CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The COVID-19 epidemic has created severe problems in utilizing HUD funds. Service programs had difficulty enacting programs, capital projects were more difficult to perform, and a lack of experience or planning for a pandemic and its results provided significant challenges. The service cap continued to be pushed to maximize assisting agencies providing vital services to residents. This CAPER also reflects the final year of reporting across two separate contract/financial years as the City aligns its HUD entitlement year to its contractual and budgetary time frames.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected - Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected - Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Improve Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	20000	163	0.82%	10000	163	1.63%

Improve Public Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	30000	39627	132.09%	20000	39627	198.14%
Increase Accessibility	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	40000	0	0.00%	2000	0	0.00%
Increase Accessibility	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		500	0	0.00%
Increase and Retain Quality Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	46138		0	45790	
Increase and Retain Quality Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	500	203	40.60%	350	202	57.71%

Increase and Retain Quality Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	5	0	0.00%			
Increase and Retain Quality Housing	etain Quality Affordable \$ / Housing HOME:		Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
Increase and Retain Quality Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	86	172.00%	6	61	1,016.67%
Increase and Retain Quality Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$/ HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	50	4	8.00%	5	3	60.00%
Increase Health and Safety	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50000	0	0.00%			
Increase Health and Safety	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		15000	0	0.00%

Promote Economic Growth	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	100	0	0.00%			
Promote Economic Growth	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	50	150	300.00%	30	150	500.00%
Provide Needed Services	Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG:	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	50000	0	0.00%			
Provide Needed Services	Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG:	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0		500	0	0.00%
Reduce Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	200	0	0.00%			
Reduce Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	500	275	55.00%	200	299	149.50%
Reduce Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	200	105	52.50%	25	105	420.00%
Reduce Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	20	0	0.00%			

Reduce Slums and Blight	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	50	0	0.00%	7	9	128.57%
Reduce Slums and Blight	Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	100	1495	1,495.00%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments - Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	648	3	63
Black or African American	134	0	40
Asian	16	0	1
American Indian or American Native	3	0	1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
Total	801	3	105
Hispanic	28	0	100
Not Hispanic	773	3	5

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The demographics of the city are 77% non-white and about 7% Hispanic/Latino, which in this report, is reflected similarly in the demographics of the racial and ethnic categories identified as HUD entitlement clients, excluding ESG. ESG reporting shall be done through SAGE.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

,			
Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended
		Available	During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	1,940,046	2,593,585
HOME	public - federal	671,490	158,827
ESG	public - federal	167,515	150,962

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

These numbers reflect the HUD entitlements that were drawn down in FY46 (September 1, 20120 to August 30, 2021). Due to the difference between the City budgetary calendar year and the City's HUD entitlement year, differences will exist between allocated and expended budgets. Due to issues concerning a finding regarding HOME program income and CDBG timeliness, more housing projects were directed towards CDBG to help spend those funds.

ESG HP funds have been delayed by the state rent moratorium.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
			Comprehensive City Wide Projects
City of Binghamton	100		and Service Area

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Programs and projects are provided to residents and facilities across the City in a comprehensive manner. All area projects are required to comply with low-mod income requirements, but geographically these areas cover greater than half the City's area. Additionally, the City as a whole has an LMI resident income percentage of 62.3%.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The City leveraged its HOME funds for an additional \$10,000 from the NY State AHC grant for each HOME Rehab project. The City is exempt from matching HOME funds, although State funds leveraged may meet those matching requirements. ESG sub-recipients were required to meet the 50-50 match and exceeded that with \$200,000 in federal, \$100,000 in state, and \$20,000 in local funds.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0					
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0					
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0					
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0					
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0					

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

	Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year											
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match				

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period									
Balance on hand at begin- ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$					
336,411	30,235	0	0	366,646					

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period

	Total		Minority Busin	ess Enterprises		White Non-
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	137,150	0	0	0	0	137,150
Number	7	0	0	0	0	7
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	137,150	0	137,150			
Number	7	0	7			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar						

0 **Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises**

Amount

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

0

0

	Total	Minority Property Owners			White Non-	
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 - Minority Owners of Rental Property

CAPER 10 **Relocation and Real Property Acquisition** – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations		
Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily		
Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households	Total		Minority Property Enterprises			White Non-
Displaced		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	30	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	7	10
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	95	50
Total	132	60

Table 11 - Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	30	0
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	2	0
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	95	58
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	5	2
Total	132	60

