019 by Ord No. 19-66; Amended 12-23-2020 by Ord. No. 20-115; Amended 11-17-2021 by Ord. No. 21-132; Amended 12-22-2021 by Ord No. 21-155]

The City of Binghamton will provide continued health insurance coverage for eligible retired employees as follows:

- A. "eligible retired employees" are defined as employees that retire from the City of Binghamton and enter the NYS retirement system (ERS or PFRS that the City paid into) directly upon leaving employment with the City unless this definition is superseded by a collective bargaining agreement.
- B. For all eligible retired employees, including non-union officers and employees, and excluding retired employees that were members of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFLCIO, Blue Collar and Supervisor Units, Local No. 317, the City of Binghamton will pay Seventy (70%) Percent of the premium charged for the plan

selected by the retired employee, i.e., Excellus Blue Cross/Blue Shield Classic Blue (available in the calendar year of retirement only); Excellus Blue Cross/Blue Shield-PPO-B; or Excellus Blue Cross/Blue Shield Signature Deductible 3. The eligible retired employee will pay the remaining Thirty (30%) Percent of the premium charged for the selected plan.

- C. For all eligible retired employees that were members of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO, Blue Collar and Supervisor Units, Local No. 317, the City of Binghamton will pay Seventy (70%) Percent of the premium charged for the "C-2 Plan" or, if discontinued, the equivalent replacement plan, and the eligible retired employee will pay the remaining Thirty (30%) Percent of the premium charged for this plan. The eligible retired employee may select another plan offered through New York State Teamsters but such employee will pay the entire cost of the premium, regardless of the selected plan.
- D. For all eligible retired employees, including retired members of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO, Blue Collar and Supervisor Units, Local No. 317, and the spouses, surviving spouses, and dependents of the retired employees who are Medicare eligible must enroll in the City's Advantage Plan for Groups or the equivalent replacement policy. The City of Binghamton will pay Seventy (70%) Percent of the premium charged for the Advantage Plan for Groups. The retired employee, or, if deceased, the spouses, surviving spouses, and dependents of the retired employee will pay the remaining Thirty (30%) Percent of the premium charged for the Advantage Plan for Groups.
- E. For all officers and employees who have at least ten (10) years of full time service with the City of Binghamton on or after January 1, 2009, who separated from service with the City of Binghamton in good standing (not terminated), over 65 on Medicare with Parts A and B, and collecting retirement with the New York State and Local Retirement System, will be entitled to join the City's Advantage Plan for Groups or the equivalent replacement policy, when he or she is Medicare eligible provided he/she pays One Hundred (100%) Percent of the cost of such plan and that all with payments are being deducted first from his/her New York State pension.
- F. Any elected official who serves at least two (2) four (4) year terms, will be entitled to join the City's Advantage Plan for Groups or the equivalent replacement policy, when he or she is Medicare eligible with Parts A & B, provided he/she pays the remaining thirty percent (30%) of the premium charged for the Advantage Plan for Groups as provided in paragraph "D" above and that all—with payments are being deducted first from his/her New York State pension.
- G. A surviving spouse of an employee in good standing, who was eligible for continued health insurance coverage, whether or not that employee has retired, is eligible for continued health insurance as provided in the applicable subsections above, except that the surviving spouse (Widow/Widower) will be responsible to pay One Hundred (100%) Percent of the premium charged to the City of Binghamton.
- H. Employees who have retired from the City of Binghamton (not just collected their retirement from NYSLRS) and carry health insurance into retirement are eligible to opt out of the City of Binghamton retiree health insurance plan, as explained above, and return to the plan one time as long as they provide proof of insurance coverage during the entire period they were not covered by the City's plan.
- I. Employees who have retired from the City of Binghamton (not just collected their retirement from NYSLRS) and did not carry health insurance into retirement are eligible to return to the plan one time when they provide proof of insurance coverage during the entire period they were not covered by the City's plan but are not eligible to leave the City's plan and return pursuant to subsection H, above.
- J. The City of Binghamton will provide continued health insurance coverage for eligible retired employees who retire directly from the City of Binghamton as follows: Those hired on or before June 1, 2024, are required to have ten (10) years of service with the City to qualify for continued health insurance coverage, and those hired after June 1, 2024, are required to have twenty (20) years of service with the City to qualify

for continued health insurance coverage. All eligible retired employees shall continue to make the required contribution toward health insurance premiums in accordance with the above subsections and applicable provisions of the Binghamton City Code, as well as any applicable collective bargaining agreements and employment contracts.

K. Any employee who has at least ten (10) years of service with the City and has bought back active military service credit towards their retirement shall be eligible to have such service credit added to the total years of service with the City, to the extent such service credit was bought back while the employee was employed by the City.

Section 2. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Permanent No.		Ayes	Nays	Abstain	
Sponsored by City Council Members:	Councilmember				
Porter, Dundon, Hotchkiss, Cavanaugh	Porter				—
Totter, Dundon, Hotenkiss, Cavanaugn	Councilmember				
	Middleton				╄
	Councilmember				
	Cavanaugh Councilmember				╁
	Hotchkiss				
	Councilmember				╁
AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODE OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON, CHAPTER 124, PERSONNEL POLICIES, TO UPDATE ELIGIBILITY FOR RETIREE HEALTH INSURANCE  The within Ordinance was adopted by the Council of the City of Binghamton.  Date  City Clerk  Date Presented to Mayor	Mativetsky				
INSURANCE	Councilmember				t
	Kosty				
	Councilmember				t
	Dundon				
the City of Binghamton.	Total				T
Date	☐ Code of the C	City of Bin	ghamton		
City Clerk	☐ Adopted	☐ Defea	ted		
	Ayes	_ Nays _	Abstain	Absent	
Date Presented to Mayor					
Date Approved					
	I hereby certi	•			
	copy of the le	gislation	adopted by	y the	
Mayor	Council of the	City of B	inghamtor	n at a	
	meeting held				
	by the Mayor				