

Department of Planning, Housing, & Community Development

Mayor, Richard C. David Director, Dr. Juliet Berling

SUMMARY OF MINUTES THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON THE COMMISSION ON ARCHITECTURE & URBAN DESIGN			
MEETING DATE: February 9, 2021 LOCATION: Planning Conference Room, City Hall; GoToWebinar			
CALLED TO ORDER: 12:05 p.m. RECORDER OF MINUTES: S. McGee			

ROLL CALL				
COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:	PRESENT:	ABSENT:		
K. Ellsworth (chair)	X			
J. Darrow (vice-chair)	X			
M. E. Mauro	X			
M. Atchie	X			
M. Lombardini	X			
P. Klosky		X		
S. Edwards		X		
STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:	TITLE & DEPARTMENT:	TITLE & DEPARTMENT:		
J. Berling	Director, Planning Departme	Director, Planning Department		
S. McGee	Historic Preservation & Neig	Historic Preservation & Neighborhood Planner,		
	Planning Department	Planning Department		
O. Varughese	Planner, Planning Departme	Planner, Planning Department		

APPROVAL OF MINUTES			
MOTION: To approve the December 1, 2020 CAUD regular meeting minutes.			
FIRST: M. E. Mauro SECOND: M. Atchie VOTE: PASSED (5-0-0)			
AYE(S): All NAY(S): None ABSTENTION(S): None			

BUSINESS ITEM				
ADDRESS: 31 Court Street CASE NUMBER: CAUD-2021-01				
DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:				
Certificate of Appropriateness				
DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING				

DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING:

- Staff presented the application.
- The Commission discussed the options presented.
- E. Olsen provided additional information about the options presented.
- The Commission expressed a clear preference for the sign on the awning.
- The Commission discussed the panel box sign.
- M. Lombardini mentioned the massing.
- The Commission discussed the window sign and the Commission's previous decisions.

- The applicant requested that the Commission express, of the options presented, what met CAUD's guidelines.
- M. Lombardini mentioned moving the canopy up in height.
- E. Olsen spoke about minimizing the impact on the façade. He mentioned that the canopy would likely rest higher on the building than shown on the rendering.
- The Commission issued a motion regarding the wall sign.
- K. Ellsworth spoke about setting precedent in regard to the window sign. The other commissioners agreed with K. Ellsworth's concern.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

• Eric Olsen, 3i Graphics, speaking on behalf of the application.

VOTING

MOTION: To approve the canopy design with following condition:

• The owner will ensure that the bottom of the canopy will align with the top of the doorframe so as to allow the door to open unobstructed and allow pedestrians to walk along the sidewalk unobstructed.

FIRST: M. Lombardini	SECOND: M. E. Mauro	VOTE: (5-0-0)	
AYE(S): All	NAY(S): None	ABSTENTION(S): None	
MOTION: To deny the pink neon window sign based on the Historic Design Guidelines and precedent as it is an			
MOTION: To deny the nink no	on window sign based on the Historic D	esign Guidelines and precedent as it is an	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eon window sign based on the Historic D	esign Guidelines and precedent as it is an	
MOTION: To deny the pink no illuminated box sign. FIRST: K. Ellsworth	eon window sign based on the Historic D	esign Guidelines and precedent as it is an VOTE: (5-0-0)	

BUSINESS ITEM				
DDRESS: 49 Court Street CASE NUMBER: CAUD-2021-02				
DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:				
Certificate of Appropriateness				
DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKIN	IG:			
 Staff presented the application. 				
The Commission did not have further comments.				
PUBLIC COMMENT:				
Joe Holland, Matzo Electric Signs, sp	peaking on behalf of tl	ne application.		
VOTING				
MOTION: To approve the application as presented.				
FIRST: J. Darrow SECOND: M. Lombardini VOTE: (5-0-0)				
AYE(S): All NAY(S): None ABSTENTION(S): None				

BUSINESS ITEM		
ADDRESS: 84 Court Street	CASE NUMBER: CAUD-2021-03	
DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:		
Certificate of Appropriateness		
DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING:		
Staff presented the application.		
M. Lombardini asked about the location of the signs.		

- Staff clarified.
- The Commission discussed the white background of the graphics presented.
- The applicant provided details about why the white background was chosen.
- The Commission discussed alternatives.
- J. Holland provided an alternative design.
- The Commission indicated the alternative was a better design.
- J. Holland indicated he could revise the rendering during the meeting and present it in a few minutes.
- The Commission tabled the application.
- The applicant provided a revised proposal.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Joe Holland, Matzo Electric Signs, speaking on behalf of the application.

VOTING MOTION: To table the application while the applicant revises the proposal. FIRST: K. Ellsworth **SECOND: J. Darrow VOTE: (5-0-0)** AYE(S): All NAY(S): None ABSTENTION(S): None MOTION: To approve the revised window signs as presented. FIRST: M. Lombardini SECOND: J. Darrow **VOTE: (5-0-0)** AYE(S): All NAY(S): None ABSTENTION(S): None

BUSINESS ITEM					
ADDRESS: 12 Broad Avenue CASE NUMBER: CAUD-2021-04					
DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:					
Determination of Historical Significance for Demolition					
DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING:					
 Staff presented the application 					
 J. Darrow asked about receiving 	g interior condition p	hotos in the future			
PUBLIC COMMENT:					
None					
	VOTI				
MOTION: To issue a Determination of	No Historical Signific	ance.			
FIRST: M. Lombardini	FIRST: M. Lombardini SECOND: M.E. Mauro VOTE: (5-0-0)				
AYE(S): All	NAY(S): None ABSTENTION(S): None				
	SEQR DETERM	MINATION			
ADDRESS: 12 Broad Avenue		CASE NUMBER: CA	AUD-2021-04		
DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA: Determination of Historical Significance for Demolition					
DISCUSSION POINTS: See above.					
VOTING					
MOTION: Motion to declare CAUD as Lead Agency for historic review purposes.					
FIRST: K. Ellsworth SECOND: J. Darrow VOTE: (5-0-0)					
AYE(S): All NAY(S): None ABSTENTION(S): None					
MOTION: Motion to declare the action as an Unlisted Action.					

FIRST: K. Ellsworth	SECOND: J. Darrow	VOTE: PASSED (5-0-0)		
AYE(S): All	NAY(S): None	ABSTENTION(S): None		
MOTION: The Commission then revi	owed all relevant SEOP critoria and four	ad no or small impact for each Motion		
MOTION: The Commission then reviewed all relevant SEQR criteria and found no or small impact for each. Motion				
to issue a Negative Declaration for 12 Broad Avenue. Voice vote, no on all criteria.				
FIRST: M. E. Mauro	SECOND: J. Darrow	VOTE: PASSED (5-0-0)		
AYE(S): All	NAY(S): None	ABSTENTION(S): None		

	OTHER BUSINESS
CAUD commissioner vacancies.	

ADJOURNMENT				
Motion to adjourn. TIME: 1:02 p.m.				
FIRST: J. Darrow SECOND: M. Lombardini VOTE: (5-0-0)				
AYE(S): AII NAY(S): None ABSTENTION(S): None				