Table 12 - Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

COVID and changes to a tiered approval process of the Senior Repair program slowed the program down and prevented more homes from being assisted. No CHDO units were created during this time period. Homeless numbers will be shown in SAGE are not handled in any other non ESG programs.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The backlog of unspent HOME and CDBG funds, especially with the outcome of the PI reconciliation, will provide funds to home repair and purchase, however the lack of contractors will cause a bottleneck. This will discourage funding for these programs directly from new entitlements for at least two years. The City has already put funds into TBRA to be run by the Binghamton Housing Authority as one process for utilizing HOME funds quickly.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	28	0
Low-income	16	1
Moderate-income	16	4
Total	60	5

Table 13 - Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

All housing programs require income verification and eligible households must a) live in the City or move into the City, and b) must be at or below the 80% area median income based on family size. New homeowners often will fall into the upper reaches of lower income due to the costs burdens of owning property in New York. In other words, they must have enough income to afford a new property and also have low enough income to not be beyond the income limitations for assistance. The Binghamton Homeownership Academy provided through a contract with Metro Interfaith helps encourage would be homeowners to evaluate their financial situation to help prevent families from buying properties they cannot afford. Conversely, the status of many older households on fixed incomes includes outright ownership of their homes, and yet with limited ability to perform necessary improvements should misfortune fall. The Rehab and Senior Home Repair programs thus often serve the lowest income residents.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The YWCA was awarded the only contract for street outreach services before the beginning of the entitlement year (September). These agencies seek out homeless individuals and families in the community, connect them with needed services, including transportation to those services, and provide food and clothing as needed.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City provides funding for the operation of an emergency shelter, the YWCA women's shelter, and a transitional shelter, the Catholic Charities of Broome County Teen Transitional Living Program (TTLP) which provides longer term shelter assistance for at-risk youth. The YWCA also provides permanent supportive housing and shelter for women and babies withdrawing from heroin. TTLP has been staple of the homeless community since before 2010, providing transitional shelter and supportive services for an often underserved homeless demographic: youth.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City provides funding for Family Enrichment Network's Caring Homes Care program, which provides emergency assistance in situations where households are on the verge of being evicted due to back payment of rent or even from utility shutoff. This program also provides individuals with emergency security deposit assistance for new units.

The City is a participant in the local Continuum of Care (CoC), the Homeless Coalition of the Southern Tier, and sits as a voting member. The CoC has a Coordinated Entry policy and program to help connect those in need with specific services for them as well as to coordinate with HMIS to better track demographic trends in the local homeless community.

Unfortiunately, even with additional COVID assistance through the CARES program, no ESG funds are able to be expensed for Homeless Prevention during the rental moratorium. Anecdotes indicate that there is a lack of quality new units available due to landlords being hesitant to rent during the

moratorium thus even preventing HP funds from being converted into Rapid Rehousing. The regular and COVID ESG HP funds are on indefinite hold unil the end of the moratiurm in order to assist the expected sudden rush of assistance need.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Unfortunately, the agency running the only Rapid Rehousing program funded by the City closed its program and thus the City had no RR funds available for homeless residents. That being said, Opportunities for Broome does have an RR program for the COC as a whole (Broome, Chenango, Cortland, Delaware, Otsego, and Tioga Counties), which includes the City of Binghamton.

With difficulties with HUD regulations in regards to COVID and the moratorium, the City has discussed a flexibility from Family Enrichment Network to utilize funds for either Rapid Rehousing or Homeless Prevention, but so far, neither one has been utilized.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City does not have a public housing program. The Binghamton Housing Authority, a non-profit, non-governmental agency provides not only public housing through several multi-family units in the area, but also administers the Section 8 program.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The First Time Homebuyer program provides funds for anyone who meets the income qualifications to purchase housing units in the City. The City also funds the Binghamton Homeownership Academy, which can help individuals who are in public housing to reevaluate their financial situation and to realistically determine whether homeownership is a good investment for them. The BHA has agreed to regular meetings with City staff to discuss relevant issues and methods for connecting residents with other programs and services.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

No PHA's in the City of Binghamton are considered troubled.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Asbestos and Lead regulation compliance adds a significant financial barrier to provide affordable and safe housing. The City does fund a Lead program that runs in tangent with our Rehabilitation program. Asbestos is somewhat beyond the purview of the City's programs but all contractors working on rehab projects must have certifications for stabilization or remediation of lead and asbestos. Recently, the Lead testing program has begun also performing additional Asbestos testing to meet Code and Department of Labor requirements.

The history of development in a City segmented by two rivers is of major concern for contemporary floodplain regulations. Much housing stock lies within the FEMA calculated 100-year floodplain, and so Federal regulations will impact future development, either in the complete flood proofing of buildings (such as raising the lowest occupied floors) or displacement itself. It is not financially realistic to imagine the City will simply move everyone out of the floodplain, and yet, the floods of 2006 and 2011 are stark reminders that something must be done. The City is still in the process of developing a CRS program to help offset flood insurance costs for residents.

