

# Department of Planning, Zoning, & Historic Preservation

**Mayor, Richard C. David** Director, Dr. Juliet Berling

| SUMMARY (                    | OF MINUTES   |
|------------------------------|--|
| THE CITY OF B                | INGHAMTON  |
| THE COMMISSION ON ARCH       | ITECTURE & URBAN DESIGN                                  |
| MEETING DATE: August 3, 2021 | <b>LOCATION:</b> City Hall, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Atrium |
| CALLED TO ORDER: 12:05 p.m.  | RECORDER OF MINUTES: S. McGee                            |

| ROLL CALL              |                              |                               |  |  |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: | PRESENT:                     | ABSENT:                       |  |  |
| K. Ellsworth (chair)   | X                            |                               |  |  |
| J. Darrow (vice-chair) |                              | Х                             |  |  |
| M. E. Mauro            | X                            |                               |  |  |
| M. Atchie              | X                            |                               |  |  |
| M. Lombardini          |                              | Х                             |  |  |
| D. Nead                | X                            |                               |  |  |
| STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: | TITLE & DEPARTMENT:          |                               |  |  |
| S. McGee               | Historic Preservation & Neig | hborhood Planner,             |  |  |
|                        | Planning Department          |                               |  |  |
| J. Berling             | Director, Planning Departme  | Director, Planning Department |  |  |

|                           | APPROVAL OF MINUTE                      | ES                   |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------|
| MOTION: To approve the Ju | ly 13, 2021 CAUD special meeting minute | es.                  |
|                           |   |                      |
| FIRST: M. Atchie          | SECOND: D. Nead                         | VOTE: PASSED (4-0-0) |
| AYE(S): All               | NAY(S): None                            | ABSTENTION(S): None  |
|                           |   |                      |
|                           |   |                      |

|                                  | BUSINESS ITEM             |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ADDRESS: 33 S. Washington Street | CASE NUMBER: CAUD-2021-27 |
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:         |                           |
| Certificate of Appropriateness   |                           |

## **DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING:**

- Staff presented the application.
- J. Collins spoke about the size of the sign and the internal illumination.
- K. Ellsworth asked about the massing of the sign.
- Staff replied.
- D. Nead state he had no issues with the sign.
- M. Atchie said he was concerned by the size of the signs.
- J. Collins spoke about the size of the signs.
- Staff mentioned the setback of the sign from the public right-of-way.

M. E. asked about the location of the signs.
 Staff answered.
 PUBLIC COMMENT:
 Jenny Collins, Widmer Sign Co. Inc., speaking on behalf of the application.
 VOTING
 MOTION: To approve the signs at both locations at 33 S. Washington Street for People's Bank as submitted.
 FIRST: M. Atchie
 SECOND: M. E. Mauro
 VOTE: (4-0-0)
 AYE(S): All
 NAY(S): None
 ABSTENTION(S): None

|  | BUSINESS I              | ITEM              |                                    |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| ADDRESS: 33 S. Washington Street                         | (                       | CASE NUMBER: C    | AUD-2021-28                        |
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:                                 |                         |                   |                                    |
| Certificate of Appropriateness                           |                         |                   |                                    |
| DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKIN                        | G:                      |                   |                                    |
| <ul> <li>Staff presented the application.</li> </ul>     |                         |                   |                                    |
| <ul> <li>K. Ellsworth asked about placer</li> </ul>      | nent and number or p    | roposed signs.    |                                    |
| B. Pritchard detailed the locatio                        | n of the proposed pole  | e sign.           |                                    |
| <ul> <li>D. Nead asked about the red str</li> </ul>      | ipe on the design of th | ne canopy.        |                                    |
| <ul> <li>B. Pritchard said he believed the</li> </ul>    | canopy would have the   | he red stripe.    |                                    |
| <ul> <li>K. Ellsworth spoke about brandi</li> </ul>      | ng.                     |                   |                                    |
| B. Pritchard spoke about the loc                         | ation of signage facing | g along Mary St.  |                                    |
| <ul> <li>M. E. Mauro asked about the er</li> </ul>       | trances to the building | g.                |                                    |
| <ul> <li>Staff clarified.</li> </ul>                     |                         |                   |                                    |
| <ul> <li>M. Atchie asked about parking.</li> </ul>       |                         |                   |                                    |
| <ul> <li>B. Pritchard clarified.</li> </ul>              |                         |                   |                                    |
| M. Atchie spoke about the color                          | rs and branding         |                   |                                    |
| PUBLIC COMMENT:  |                         |                   |                                    |
| <ul> <li>B. Pritchard, property developer, sp</li> </ul> |                         | • •               |                                    |
|  | VOTING                  |                   |                                    |
| MOTION: To approve the proposed sign                     | ns: two on the building | g, two on the can | opy, and the detached pole sign as |
| presented.   |                         |                   |                                    |
| FIRST: D. Nead   | SECOND: M. E. Maure     | 0                 | VOTE: (4-0-0)                      |
| AYE(S): All  | NAY(S): None            |                   | ABSTENTION(S): None                |

|                                | BUSINESS ITEM             |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ADDRESS: 92 State Street       | CASE NUMBER: CAUD-2021-22 |
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:       |                           |
| Certificate of Appropriateness |                           |