The age of the housing stock and the local climate drive up energy costs still. Rehab funds can be used to provide more energy efficiency such as insulation, but funds are typically driven towards more pressing concerns, such as repairing leaking roofs or installing new heating systems. Where possible, energy efficiency measures are taken to help reduce residents' utility bills.

With the advent of the Federal and State COVID moratorium, the new issue has become one of a loss of housing stock as landlords will not create new rental units until the so-far 20+ month moratorium is lifted.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

As many non-profits as possible are encouraged to apply for service program funds. Over one hundred agencies were notified about the applications (as well as the overall planning process). Extra, older funds were funneled to existing sub-recipients to expand their existing programs in ESG and CDBG and reach out to more underserved clients.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

See above. The City will continue to look for additional funds or even partnership with agencies such as the Broom County Health Department to address the health hazards the aged housing stock presents to area residents.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The vast majority of funds were spent on programs and activities that target low income households. Most of these programs overlap creating a web of services to assist and alleviate clients out of a poverty situation. From housing, to service programs, to infrastructure improvements, funds are used to provide a better life for not just lower income residents, but the City as a whole. The calculation and comparison of present and past poverty is almost meaningless at this point due to the impact COVID has had on the national economy. Regardless, the City has received COVID funding to help address this issue which the City has done so through assistance to agencies and businesses to help cover additional impacts from COVID.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City continues to work closely with the Binghamton Local Development Corporation in order to connect businesses and would be business owners with resources necessary to start or expand business in the area. CDBG backed funds require such businesses to provide employment to low income residents or at the least residents of severe low income areas. The City continues to partner with Metro Interfaith for its Binghamton Homeownership Academy, a free service that provides financial education to prepare potential homeowners for the responsibility of owning a new home. The First Ward Action Council provides additional service to senior residents to help them perform minor repairs on their homes that could lead to much larger repairs in the future. The City continues to have a voting presence at the local Continuum of Care to ensure that city funds are utilized efficiently and correctly to reduce homelessness.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City's Community Development Advisory Council is made up of appointees selected by the mayor and council members met throughout the year and provided recommendations on the FY46 Annual Action Plan. Virtual public meetings were held prior to adoption of the Annual Action Plan to take in resident feedback. In addition, press releases encouraged citizens to reply via email to a dedicated email address setup just for the Consolidated and Annual Action Plan in order to provide a better record of public feedback. Outreach to local churches that provide free community meals led to several kitchen upgrade projects and additional funding of food pantries which has led to those churches becoming more aware of grant opportunities avaiable. Finally, over a hundred agencies were compiled into an email list for all Community Development announcements. The list will continue to adjust as new agencies connect.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The last Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing suggestions were provided to City Council. Sub

recipients that ran relevant programs were encouraged to read up on the Fair Housing requirements and to provide clients with the contact information for the City's Fair Housing Officer if they suspected they were being denied housing for unfair reasons. An annual Fair Housing Education course is planned for the future, and may be used to also educate the public on COVID rental rights.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Over October and November 2021, most of the ESG (and CDBG) sub-recipients went through a virtual on-site monitoring visit. This coincided with HUD's oversight of the City as well. HMIS through Northern Creations is responsible for the regulatory oversight of HMIS updates, but financially, the agencies appeared to be following standard financial requirements. From a client perspective the main category of improvement came down to having written standards for prevention of fair housing and ADA noncompliance. COVID has impacted and will likely further aggravate the homeless situation in the region.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

A legal ad was placed in the Press and Sun Bulletin on November 16th for a comment period to end on the afternoon of November 30th, 2021. At the same time, the community list serv was notified of the CAPER including the digital link that was placed on the City website on 11/16/21. Due to changes in the City website, no digital link was made available for the legal ad, however, the housing department email was provided in the ad with indication that a digital or limited copy of the physical could be requested.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City shifted more funding into parks, specifically to help renovate Columbus Park, however, this had little impact on service programs as the overall service program budget was near cap. One agency had to deny its funds due to a change in program administration. These funds which were to be used for youth, will be directed into another youth program. One major issue that has come up over the past few years is the increased use of HUD funds for capital projects along with the lack of regulatory compliance from those same agencies, mainly due to this type of project being relatively new. Several agencies have had to have funding denied after the fact. These funds obligated towards capital projects will be utilized for other non service activities.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Six CHDO projects all came to oversight timeliness in 2021. The Housing Department has only inspected three of those projects so far in 2021: 109-11 Susquehanna, 46 Griswold, and the new project at 435 State Street. No issues were found except for one unit at 46 Griswold that had an household slightly over 50% LMI. Housing Staff looked into this and determined that a floating unit could qualify and so a different unit was selected with a tenant who met elgiiblity. The other three projects: Historic Gateway, 48 Griswold, and 105 Susquehanna should be rechecked within the next few months. The delay is due to a combination of COVID oversight by Hoiusing Staff along with newly hired employees learning the oversight process.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

A new Affirmative Marketing Plan was unable to be created in 2019 or 2020. The goal is to have one completed before the end of 2021.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Due to an outstanding finding, no HOME program income was deciucated to any projects this year. The plan moving forward is to automatically obligate all HOME PI generated back into the rehab program line to simplify things.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient NameBinghamtonOrganizational DUNS Number075814863EIN/TIN Number156000404Indentify the Field OfficeBUFFALO

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or

subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

Binghamton/Union Town/Broome County CoC

ESG Contact Name

PrefixMrFirst NameStephenMiddle Name0Last NameCarsonSuffix0

Title HUD Manager

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 38 Hawley Street

Street Address 2

City Binghamton

 State
 NY

 ZIP Code
 13760

 Phone Number
 6077727028

Extension 0
Fax Number 0

Email Address stcarson@cityofbinghamton.com

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix First Name Last Name Suffix Title

Phone Number Extension Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 09/01/2020 Program Year End Date 08/31/2021

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC CHARITIES

City: BINGHAMTON

State: NY
Zip Code: ,
DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 38375

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YWCA

City: Binghamton

State: NY

Zip Code: 13901, 3805 **DUNS Number:** 088665286

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 55753.4

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Family Enrichment Network

City: Johnson City

State: NY

Zip Code: 13790, 2615 **DUNS Number:** 148544414

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 62752.26

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	61
Children	44
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	105

Table 16 - Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	264
Children	34
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
Total	299

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	6
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	6

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	331
Children	78
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
Total	410

Table 20 - Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	410
Missing Information	0
Total	410

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	78
18-24	56
25 and over	275
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
Total	410

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	4	1	0	3
Victims of Domestic				
Violence	136	11	0	125
Elderly	16	6	0	10
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	11	0	0	11
Persons with Disabilit	ies:			
Severely Mentally				
III	277	36	0	241
Chronic Substance				
Abuse	52	4	0	48
Other Disability	106	29	0	77
Total				
(Unduplicated if				
possible)	602	87	0	515

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	12,536
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

City ESG Written Standards do not have specific goals. CoC and CARES have suggested adopting a new Written Standard mimicking the NY-511 standards for performance.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	32,961	53,393	44,890
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	32,961	53,393	44,890

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	24,029	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	24,029	0	0

Table 26 - ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018 2019 202		
Essential Services	68,049	46,749	70,999
Operations	0	0	
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	68,049	46,749	70,999

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2018 2019 202			
Street Outreach	31,153	26,682	23,535	
HMIS	3,440	0	3,440	
Administration	8,202	7,275	8,094	

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2018	2019	2020
	167,834	134,099	150,958

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2018	2019	2020
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	220,090	225,226	194,726
State Government	0	69,405	108,250
Local Government	113,599	182,200	23,250
Private Funds	0	45,000	0

Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	333,689	521,831	326,226

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2018	2019	2020
	501,523	655,930	477,184

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

ESG CAPER Report



HUD ESG CAPER

Grant: ESG: Binghamton - NY - Report Type: CAPER

Report Date Range

9/1/2020 to 8/31/2021

Contact Information

First Name	Stephen
Middle Name	
Last Name	Carson
Suffix	
Title	HUD Manager
Street Address 1	38 Hawley Street
Street Address 2	
City	Binghamton
State	New York
ZIP Code	13901
E-mail Address	stcarson@cityofbinghamton.com
Phone Number	(607)772-7028
Extension	
Fax Number	

Project types carried out during the program year

Components	Projects	Total Persons Reported	Total Households Reported
Emergency Shelter	1	275	257
Day Shelter	0	0	0
Transitional Housing	1	24	24
Total Emergency Shelter Component	2	299	281
Total Street Outreach	1	6	6
Total PH - Rapid Re-Housing	0	0	0
Total Homelessness Prevention	1	105	48

Grant Information

Emergency Shelter	Rehab/Conversion
-------------------	------------------

Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded rehab project

No
Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded conversion project

No

Data Participation Information

Are there any funded projects, except HMIS or Admin, which are <u>not listed on the Project, Links and Uploads form</u>? This includes projects in the HMIS and from VSP

No
How many of the VSP projects have a HUD approved plan and are using a template rather than a comparable database report uploaded?

0

Project Outcomes

- no data -

Financial Information

- no data -

COLET. 33