# **DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING:**

- Staff presented the application.
- D. Nead asked why the rodents were reintroduced.
- S. Brewer said the property owner expressed wanting the rodent present.
- D. Nead said public art was for the public, and that he did not believe the rodent would be perceived kindly by the community.

- M. Atchie spoke about how the use of the building could change.
- M. E. Mauro agreed.
- J. Layish said he believed the art was tasteful and that the rat could be seen as a historical reference.
- Staff asked if the applicant would accept an approval of the design without the rodent.
- S. Brewer said she would need to speak with the applicant.
- M. Atchie spoke about needing different designs.
- S. Brewer asked if the rodent was dressed up, would that help.
- M. Atchie said he thought it would.
- D. Nead agreed.
- K. Ellsworth said making the rodent more subtle might assist the project.

# **PUBLIC COMMENT:**

- Stephanie Brewer, Broome County Planning Department, speaking on behalf of the application.
- John Layish

#### VOTING

MOTION: To table the application as presented until renderings depicting the mural with the following are presented:

- 1. No rodent
- 2. Rodent depicted but more subtly
- 3. Rodent dressed up.

| FIRST: M. Atchie | SECOND: M. E. Mauro | VOTE: (4-0-0)       |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| AYE(S): AII      | NAY(S): None        | ABSTENTION(S): None |
|                  |                     |                     |

**BUSINESS ITEM** 

| ADDRESS: 50 Exchange Street                           | CASE                         | NUMBER: CAUD-2021-29                      |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:                              |                              |   |
| Certificate of Appropriateness                        |                              |   |
| <b>DISCUSSION POINTS &amp; THOSE SPEAKIN</b>          | G:                           |   |
| <ul> <li>Staff presented the application.</li> </ul>  |                              |   |
| M. Atchie asked about the back                        | ground of the mural.         |   |
| S. Brewer said that if there were                     | e any substantial changes, s | he would return before the Commission.    |
|   |                              |   |
| PUBLIC COMMENT:                                       |                              |   |
| <ul> <li>Stephanie Brewer, Broome County I</li> </ul> | Planning Department, speak   | ring on behalf of the application.        |
|   | VOTING                       |   |
| MOTION: To approve the proposed mu                    | ral as presented at 50 Exch  | ange Street with the following condition: |
| 1. No background will be present                      | or the background will be t  | ransparent.                               |
| FIRST: M. E. Mauro                                    | SECOND: M. Atchie            | VOTE: (4-0-0)                             |
| AYE(S): All   | NAY(S): None                 | ABSTENTION(S): None                       |

| BUSINI                              | ESS ITEM                  |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ADDRESS: 159 Washington Street      | CASE NUMBER: CAUD-2021-30 |
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:            |                           |
| Certificate of Appropriateness      |                           |
| DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING: |                           |

- Staff presented the application.
- "Artic White" was presented as the finish for the wall cladding material.

- K. Ellsworth spoke about the color selection.
- J. Bishop said the color was a close match to the painted brick.
- M. E. Mauro asked about the previous presentation.
- K. Ellsworth and staff provided context.

# **PUBLIC COMMENT:**

- Sarah Campbell, Hinman, Howard & Kattell, LLP, speaking on behalf of the application
- Josh Bishop, speaking on behalf of the application

# **VOTING**

MOTION: To approve the application as presented for the Stair Tower with the following condition:

1. The color of the wall material will be "artic white" as presented.

| FIRST: J. Darrow | SECOND: D. Nead | VOTE: (5-0-0)       |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| AYE(S): All      | NAY(S): None    | ABSTENTION(S): None |
|                  |                 |                     |

|   | BUSINESS ITEM          |                     |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| ADDRESS: 3 Fayette Street                       | CASE NU                | JMBER: CAUD-2021-20 |
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:                        |                        |                     |
| Certificate of Appropriateness                  |                        |                     |
| <b>DISCUSSION POINTS &amp; THOSE SPEAKI</b>     | NG:                    |                     |
| <ul> <li>No one was present to speak</li> </ul> | about the application. |                     |
| PUBLIC COMMENT:                                 |                        |                     |
| <ul><li>None</li></ul>                          |                        |                     |
|   | VOTING                 |                     |
| MOTION: To table the application for            | 3 Fayette Street.      |                     |
| FIRST: K. Ellsworth                             | SECOND: M. Atchie      | VOTE: (4-0-0)       |
| AYE(S): All                                     | NAY(S): None           | ABSTENTION(S): None |

|   | BUSIN                     | SS ITEM                           |                |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| ADDRESS: 44 Fayette Street                    |                           | CASE NUMBER:                      | CAUD-2021-31   |
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA:                      |                           |                                   |                |
| ertificate of Appropriateness                 |                           |                                   |                |
| ISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SP                   | EAKING:                   |                                   |                |
| <ul> <li>No one was present to sp</li> </ul>  | eak about the application | n.                                |                |
| • J. Layish spoke about the                   | issues with the property  | and future use.                   |                |
| PUBLIC COMMENT:                               |                           |                                   |                |
| <ul> <li>John Layish, Red Barn Tec</li> </ul> | hnology Group, Inc., spe  | aking on behalf of th             | ne application |
| •   | VO                        | ING                               |                |
| MOTION: To issue a Determination              | on of No Historical Signi | icance.                           |                |
| FIRST: K. Ellsworth                           | SECOND: M. E. N           | SECOND: M. E. Mauro VOTE: (4-0-0) |                |
| AYE(S): All                                   | NAY(S): None              | NAY(S): None AE                   |                |
|   | SEQR DETE                 | RMINATION                         |                |
| ADDRESS: 44 Fayette Street                    |                           | CASE NUMBER: C                    | AUD-2021-31    |
| DESCRIPTION FROM AGENDA: De                   | etermination of Historic  | l Significance for Do             | emolition      |
| DISCUSSION POINTS: See above.                 |                           |                                   |                |

|  | VOTING               |                      |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| MOTION: Motion to declare CAUD as Lead Agency for historic review purposes.  |                      |                      |  |  |  |
| FIRST: K. Ellsworth  | SECOND: M. Atchie    | VOTE: (4-0-0)        |  |  |  |
| AYE(S): All  | NAY(S): None         | ABSTENTION(S): None  |  |  |  |
| MOTION: Motion to declare the action as an Unlisted Action.  |                      |                      |  |  |  |
| FIRST: K. Ellsworth  | SECOND: M. Atchie    | VOTE: PASSED (4-0-0) |  |  |  |
| AYE(S): All  | NAY(S): None         | ABSTENTION(S): None  |  |  |  |
| MOTION: The Commission then reviewed all relevant SEQR criteria and found no or small impact for each. Motion to issue a Negative Declaration for 44 Fayette Street. Voice vote, no on all criteria. |                      |                      |  |  |  |
| FIRST: M. E. Mauro   | SECOND: K. Ellsworth | VOTE: PASSED (4-0-0) |  |  |  |
| AYE(S): All  | NAY(S): None         | ABSTENTION(S): None  |  |  |  |
|  |                      |                      |  |  |  |

# **OTHER BUSINESS**

## 9 Columbus Park West

- o D. Nead recused himself from the discussion as he works with CPL.
- David Chase spoke about the project.
- Kate Chesebrough spoke about the project's history.
- K. Ellsworth spoke about the proposal. He mentioned being nervous about the wood products and weathering.
- M. Atchie said he thought it looked great, but he mentioned concerns about parking.
- o M. E. Mauro mentioned concerns about wood.
- Staff asked if the public meeting was recorded.
- o D. Chase said the PowerPoint was available.

# • National Register Eligible Main Street Historic District

M. Atchie mentioned, in the interest of full disclosure, he owned property within the district. The Commission requested that staff prepare a report stating that it is the opinion of the Commission that the Main Street Historic District meets the criteria for listing on both the State and National Registers of Historic Places.

# **National Register Eligible Main Street Historic District**

# **DISCUSSION POINTS & THOSE SPEAKING:**

Staff provided an overview of the Main Street Historic District and the draft nomination form.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT:**

None

#### **VOTING**

MOTION: It is the opinion of the Commission that the Main Street Historic District meets the criteria for listing on both the State and National Registers of Historic Places.

| FIRST: K. Ellsworth | SECOND: M. E. Mauro | VOTE: (4-0-0)       |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| AYE(S): All         | NAY(S): None        | ABSTENTION(S): None |
|                     |                     |                     |

| ADJOURNMENT         |                 |                 |               |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Motion to adjourn.  |                 | TIME: 1:28 p.m. |               |  |
| FIRST: K. Ellsworth | SECOND: D. Nead |                 | VOTE: (4-0-0) |  |

| AYE(S): All | NAY(S): None | ABSTENTION(S): None |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
|             |              |                     |

